arXiv:1009.0955v2 [math.QA] 14 Feb 2011

# Hopf cyclic cohomology and transverse characteristic classes

Henri Moscovici [*] [†] and Bahram Rangipour [‡]

**Abstract**

We refine the cyclic cohomological apparatus for computing the Hopf cyclic cohomology of the Hopf algebras associated to infinite primitive Cartan-Lie pseudogroups, and for the transfer of their characteristic classes to foliations. The main novel feature is the precise identification as a Hopf cyclic complex of the image of the canonical homomorphism from the Gelfand-Fuks complex to the Bott complex for equivariant cohomology. This provides a convenient new model for the Hopf cyclic cohomology of the geometric Hopf algebras, which allows for an efficient transport of the Hopf cyclic classes via characteristic homomorphisms. We illustrate the latter aspect by indicating how to realize the universal Hopf cyclic Chern classes in terms of explicit cocycles in the cyclic cohomology of étale foliation groupoids.

## Introduction

Hopf algebras and their Hopf cyclic cohomology emerged as a new geometric tool in the work [8] of A. Connes and of the first named author computing the local index formula for transversely hypoelliptic operators on foliations.

---

[*] Research supported by the National Science Foundation awards DMS-0652167 and DMS-0969672.

[†] Department of Mathematics, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

[‡] Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, NB, Canada

One of the key results was the construction of a canonical isomorphism [8, Theorem 11] from the Gelfand-Fuks cohomology [14] of the Lie algebra of formal vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^n$ to the Hopf cyclic cohomology of the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}(n)$ associated to the pseudogroup of local diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$. This isomorphism made it possible to recognize the cyclic cocycle expressing the Connes-Chern character of the hypoelliptic signature operator as representing a classical transverse characteristic class [3] in the cyclic cohomology of the transverse étale groupoid associated to the foliation.
Extending the construction of $\mathcal{H}(n)$ to all classical types of transverse geometries, in [30] we have associated a similar Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})$ to each infinite primitive Cartan-Lie pseudogroup [5, 18], or equivalently to each classical subgroup of diffeomorphisms $\mathbf{G} \subset \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We showed that all these geometric Hopf algebras can be reconstituted as bicrossed products of traditional Hopf algebras, with one of the factors corresponding to a Lie algebra while the other is associated to a group. The bicrossed product structure was used in turn to express the Hopf cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})$ in terms of adapted bicocyclic complexes.

The present paper clarifies the relationship between the Hopf cyclic cohomology of the Hopf algebras $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})$ and the classical characteristic classes (*cf.* [3], [1], [2]) of foliations with transverse $\mathbf{G}$-structure. At the level of universal classes, we extend the canonical cohomological isomorphism of [8] to all classical types of transverse geometries. More significantly, by refining the cyclic cohomological apparatus for computing the Hopf cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})$, we succeed in explicitly identifying as a Hopf cyclic complex the image of the Gelfand-Fuks complex through the canonical homomorphism of [8] into the Bott complex for equivariant cohomology. Distinct from the original realization of the Hopf cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})$ as differentiable cyclic cohomology [8, 10], this provides a front-end model for the Hopf cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})$. Furthermore, we produce characteristic homomorphisms from the newly developed models for Hopf cyclic characteristic classes to the cyclic cohomology of the convolution algebras of étale holonomy groupoids, which work in the relative case with no compactness restriction. Finally, we concretely illustrate the latter feature by indicating how to realize the universal Chern classes in terms of explicit cocycles in the cyclic cohomology of the convolution algebras of action groupoids.

We now outline the plan of the paper and highlight its main results. Section 1 starts by recalling from [29, 30] the construction of the geometric Hopf algebras $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})$ and their bicrossed product decomposition $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G}) = \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{N}) \blacktriangleright\!\!\vartriangleleft \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ (§1.1), as well as the Hopf cyclic bicomplexes associated to them (§1.2) and their reduction to a Chevalley-Eilenberg-type complex (§1.3). We then give in §1.4 a new Hopf cyclic interpretation to the latter, as a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Hopf cyclic complex for the abelian Hopf factor $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{N})$ with coefficients in the Koszul resolution of $\wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^*$, viewed as a differential graded stable anti-Yetter-Drinfeld module (*cf.* Theorem 1.14).

Section 2 is devoted to the construction (§§2.1-2.2) of an explicit quasi-isomorphism between the Gelfand-Fuks cohomology complex of the Lie algebra of formal vector fields $\mathfrak{a}(\mathbf{G}) = \mathfrak{g} \bowtie \mathfrak{n}$ and the Hopf cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})$. While this is patterned on the corresponding construction in [8], the novel feature consists in the exact identification in Hopf cyclic terms of the target of the canonical quasi-isomorphism. Theorem 2.11 in §2.3 identifies it as being precisely the $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Hopf cyclic complex of Theorem 1.14.

The transfer of the universal Hopf cyclic classes from the Hopf cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})$ to the cyclic cohomology of the convolution algebras of $\mathbf{G}$-holonomy étale groupoids is treated in Section 3. In §3.1 we construct characteristic maps adapted to the bicrossed product models for Hopf cyclic cohomology, and landing in the Getzler-Jones models [16] for the cyclic cohomology of crossed product algebras. Theorem 3.7 reconciles these maps with the original characteristic map of [8], by showing that they are all equivalent. With the goal of facilitating the transport of characteristic classes from the Gelfand-Fuks cohomology via the Hopf cyclic cohomology, in §3.2 we introduce a differential graded characteristic map from the $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Hopf cyclic complex of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})$ to differential étale groupoids. Remark 3.15 explains how this transfer can be concretely implemented, by relating the above characteristic map to Connes' map [7, Theorem 14, p. 220] from the Bott bicomplex for equivariant cohomology to the cyclic cohomology bicomplex, and to Gorokhovsky's differential graded analogue [17, Theorem 19].

Finally, we treat the relative case in Section 4. Unlike the original characteristic map (*cf.* [8]), the graded characteristic map does not require an invariant trace and thus descends to the relative complex even when the underlying reductive subgroup of the linear isotropy group is not compact. In particular, this allows to realize the Hopf cyclic version of the universal Chern classes both in terms of the Bott bicomplex, and as cocycles in the cyclic cohomology complex of an étale foliation groupoid.

# Contents

**1 Hopf cyclic cohomological models 4**
1.1 Geometric Hopf algebras and their bicrossed product structure 4
1.2 Hopf cyclic complexes based on bicrossed product structure . . 9
1.3 Passage to Lie algebra cohomology . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 15
1.4 $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Hopf cyclic interpretation . . . . . . . . . . . . . 18
1.5 Linkage with group cohomology . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 21

**2 Lie algebra, equivariant and Hopf cyclic cohomology 29**
2.1 From Gelfand-Fuks to equivariant cohomology . . . . . . . . . 29
2.2 From equivariant to Hopf cyclic cohomology . . . . . . . . . . 34
2.3 Explicit van Est isomorphism . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 38

**3 Hopf cyclic characteristic maps 45**
3.1 Characteristic maps induced by Hopf actions . . . . . . . . . . 45
3.2 Differential graded characteristic map . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 56

**4 Relative case 69**
4.1 Relative Hopf cyclic bicomplexes . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 69
4.2 Relative characteristic maps . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 75
4.3 Hopf cyclic and equivariant Chern classes . . . . . . . . . . . . 79

# 1 Hopf cyclic cohomological models

## 1.1 Geometric Hopf algebras and their bicrossed product structure

Inspired by the G. I. Kac procedure [22] for producing non-commutative and non-cocommutative quantum groups out of matched pairs of finite groups, the construction of the Hopf algebra associated to an infinite primitive Cartan-Lie pseudogroup (*cf.* [8] for the general pseudogroup and [30] for all others) relies on splitting the corresponding group $\mathbf{G}$ of globally defined diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$ as a set-theoretical product of two subgroups: $\mathbf{G} = G \cdot N$, $G \cap N = \{e\}$. When the primitive pseudogroup is flat, *i.e.,* contains all the Euclidean translations, $G$ is the subgroup of the affine transformations of $\mathbb{R}^n$ that belong to $\mathbf{G}$, and $N \subset \mathbf{G}$ is the subgroup of those diffeomorphisms that preserve the origin to order 1. The only primitive pseudogroup which is not flat is the pseudogroup of contact transformations. In that case (*cf.* [30, §2.2]), the Euclidean translations are replaced by Heisenberg translations. More precisely, regarding $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ as the underlying manifold of the Heisenberg group $H_n$, $G$ is the semidirect product $H_n \ltimes G_0$, with $H_n$ acting by left translations, and $G_0$ consisting of the linear contact transformations. The complementary factor $N$ is the subgroup of all contact diffeomorphisms preserving the origin to order 1 in the sense of Heisenberg calculus, *i.e.,* whose differential at 0 is the identity map of the Heisenberg tangent bundle.

The splitting $\mathbf{G} = G \cdot N$ allows to represent uniquely any $\phi \in \mathbf{G}$ as a product $\phi = \varphi \cdot \psi$, with $\varphi \in G$ and $\psi \in N$. Factorizing the product of any two elements $\varphi \in G$ and $\psi \in N$ in the reverse order, $\psi \cdot \varphi = (\psi \triangleright \varphi) \cdot (\psi \triangleleft \varphi)$, one obtains a left action $\psi \mapsto \tilde{\psi}(\varphi) := \psi \triangleright \varphi$ of $N$ on $G$, along with a right action $\triangleleft$ of $G$ on $N$. Equivalently, these actions are the restrictions of the natural actions of $\mathbf{G}$ on the coset spaces $\mathbf{G}/N \cong G$ and $G \backslash \mathbf{G} \cong N$.

Denoting by $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of $G$ and by $\mathfrak{n}$ the Lie algebra of $N$, we abbreviate $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ stand for the algebra of functions on $N$ generated by the components of the jet of $\tilde{\psi}$ at $e \in G$, as $\psi$ runs through $N$. $\mathcal{U}$ is endowed with the standard Hopf algebra structure of a universal enveloping algebra, while $\mathcal{F}$ is a restricted dual to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{n})$ and is a Hopf algebra with respect to the coproduct inherited from the group multiplication on $N$. While referring the reader to [30, §2.1-2.2] for details, we succinctly recall the salient points regarding the construction of the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})$.

First of all, $\mathcal{U}$ is a right $\mathcal{F}$-comodule coalgebra with respect to the coaction $\blacktriangledown : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ which can be concretely described as follows. Let $\{X_1, \ldots, X_m\}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$, and let $\{X_I = X_1^{i_1} \cdots X_m^{i_m}\,;\, i_1, \ldots, i_m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ be the corresponding Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt basis of $\mathcal{U}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\blacktriangledown(X_I) = \sum_J X_J \otimes \eta_I^J, \quad \text{with} \quad \eta_I^J(\cdot) := \gamma_I^J(\cdot)(e), \\ &\text{where} \qquad U_\psi\, X_I\, U_{\psi^{-1}} = \sum_J \gamma_I^J(\psi)\, X_J, \qquad \psi \in N. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

The properties which make $\blacktriangledown : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ a right coaction are:

$$\begin{aligned} &u_{<0>^{(1)}} \otimes u_{<0>^{(2)}} \otimes u_{<1>} = u_{(1)_{<0>}} \otimes u_{(2)_{<0>}} \otimes u_{(1)_{<1>}} u_{(2)_{<1>}} \\ &\epsilon(u_{<0>}) u_{<1>} = \epsilon(u) 1, \qquad\qquad \forall\, u \in \mathcal{U}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

One can then forms a cocrossed product coalgebra $\mathcal{F} \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathcal{U}$ that has $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K}$

as underlying vector space and the coalgebra structure given by:

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta(f \blacktriangleright\!\!< u) = f_{(1)} \blacktriangleright\!\!< u_{(1)}{}_{<0>} \otimes f_{(2)} u_{(1)}{}_{<1>} \blacktriangleright\!\!< u_{(2)},\\ &\epsilon(h \blacktriangleright\!\!< k) = \epsilon(h)\epsilon(k).\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

On the other hand, the right action $\triangleleft$ of $G$ on $N$ induces a left action of $G$ on $\mathcal{F}$, which in turn gives rise to a left action $\triangleright$ of $\mathcal{U}$ on $\mathcal{F}$; that makes $\mathcal{F}$ a left $\mathcal{U}$-module algebra, *i.e.,* for any $u \in \mathcal{U}$, and $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&u \triangleright (fg) = (u_{(1)} \triangleright f)(u_{(2)} \triangleright g),\\ &u \triangleright 1 = \epsilon(u)1.\end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

This allows to endow the underlying vector space $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{U}$ an algebra structure, which we denote it by $\mathcal{F} >\!\!\triangleleft\, \mathcal{U}$; it has $1 >\!\!\triangleleft\, 1$ as its unit, and the product

$$(f >\!\!\triangleleft\, u)(g >\!\!\triangleleft\, v) = f\ u_{(1)} \triangleright g >\!\!\triangleleft\, u_{(2)} v.$$

Furthermore, $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ form a matched pair of Hopf algebras in the sense of [28]. More precisely, the above action and coaction satisfy the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned}&\epsilon(u \triangleright f) = \epsilon(u)\epsilon(f), \qquad \forall\, u \in \mathcal{U},\ f \in \mathcal{F},\\ &\Delta(u \triangleright f) = u_{(1)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright f_{(1)} \otimes u_{(1)}{}_{<1>}(u_{(2)} \triangleright f_{(2)}),\\ &\blacktriangledown(1) = 1 \otimes 1,\\ &\blacktriangledown(uv) = u_{(1)}{}_{<0>} v_{<0>} \otimes u_{(1)}{}_{<1>}(u_{(2)} \triangleright v_{<1>}),\\ &u_{(2)}{}_{<0>} \otimes (u_{(1)} \triangleright f) u_{(2)}{}_{<1>} = u_{(1)}{}_{<0>} \otimes u_{(1)}{}_{<1>}(u_{(2)} \triangleright f).\end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

Superimposing the coalgebra structure $\mathcal{F} >\!\!\triangleleft\, \mathcal{U}$ and the algebra structure $\mathcal{F} \blacktriangleright\!\!< \mathcal{U}$, one obtains the bicrossed product Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F} \blacktriangleright\!\!\triangleleft\, \mathcal{U}$, which has as antipode

$$S(f \blacktriangleright\!\!\triangleleft\, u) = (1 \blacktriangleright\!\!\triangleleft\, S(u_{<0>}))(S(f u_{<1>}) \blacktriangleright\!\!\triangleleft\, 1).$$

The following auxiliary results will be needed later in this paper.

**Lemma 1.1.** *For any $u \in \mathcal{U}$, and $f \in \mathcal{F}$ one has*

$$S(u \triangleright f) = u_{<0>} \triangleright S(f)\ S(u_{<1>}).$$

*Proof.* Let us endow $\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{F}$ with tensor coproduct, and consider the convolution algebra $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{F},\mathcal{F})$. Define three elements $K, L$ and $M$ of the latter algebra as follows:

$$K(u\otimes f)=u\triangleright f,\quad L(u\otimes f)=u\triangleright S(f),\quad M(u\otimes f)=S(u_{<0>}\triangleright f)\,u_{<1>}.$$

Using the fact that $\mathcal{F}$ is a $\mathcal{U}$-module algebra, one checks that $K$ and $L$ are left and right inverse of one another; indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}&(K*L)(u\otimes f)=(u_{(1)}\triangleright f_{(1)})(u_{(2)}\triangleright S(f_{(2)}))=\\&u\triangleright(f_{(1)}S(f_{(2)}))=u\triangleright\epsilon(f)=\epsilon(u)\epsilon(f),\end{aligned}$$

and the verification of other identity is similar. On the other hand, making use of (1.5), one sees that $M*K=\mathrm{Id}$. So $M=L$, which is equivalent to the claimed identity. ☐

Although $\mathcal{U}$ is not $\mathcal{F}$ comodule algebra, *i.e.,* $\blacktriangledown$ is not algebra map, the properties (1.5) seem to suggest that there could be an algebra structure on $\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{F}$ which would make $\blacktriangledown$ an algebra map. With this in mind, we define a new action of $\mathcal{U}$ on $\mathcal{F}$, $\blacktriangleright\colon\mathcal{U}\otimes\mathcal{F}\to\mathcal{F}$, which convolutes the above action and coaction, by

$$u\blacktriangleright f=S^{-1}(u_{<1>})\,u_{<0>}\triangleright f,\qquad u\in\mathcal{U},\ f\in\mathcal{F}. \tag{1.6}$$

**Lemma 1.2.** *The above formula defines an action which makes $\mathcal{F}$ a $\mathcal{U}^{\mathrm{cop}}$-module algebra.*

*Proof.* To prove that it is an action, we note that by Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{aligned}&S(u\blacktriangleright f)=S(S^{-1}(u_{<1>})\,u_{<0>}\triangleright f)=\\&S(u_{<0>}\triangleright f)\,u_{<1>}=u_{<0>}\triangleright S(f)S(u_{<1>})u_{<2>}=u\triangleright S(f).\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$S(uv\blacktriangleright f)=uv\triangleright S(f)=u\triangleright(v\triangleright S(f))=u\triangleright S(v\blacktriangleright f)=S(u\blacktriangleright(v\blacktriangleright f)).$$

Next, we show $\mathcal{U}^{cop}$ acts on $\mathcal{F}$ by a Hopf action, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&S(u\blacktriangleright(fg))=u\triangleright S(fg)=u_{(1)}\triangleright S(g)\,u_{(2)}\triangleright S(f)=\\&S(u_{(1)}\blacktriangleright g)S(u_{(2)}\blacktriangleright f)=S((u_{(2)}\blacktriangleright f)(u_{(1)}\blacktriangleright g)).\end{aligned}$$

☐

In view of the above lemma, one can now form a new crossed product algebra, which we denote by $\mathcal{F} \bowtie \mathcal{U}^{\mathrm{cop}}$.
Let $\blacktriangledown^{\top} = \top_{1,2} \circ \blacktriangledown : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{U}$, where $\top_{1,2} : \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{U}$ stands for the flip map.

**Lemma 1.3.** *The map* $\blacktriangledown^{\top} : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{F} \bowtie \mathcal{U}^{\mathrm{cop}}$ *is an algebra map.*

*Proof.* Indeed, using (1.5) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\blacktriangledown^{\top}(u)\blacktriangledown^{\top}(v) = (u_{<1>} \otimes u_{<0>})(v_{<1>} \otimes v_{<0>}) = \\
&u_{<1>}(u_{<0>(2)} \blacktriangleright v_{<1>}) \otimes u_{<0>(1)} v_{<0>} = \\
&u_{(1)<1>} u_{(2)<1>} (u_{(2)<0>} \blacktriangleright v_{<1>}) \otimes u_{(1)<0>} v_{<0>} = \\
&u_{(1)<1>} u_{(2)<2>} S^{-1}(u_{(2)<1>})(u_{(2)<0>} \triangleright v_{<1>}) \otimes u_{(1)<0>} v_{<0>} = \\
&u_{(1)<1>}(u_{(2)} \triangleright v_{<1>}) \otimes u_{(1)<0>} v_{<0>} = (uv)_{<1>} \otimes (uv)_{<0>} = \blacktriangledown^{\top}(uv).
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 1.4.** *The antipode of* $\mathcal{U}$ *satisfies the following colinearity property:*

$$\blacktriangledown(S(u)) = S(u_{(2)<0>}) \otimes S(u_{(1)}) \triangleright u_{(2)<1>}, \qquad \forall\, u \in \mathcal{U}.$$

*Proof.* We first show that the operator

$$L(u) = S(u_{(1)}) \triangleright u_{(2)<1>} \otimes S(u_{(2)<0>}).$$

is the right inverse of $\blacktriangledown^{\top}$ in the convolution algebra $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F} \bowtie \mathcal{U}^{\mathrm{cop}})$. Indeed, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\blacktriangledown^{\top} * L)(u) = \blacktriangledown^{\top}(u_{(1)})L(u_{(2)}) = \\
&(u_{(1)<1>} \otimes u_{(1)<0>})(S(u_{(2)}) \triangleright u_{(3)<1>} \otimes S(u_{(3)<0>})) = \\
&u_{(1)<1>} u_{(1)<0>(2)} \blacktriangleright (S(u_{(2)}) \triangleright u_{(3)<1>}) \otimes u_{(1)<0>(1)} S(u_{(3)<0>}) = \\
&u_{(1)(1)<1>} u_{(1)(2)<1>} u_{(1)(2)<0>} \blacktriangleright (S(u_{(2)}) \triangleright u_{(3)<1>}) \otimes u_{(1)(1)<0>} S(u_{(3)<0>}) = \\
&u_{(1)<1>} u_{(2)<1>} u_{(2)<0>} \blacktriangleright (S(u_{(3)}) \triangleright u_{(4)<1>}) \otimes u_{(1)<0>} S(u_{(4)<0>}) = \\
&u_{(1)<1>} u_{(2)<2>} S^{-1}(u_{(2)<1>}) u_{(2)<0>} \triangleright (S(u_{(3)}) \triangleright u_{(4)<1>}) \otimes u_{(1)<0>} S(u_{(4)<0>}) = \\
&u_{(1)<1>}(u_{(2)} S(u_{(3)})) \triangleright u_{(4)<1>} \otimes u_{(1)<0>} S(u_{(4)<0>}) = \\
&u_{(1)<1>} u_{(2)<1>} \otimes u_{(1)<0>} S(u_{(2)<0>}) = u_{<1>} \otimes u_{<0>(1)} S(u_{<0>(2)}) = \\
&u_{<1>} \otimes \epsilon(u_{<0>}) = \epsilon(u) \otimes 1.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since according to Lemma 1.3, $\blacktriangledown^{\top}$ is an algebra map, it is automatically two sided invertible, with $\blacktriangledown^{\top} \circ S$ as its inverse. It follows that $\blacktriangledown^{\top} \circ S = L$, which proves the claim. □

## 1.2 Hopf cyclic complexes based on bicrossed product structure

The Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})$ comes equipped with the character $\delta \in \mathcal{H}^*$, the unique extension of the infinitesimal modular character of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\delta(X) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{ad}\, X)$. Moreover, $\delta : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $S_\delta^2 = \mathrm{Id}$, where $S_\delta(h) = \delta(h_{(1)})S(h_{(2)})$. This means that the corresponding module $\mathbb{C}_\delta$, viewed also as a trivial comodule, defines a *modular pair in involution* (*cf.* [9]), and a particular case of *SAYD module-comodule* (*cf.* [19]). Such a datum allows to specialize Connes' $Ext_\Lambda$-definition [6] of cyclic cohomology to the context of Hopf algebras (*cf.* [8, 9]). The resulting Hopf cyclic cohomology, introduced in [8] and extended to SAYD coefficients in [20], incorporates both Lie algebra and group cohomology and provides the appropriate cohomological tool for the treatment of symmetry in noncommutative geometry. However, its computation for general Hopf algebras poses quite a challenge.

For the convenience of the reader, we recall below from [29, 30] how one can replace the standard Hopf cyclic $(b, B)$-complex $(C^*(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{C}_\delta), b, B)$ of [8, 9] by cohomological models which exploit the bicrossed product structure. In fact, such bicocyclic bicomplexes are associated in [30, §3.2-3.3] to any bicrossed product Hopf algebra equipped with an SAYD module. A bicocyclic bicomplex is a bigraded module whose rows and columns are cocyclic modules and, in addition, the vertical operators and the horizontal operators commute.

In the particular case of $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}_\delta$, the first bicocyclic complex $C_\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta)$ consists of the collection of vector spaces

$$C_\mathcal{H}^{(p,q)}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta) := \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_\mathcal{H} (\mathcal{U}^{\otimes p+1} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes q+1}), \quad (p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+, \tag{1.7}$$

the tensor product over $\mathcal{H}$ being taken with respect to the following action of $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ :

$$(f \blacktriangleright\!\!\vartriangleleft u)v = \varepsilon(f)uv, \quad (f \blacktriangleright\!\!\vartriangleleft u)g = f u \triangleright g, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{U}, \quad f, g \in \mathcal{F}.$$

To simplify the formulas for the boundary operators, we introduce the abbreviated notation

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{u} = u^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes u^p\,, \qquad\qquad \tilde{f} = f^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q\,, \\ &\tilde{u}_{<0>} \otimes \tilde{u}_{<1>} = {u^1}_{<0>} \otimes \cdots \otimes {u^p}_{<0>} \otimes {u^1}_{<1>} \cdots {u^p}_{<1>}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

With this convention, the horizontal operators are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overrightarrow{\partial}_i(\mathbf{1}\otimes\tilde{u}\otimes\tilde{f})=\mathbf{1}\otimes u^0\otimes\cdots\otimes\Delta(u^i)\otimes\cdots\otimes u^p\otimes\tilde{f},\\
&\overrightarrow{\partial}_{p+1}(\mathbf{1}\otimes\tilde{u}\otimes\tilde{f})=\\
&\mathbf{1}\otimes u^0{}_{(2)}\otimes\cdots\otimes u^p\otimes u^0{}_{(1)}{}_{<0>}\otimes f^0u_{(1)}{}_{<1>}\otimes\cdots\otimes f^qu_{(1)}{}_{<q+1>},\\
&\overrightarrow{\sigma}_j(\mathbf{1}\otimes\tilde{u}\otimes\tilde{f})=\mathbf{1}\otimes u^0\otimes\cdots\otimes\varepsilon(u^{j+1})\otimes\cdots\otimes u^p\otimes\tilde{f},\\
&\overrightarrow{\tau}(\mathbf{1}\otimes\tilde{u}\otimes\tilde{f})=\\
&\mathbf{1}\otimes u^1\otimes\cdots\otimes u^p\otimes u^0{}_{<0>}\otimes f^0u_{<1>}\otimes\cdots\otimes f^qu_{<q+1>};
\end{aligned}\tag{1.9}$$

and the vertical operators are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\uparrow\!\partial_i(\mathbf{1}\otimes\tilde{u}\otimes\tilde{f})=\mathbf{1}\otimes\tilde{u}\otimes f^0\otimes\cdots\otimes\Delta(f^i)\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q,\\
&\uparrow\!\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{1}\otimes\tilde{u}\otimes\tilde{f})=\\
&\ \mathbf{1}\otimes u^0{}_{<0>}\otimes\cdots\otimes u^q{}_{<0>}\otimes f^0{}_{(2)}\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q\otimes f^0{}_{(1)}S(u^0{}_{<1>}\dots u^p{}_{<1>}),\\
&\uparrow\!\sigma_j(\mathbf{1}\otimes\tilde{u}\otimes\tilde{f})=\mathbf{1}\otimes\tilde{u}\otimes f^0\otimes\cdots\otimes\varepsilon(f^{j+1})\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q,\\
&\uparrow\!\tau(\mathbf{1}\otimes\tilde{u}\otimes\tilde{f})=\\
&\qquad\mathbf{1}\otimes u^0{}_{(0)}\otimes\cdots\otimes u^q{}_{(0)}\otimes f^1\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q\otimes f^0S(u^0{}_{<1>}\dots u^p{}_{<1>}).
\end{aligned}\tag{1.10}$$

The Hopf algebra $\mathcal{U}$ admits the following action on on $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes q}$, which plays a key role in the definition of the next bicocyclic module:

$$\begin{aligned}
&u\bullet(f^1\otimes\cdots\otimes f^n)=(1\blacktriangleright\!\!\lhd u)\cdot(f^1\otimes\cdots\otimes f^n):=\\
&(1\blacktriangleright\!\!\lhd u)_{(1)}f^1\otimes\cdots\otimes(1\blacktriangleright\!\!\lhd u)_{(n)}f^n=\\
&u_{(1)}{}_{<0>}\triangleright f^1\otimes u_{(1)}{}_{<1>}u_{(2)}{}_{<0>}\triangleright f^2\otimes\cdots\otimes u_{(1)}{}_{<n-1>}\dots u_{(n-1)}{}_{<1>}u_{(n)}\triangleright f^n.
\end{aligned}\tag{1.11}$$

It is worth mentioning that, although this action does not make $\mathcal{F}$ a $\mathcal{U}$-module coalgebra, it does commute with the coproduct.
With this understood, the second bicocyclic module $C(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{F},\mathbb{C}_\delta)$ is given by the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\vdots \arrow[d, shift left, "\uparrow B"] & \vdots \arrow[d, shift left, "\uparrow B"] & \vdots \arrow[d, shift left, "\uparrow B"] & \\
\mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathcal{U}^{\otimes 2} \arrow[u, shift left, "\uparrow b"] \arrow[d, shift left, "\uparrow B"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\overrightarrow{b}"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "\overrightarrow{B}"'] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathcal{U}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{F} \arrow[u, shift left, "\uparrow b"] \arrow[d, shift left, "\uparrow B"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\overrightarrow{b}"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "\overrightarrow{B}"'] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathcal{U}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2} \arrow[u, shift left, "\uparrow b"] \arrow[d, shift left, "\uparrow B"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\overrightarrow{b}"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "\overrightarrow{B}"'] & \cdots \\
\mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathcal{U} \arrow[u, shift left, "\uparrow b"] \arrow[d, shift left, "\uparrow B"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\overrightarrow{b}"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "\overrightarrow{B}"'] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{F} \arrow[u, shift left, "\uparrow b"] \arrow[d, shift left, "\uparrow B"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\overrightarrow{b}"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "\overrightarrow{B}"'] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2} \arrow[u, shift left, "\uparrow b"] \arrow[d, shift left, "\uparrow B"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\overrightarrow{b}"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "\overrightarrow{B}"'] & \cdots \\
\mathbb{C}_\delta \arrow[u, shift left, "\uparrow b"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\overrightarrow{b}"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "\overrightarrow{B}"'] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathcal{F} \arrow[u, shift left, "\uparrow b"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\overrightarrow{b}"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "\overrightarrow{B}"'] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2} \arrow[u, shift left, "\uparrow b"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\overrightarrow{b}"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "\overrightarrow{B}"'] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd} , \tag{1.12}$$

whose horizontal maps are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overrightarrow{\partial}_0(\mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes 1 \otimes u^1 \otimes \ldots \otimes u^p \otimes \tilde{f} \\
&\overrightarrow{\partial}_j(\mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes u^1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \Delta(u^i) \otimes \ldots \otimes u^p \otimes \tilde{f} \\
&\overrightarrow{\partial}_{p+1}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes u^1 \otimes \ldots \otimes u^p \otimes 1 \otimes \tilde{f} \\
&\overrightarrow{\sigma}_j(\mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes u^1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \epsilon(u^{j+1}) \otimes \ldots \otimes u^p \otimes \tilde{f} \\
&\overrightarrow{\tau}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f}) = \\
&\delta({u^1}_{(1)}) \otimes S({u^1}_{(3)}) \cdot (u^2 \otimes \ldots \otimes u^p \otimes 1) \otimes S({u^1}_{(2)}) \bullet \tilde{f},
\end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

while the vertical operators are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\uparrow\partial_0(\mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{u} \otimes 1 \otimes \tilde{f}, \\
&\uparrow\partial_j(\mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{u} \otimes f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta(f^j) \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q, \\
&\uparrow\partial_{q+1}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{u}_{<0>} \otimes \tilde{f} \otimes S(\tilde{u}_{<1>}), \\
&\uparrow\sigma_j(\mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{u} \otimes f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \epsilon(f^{j+1}) \otimes \cdots \otimes f^n, \\
&\uparrow\tau(m \otimes \tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f}) = \\
&\mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{u}_{<0>} \otimes S(f^1) \cdot (f^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^n \otimes S(\tilde{u}_{<1>})).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

The next step consists in identifying the standard Hopf cocyclic module $C^*(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{C}_\delta)$ to the diagonal subcomplex $D^*$ of $C^{*,*}$. This is achieved by means of the map $\Psi_{\blacktriangleright\!\!\triangleleft} : D^* \longrightarrow C^*(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{C}_\delta)$ together with its inverse $\Psi_{\blacktriangleright\!\!\triangleleft}^{-1}$ :

$C^*(\mathcal{H},\mathbb{C}_\delta)\longrightarrow D^*$ . They are explicitly defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&\Psi_{\blacktriangleright\!\triangleleft}(\mathbf{1}\otimes u^1\otimes\cdots\otimes u^n\otimes f^1\otimes\cdots\otimes f^n)=\\&\mathbf{1}\otimes f^1\blacktriangleright\!\!\triangleleft\, u^1{}_{<0>}\otimes f^2u^1{}_{<1>}\blacktriangleright\!\!\triangleleft\, u^2{}_{<0>}\otimes\cdots\otimes\\&\otimes\cdots\otimes f^nu^1{}_{<n-1>}\dots u^{n-1}{}_{<1>}\blacktriangleright\!\!\triangleleft\, u^n,\end{aligned}\tag{1.15}$$

respectively

$$\begin{aligned}&\Psi_{\blacktriangleright\!\triangleleft}^{-1}(\mathbf{1}\otimes f^1\blacktriangleright\!\!\triangleleft\, u^1\otimes\ldots\otimes f^n\blacktriangleright\!\!\triangleleft\, u^n)=\\&\mathbf{1}\otimes u^1{}_{<0>}\otimes\cdots\otimes u^{n-1}{}_{<0>}\otimes u^n\otimes f^1\otimes\\&\otimes f^2S(u^1{}_{<n-1>})\otimes f^3S(u^1{}_{<n-2>}u^2{}_{<n-2>})\otimes\cdots\otimes f^nS(u^1{}_{<1>}\dots u^{n-1}{}_{<1>}).\end{aligned}\tag{1.16}$$

With $Tot(C)$ designating the total mixed complex $Tot(C)_n=\bigoplus_{p+q=n}\mathbb{C}_\delta\otimes\mathcal{U}^p\otimes\mathcal{F}^q$, we denote by $\mathrm{Tot}(C)$ the associated *normalized* subcomplex, obtained by retaining only the elements annihilated by all degeneracy operators. Its total boundary is $b_T+B_T$, with $b_T$ and $B_T$ defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&\overrightarrow{b}_p=\sum_{i=0}^{p+1}(-1)^i\overrightarrow{\partial}_i, &&\uparrow\!b_q=\sum_{i=0}^{q+1}(-1)^i\uparrow\!\partial_i,\\&b_T=\sum_{p+q=n}\overrightarrow{b}_p+\uparrow\!b_q,\end{aligned}\tag{1.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\overrightarrow{B}_p=(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}(-1)^{(p-1)i}\overrightarrow{\tau}^i)\overrightarrow{\sigma}_{p-1}\overrightarrow{\tau}, &&\uparrow\!B_q=(\sum_{i=0}^{q-1}(-1)^{(q-1)i}\uparrow\!\tau^i)\overrightarrow{\sigma}_{q-1}\uparrow\!\tau,\\&B_T=\sum_{p+q=n}\overrightarrow{B}_p+\uparrow\!B_q.\end{aligned}\tag{1.18}$$

The total complex of a bicocyclic module is a mixed complex, and so its cyclic cohomology is well-defined. By means of the analogue of the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem for bi-paracyclic modules [16, 26], the diagonal mixed complex $(D,b,B)$ and the total mixed complex $(\mathrm{Tot}\,C,b_T,B_T)$ can be seen to be quasi-isomorphic in both Hochschild and cyclic cohomology. At the level of Hochschild cohomology the quasi-isomorphism is implemented by the Alexander-Whitney map $AW:=\bigoplus_{p+q=n}AW_{p,q}:\mathrm{Tot}(C)^n\to D^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}&AW_{p,q}:\mathbb{C}_\delta\otimes\mathcal{U}^{\otimes p}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes q}\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}_\delta\otimes\mathcal{U}^{\otimes p+q}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes p+q}\\&AW_{p,q}=(-1)^{p+q}\underbrace{\uparrow\!\partial_0\uparrow\!\partial_0\ldots\uparrow\!\partial_0}_{q\,\text{times}}\overrightarrow{\partial}_n\overrightarrow{\partial}_{n-1}\ldots\overrightarrow{\partial}_{q+1}\,.\end{aligned}\tag{1.19}$$

Using a standard homotopy operator $H$, this can be supplemented by a cyclic Alexander-Whitney map $AW' := AW \circ B \circ H : D^n \to \mathrm{Tot}(C)^{n+2}$, and thus upgraded to an $S$-map $\overline{AW} = (AW, AW')$, of mixed complexes. The inverse quasi-isomorphisms are provided by the shuffle maps $Sh := D(C)^n \to \mathrm{Tot}(C)^n$, resp. $\overline{Sh} = (Sh, Sh')$, which are discussed in detail in [26].
We refer the reader to [30, Theorem 3.16 and §3.3] for a complete proof of the statement recorded below.

**Proposition 1.5.** *The mixed complex* $(\mathrm{Tot}\, C^*(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta), b_T, B_T)$ *is quasi-isomorphic to the standard total Hopf cyclic complex* $(\mathrm{Tot}\, C^*(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{C}_\delta), b, B)$.

The bicocyclic module (1.12) is an amalgam between the Lie algebra homology of $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \bullet}$ and Hopf cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{F}$ with coefficients in the comodule $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes \bullet}$. It can be further reduced to the bicomplex $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta) := \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes \bullet}$, obtained by replacing the tensor algebra of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ with the exterior algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. To this end, recall the action (1.11), which restricted to $\mathfrak{g}$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} &X \bullet (f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q) = (\mathbf{1} \blacktriangleright\!\!\vartriangleleft X)(\tilde{f}) = \qquad\qquad (1.20)\\ &X_{(1)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright f^1 \otimes X_{(1)}{}_{<1>}(X_{(2)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright f^2) \otimes \cdots \otimes X_{(1)}{}_{<q-1>} \dots X_{(q-1)}{}_{<1>}(X_{(q)} \triangleright f^q), \end{aligned}$$

and define $\partial_\mathfrak{g} : \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \wedge^p \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes q} \to \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \wedge^{p-1} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes q}$ as being the Lie algebra homology boundary with respect to the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes q}$ defined by

$$(\mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{f}) \triangleleft X = \delta(X) \otimes \tilde{f} - \mathbf{1} \otimes X \bullet \tilde{f}. \qquad (1.21)$$

Via the antisymmetrization map

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\alpha}_\mathfrak{g} : \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \wedge^q \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes p} \to \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathcal{U}^{\otimes q} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes p}, \qquad \tilde{\alpha}_\mathfrak{g} = \alpha \otimes \mathrm{Id}, \qquad (1.22)\\ &\alpha(\mathbf{1} \otimes X^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X^p) = \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_p} (-1)^\sigma \mathbf{1} \otimes X^{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes X^{\sigma(p)}, \end{aligned}$$

the pullback of the vertical $b$-coboundary in (1.12) vanishes, while the vertical $B$-coboundary becomes $\partial_\mathfrak{g}$ (*cf.* [8, Proposition 7]).
On the other hand, since $\mathcal{F}$ is commutative, the coaction (1.1) extends from $\mathfrak{g}$ to a unique coaction $\blacktriangledown_\mathfrak{g} : \wedge^p \mathfrak{g} \to \wedge^p \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ satisfying

$$\blacktriangledown_\mathfrak{g}(X^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X^q) \;=\; X^1{}_{<0>} \wedge \cdots \wedge X^q{}_{<0>} \otimes X^1{}_{<1>} \dots X^q{}_{<1>}. \qquad (1.23)$$

The coboundary $b_\mathcal{F}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & b_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \alpha \otimes f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \alpha \otimes 1 \otimes f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q + \\ & \sum_{1 \geq i \geq q} (-1)^i \mathbf{1} \otimes \alpha \otimes f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta(f^i) \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q + \\ & (-1)^{q+1} \mathbf{1} \otimes \alpha_{<0>} \otimes f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q \otimes S(\alpha_{<1>}), \end{aligned} \tag{1.24}$$

while the $B$-boundary is

$$B_{\mathcal{F}} = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (-1)^{(q-1)i} \tau_{\mathcal{F}}^i \right) \sigma \tau_{\mathcal{F}}, \qquad \text{where} \tag{1.25}$$

$$\tau_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \alpha \otimes f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q) = \mathbf{1} \otimes \alpha_{<0>} \otimes S(f^1) \cdot (f^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q \otimes S(\alpha_{<1>})),$$
$$\sigma(\mathbf{1} \otimes \alpha \otimes f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q) = \varepsilon(f^q) \otimes \alpha \otimes f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^{q-1}.$$

Thus we arrive at the bicomplex $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta)$, described by the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\vdots \arrow[d, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}"] & \vdots \arrow[d, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}"] & \vdots \arrow[d, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}"] & \\
\mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[d, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}"] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{F} \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[d, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}"] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2} \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[d, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F}}"] \\
\mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathfrak{g} \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[d, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}"] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{F} \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[d, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}"] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2} \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[d, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F}}"] \\
\mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathbb{C} \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}"] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{F} \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}"] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2} \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F}}"]
\end{tikzcd} \; . \tag{1.26}$$

Actually, since $\mathcal{F}$ is commutative and its action on $\mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \wedge^q \mathfrak{g}$ is trivial, by [25, Theorem 3.22] $B_{\mathcal{F}}$ vanishes in Hochschild cohomology and therefore can be omitted from the above diagram.
Referring to [30, Prop. 3.21 and §3.3] for more details, we state the conclusion as follows.

**Proposition 1.6.** *The map* (1.22) *induces a quasi-isomorphism between the total complexes* $\operatorname{Tot} C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta)$ *and* $\operatorname{Tot} C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta)$.

## 1.3 Passage to Lie algebra cohomology

In order to convert the Lie algebra homology into Lie algebra cohomology, we shall resort to the Poincaré isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{d}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \mathrm{Id} : \wedge^q \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes p} \to \wedge^{m-q} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \wedge^m \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes p} \\ \mathfrak{d}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\eta) \;=\; \iota(\eta)\varpi \otimes \varpi^*, \end{aligned} \tag{1.27}$$

where $\varpi$ is a covolume element and $\varpi^*$ is the dual volume element. The contraction operator is defined as follows: for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, $\iota(\lambda) : \wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{g} \to \wedge^{\bullet - 1}\mathfrak{g}$ is the unique derivation of degree $-1$ which on $\mathfrak{g}$ is the evaluation map

$$\iota(\lambda)(X) \;=\; \langle \lambda, X \rangle, \qquad \forall\, X \in \mathfrak{g},$$

while for $\eta = \lambda_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \lambda_q \in \wedge^q \mathfrak{g}^*$, $\iota(\eta) : \wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{g} \to \wedge^{\bullet - q}\mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$\iota(\lambda_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \lambda_q) := \iota(\lambda_q) \circ \ldots \circ \iota(\lambda_1), \qquad \forall\, \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_q \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

Noting that the coadjoint action of $\mathfrak{g}$ induces on $\wedge^m \mathfrak{g}^*$ the action

$$\mathrm{ad}^*(X)\varpi^* = \delta(X)\varpi^*, \qquad \forall\, X \in \mathfrak{g}, \tag{1.28}$$

we shall identify $\wedge^m \mathfrak{g}^*$ with $\mathbb{C}_\delta$ as $\mathfrak{g}$-modules.
To define the coaction of $\mathcal{F}$ on $\wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^*$, we proceed in a manner similar to the definition of its coaction on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. First, we identify $\wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^*$ with the graded algebra $\Omega^{\bullet}(G)^G$ of left-invariant differential forms on $G$. We fix a basis $\{\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_m\}$ of $\Omega^1(G)^G$, and denote by $\{\omega_A = \omega_{a_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_{a_p} \,; a_1 < \ldots < a_p\}$ the corresponding basis of $\Omega^p(G)^G$. Given any $\omega \in \wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^* \equiv \Omega^p(G)^G$, we define a polynomial function on $N$ with values in $\wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^*$ by restricting the (not necessarily invariant) form $\psi^*(\omega)$ to the tangent space at $e \in G$:

$$(\blacktriangledown_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\omega)(\psi) \;=\; (\psi^{-1})^*(\omega)\mid_e, \qquad \psi \in N. \tag{1.29}$$

Explicitly, $\blacktriangledown_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\omega \in \wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}(N)$ is defined as follows. Express $\omega \in \wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^*$ in the form

$$(\psi^{-1})^*(\omega) \;=\; \sum_B \gamma_\omega^B(\psi)\, \omega_B, \qquad \psi \in N, \tag{1.30}$$

with $\gamma_A^B(\psi)$ in the algebra of functions on $G$ generated by $\{\gamma^{\bullet}_{\bullet \ldots \bullet}(\psi)\}$. Then

$$\blacktriangledown_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\omega = \sum_B \omega_B \otimes \eta_\omega^B, \qquad \text{where} \quad \eta_\omega^B(\psi) = \gamma_\omega^B(\psi)(e). \tag{1.31}$$

**Lemma 1.7.**

$$\blacktriangledown_{\mathfrak{g}^*} : \wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^* \to \wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}(N), \tag{1.32}$$

*defines a right coaction.*

*Proof.* On applying (1.30) twice one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}(\psi_1^{-1})^* \left((\psi_2^{-1})^*(\omega)\right) \;&=\; (\psi_1^{-1})^* \big( \sum_B \gamma_\omega^B(\psi_2)\, \omega_B \big) \\ &= \sum_C \left( \sum_B \left( \gamma_\omega^B(\psi_2) \circ (\psi_1^{-1}) \right) \gamma_B^C(\psi_1) \right) \omega_C .\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\left( (\psi_1 \circ \psi_2)^{-1} \right)^* (\omega) \;=\; \sum_C \gamma_\omega^C(\psi_1 \psi_2)\, \omega_C .$$

Equating the two results, one obtains

$$\gamma_\omega^C(\psi_1 \psi_2) \;=\; \sum_B \left( \gamma_\omega^B(\psi_2) \circ (\psi_1^{-1}) \right)\, \gamma_B^C(\psi_1),$$

which by evaluation at $e \in G$ yields

$$\Delta \eta_\omega^C \;=\; \eta_B^C \otimes \eta_\omega^B .$$

In turn, this gives

$$\begin{aligned}(\blacktriangledown_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \otimes \mathrm{Id})(\blacktriangledown_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \omega) \;&=\; (\blacktriangledown_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \sum_B \omega_B \otimes \eta_\omega^B \\ &= \sum_{B,C} \omega_C \otimes \eta_B^C \otimes \eta_\omega^B \;=\; \sum_C \omega_C \otimes \Delta \left( \eta_\omega^C \right) \;=\; (\mathrm{Id} \otimes \Delta)(\blacktriangledown_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \omega).\end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 1.8.** The above coaction gives rise to a left action $\triangleright : \wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^* \times N \to \wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^*$ of $N$ on $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*$, via

$$\blacktriangledown_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \omega = \omega_{<0>} \otimes \omega_{<1>} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \psi \triangleright \omega \;=\; \omega_{<1>}(\psi)\, \omega_{<0>}, \quad \forall\, \psi \in N \tag{1.33}$$

This action coincides in fact with the natural action of $N$ on $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*$, that is

$$\psi \triangleright \omega \;=\; (\psi^{-1})^* \omega \,|_e \, . \tag{1.34}$$

Indeed, by (1.31), for any basis element one has

$$\psi \triangleright \omega_A = \sum_B \gamma_A^B(\psi^{-1})(e)\omega_B \;=\; (\psi^{-1})^*\omega_A \mid_e .$$

**Lemma 1.9.** *The coactions* $\blacktriangledown_{\mathfrak{g}^*} : \wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^* \to \wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}$ *and* $\blacktriangledown_{\mathfrak{g}} : \wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g} \to \wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{F}$ *are Poincaré dual to each other,* i.e. *for any* $\zeta \in \wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*$*,*

$$\blacktriangledown_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\zeta) = \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} \circ \blacktriangledown_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\zeta) \tag{1.35}$$

*Proof.* This follows from the obvious identity

$$\langle (\psi^{-1})^*(\omega) \mid_e, \psi_{*e}(X)\rangle \;=\; \langle \omega, X \mid_e\rangle, \qquad \omega \in \mathfrak{g}^*,\, X \in \mathfrak{g},\, \psi \in N, \tag{1.36}$$

combined with the fact that any covolume element $\varpi \in \wedge^m\mathfrak{g}$ is $\mathbf{G}$-invariant,

$$\varphi^*(\varpi) = \varpi, \qquad \forall\, \varphi \in \mathbf{G} \subset \mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

□

**Remark 1.10.** The equality (1.36) together with the definitions (1.1) and (1.30) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \omega, X\rangle \;&=\; \langle (\psi^{-1})^*(\omega) \mid_e, \psi_{*e}(X)\rangle \;=\; \langle \sum_B \eta_\omega^B(\psi)\,\omega_B, \sum_C \eta_X^C(\psi) X_C\rangle \\ &= \sum_A \eta_\omega^A(\psi)\,\eta_X^A(\psi). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that for $\omega$ and $X$ dual to each other the corresponding matrices $\left(\eta_{\omega,B}^A\right)$ and $\left(\eta_{X,B}^A\right)$ are inverse to each other.

By transfer of structure, the bicomplex (1.26) becomes $(C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F}), \partial_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, b^*_{\mathcal{F}})$,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^* \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}^*}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^*_{\mathcal{F}}"] & \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{F} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}^*}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B^*_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^*_{\mathcal{F}}"] & \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}^*}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B^*_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^*_{\mathcal{F}}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B^*_{\mathcal{F}}"] \\
\mathfrak{g}^* \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}^*}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^*_{\mathcal{F}}"] & \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{F} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}^*}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B^*_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^*_{\mathcal{F}}"] & \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}^*}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B^*_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^*_{\mathcal{F}}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B^*_{\mathcal{F}}"] \\
\mathbb{C} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}^*}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^*_{\mathcal{F}}"] & \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{F} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}^*}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B^*_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^*_{\mathcal{F}}"] & \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}^*}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B^*_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^*_{\mathcal{F}}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B^*_{\mathcal{F}}"] & .
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.37}$$

The vertical coboundary $\partial_{\mathfrak{g}^*} : C^{p,q} \to C^{p,q+1}$ is the Lie algebra cohomology coboundary of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes p}$, where the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ is

$$(f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^p) \blacktriangleleft X = -X \bullet (f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^p). \tag{1.38}$$

The horizontal $b$-coboundary $b^*_{\mathcal{F}}$, resp. the horizonal $B$-boundary $B^*_{\mathcal{F}}$ are the Hochschild coalgebra cohomology coboundary resp. the $B$-boundary operator of $\mathcal{F}$ with coefficients in the comodule $\wedge^q \mathfrak{g}^*$ with respect to the coaction of $\mathcal{F}$ defined by (1.31), given by formulae similar to (1.24), resp. (1.25).
For future reference, we record the conclusion.

**Proposition 1.11.** *The map* (1.27) *induces a quasi-isomorphism between the total complexes* $\operatorname{Tot} C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta)$ *and* $\operatorname{Tot} C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F})$.

## 1.4 $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Hopf cyclic interpretation

We shall now give a genuine Hopf cyclic interpretation to the bicomplex (1.37), by recasting it as a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Hopf cyclic complex for $\mathcal{F}$ with coefficients in a graded SAYD built out of the Koszul resolution.
We recall that the Koszul resolution associated to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is the complex

$$V(\mathfrak{g}^*): \qquad \begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{U} \arrow[r, "\partial_K"] & \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{U} \arrow[r, "\partial_K"] & \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{U} \arrow[r, "\partial_K"] & \cdots, \end{tikzcd} \tag{1.39}$$

where the coboundary $\partial_K$ is the usual Lie algebra cohomology coboundary of $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{U}$, and $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on $\mathcal{U}$ from the right via

$$\triangleleft : \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{U}, \quad u \triangleleft X = -Xu, \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}, \; u \in \mathcal{U}. \tag{1.40}$$

We endow $V^{\oplus}(\mathfrak{g}^*) = \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{U}$ with a coaction $\blacktriangledown_K : V^{\oplus}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \to \mathcal{F} \otimes V^{\oplus}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ defined as follows:

$$\blacktriangledown_K(\omega \otimes u) = S(u_{<0>}{}_{(2)}) \triangleright S(u_{<1>}\omega_{<1>}) \otimes \omega_{<0>} \otimes u_{<0>}{}_{(1)}. \tag{1.41}$$

Equivalently, using (1.2) we can rephrase the definition as

$$\blacktriangledown_K(\omega \otimes u) = S(u_{(2)}{}_{<0>}) \triangleright S(u_{(1)}{}_{<1>} u_{(2)}{}_{<1>} \omega_{<1>}) \otimes \omega_{<0>} \otimes u_{(1)}{}_{<0>}; \tag{1.42}$$

here $\omega_{<0>} \otimes \omega_{<1>}$ refers to the same coaction of $\mathcal{F}$ on $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*$ used in (1.32).

**Lemma 1.12.** *The map* $\blacktriangledown_K : V^{\oplus}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \to \mathcal{F} \otimes V^{\oplus}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ *is a coaction.*

*Proof.* We need to check that $(\mathrm{Id} \otimes \blacktriangledown_K) \circ \blacktriangledown_K = (\Delta \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \circ \blacktriangledown$. Using (1.2) we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathrm{Id} \otimes \blacktriangledown_K) \circ \blacktriangledown_K(\omega \otimes u) = \\
&(\mathrm{Id} \otimes \blacktriangledown_K)(S(u_{<0>(2)}) \triangleright S(u_{<1>}\omega_{<1>}) \otimes \omega_{<0>} \otimes u_{<0>(1)}) = \\
&S(u_{<0>(2)}) \triangleright S(u_{<1>}\omega_{<2>}) \otimes S(u_{<0>(1)<0>(2)}) \triangleright S(u_{<0>(1)<1>}\omega_{<1>}) \otimes \\
&\omega_{<0>} \otimes u_{<0>(1)<0>(1)} = \\
&S(u_{<0>(3)}) \triangleright S(u_{<1>}\omega_{<2>}) \otimes S(u_{<0>(2)<0>}) \triangleright S(u_{<0>(1)<1>}u_{<0>(2)<1>}\omega_{<1>}) \otimes \\
&\omega_{<0>} \otimes u_{<0>(1)<0>} = \\
&S(u_{(3)<0>}) \triangleright S(u_{(1)<2>}u_{(2)<2>}u_{(3)<1>}\omega_{<2>}) \otimes S(u_{(2)<0>}) \triangleright S(u_{(1)<1>}u_{(2)<1>}\omega_{<1>}) \otimes \\
&\omega_{<0>} \otimes u_{(1)<0>}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using (1.41) and Lemma 1.4 we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{Id}) \circ \blacktriangledown_K(\omega \otimes u) = \\
&(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{Id})(S(u_{(2)<0>}) \triangleright S(u_{(1)<1>}u_{(2)<1>}\omega_{<1>}) \otimes \omega_{<0>} \otimes u_{(1)<0>}) = \\
&S(u_{(2)<0>(2)})_{<0>} \triangleright S(u_{(1)<2>}u_{(2)<2>}\omega_{<2>}) \otimes \\
&S(u_{(2)<0>(2)})_{<1>} S(u_{(2)<0>(1)}) \triangleright S(u_{(1)<1>}u_{(2)<1>}\omega_{<1>}) \otimes \omega_{<0>} \otimes u_{(1)<0>} = \\
&S(u_{(2)<0>(3)<0>}) \triangleright S(u_{(1)<2>}u_{(2)<2>}\omega_{<2>}) \otimes \\
&(S(u_{(2)<0>(2)}) \triangleright \big(u_{(2)<0>(3)<1>} S(u_{(1)<1>}u_{(2)<1>}\omega_{<1>})\big) \otimes \omega_{<0>} \otimes u_{(1)<0>} = \\
&S(u_{(3)<0>}) \triangleright S(u_{(1)<2>}u_{(2)<2>}u_{(3)<1>}\omega_{<2>}) \otimes S(u_{(2)<0>}) \triangleright S(u_{(1)<1>}u_{(2)<1>}\omega_{<1>}) \otimes \\
&\omega_{<0>} \otimes u_{(1)<0>}.
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is commutative, $V^{\oplus}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ endowed with the trivial $\mathcal{F}$-action can be viewed as an SAYD over $\mathcal{F}$, hence its Hopf cyclic complex $C^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}, V^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*))$ is well-defined [19]. On the other hand, recall that $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes q}$ via the action $\bullet$ defined in (1.20). We denote the graded module $V^{\oplus}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes q}$ by $C^q_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}, V^{\oplus}(\mathfrak{g}^*))$.

**Proposition 1.13.** *The cyclic structure of* $C^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}, V^{\oplus}(\mathfrak{g}^*))$ *descends to the quotient complex* $C^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}, V^{\oplus}(\mathfrak{g}^*))$.

*Proof.* The action $\bullet$ commutes with comultiplication of $\mathcal{F}$, although $\mathcal{F}$ is not $U(\mathfrak{g})$-module coalgebra. This ensures that $\bullet$ commutes with all cofaces of the

Hopf cyclic complex $C^{\bullet}(\mathcal{F}, V^{\oplus}(\mathfrak{g}^*))$, except possibly the very last one. On the other hand, using the fact that $\varepsilon(u \triangleright f) = \varepsilon(u)\varepsilon(f)$ one sees that $\bullet$ also commutes with codegeneracies. It remains to check that $\bullet$ commutes with $\tau$, more precisely to show that

$$\tau(\omega \otimes u \otimes f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q) = \tau(\omega \otimes 1 \otimes u \bullet (f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q)).$$

By the very definition,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau(\omega \otimes u \otimes f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q) = \\
&\omega_{<0>} \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} u_{<0>}{}^{(1)} \otimes S(f^1) \cdot (f^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q \otimes S(u_{<0>}{}^{(2)}) \triangleright S(u_{<1>}\omega_{<1>})).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand by using (1.2), (1.5), and Lemma 1.1, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tau(\omega \otimes 1 \otimes u \bullet (f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q)) = \\
&\omega_{<0>} \otimes 1 \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} S(u_{(1)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright f^1)) \cdot \big(u_{(1)}{}_{<1>} u_{(2)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright f^2 \otimes \ldots \\
&\qquad\qquad \ldots \otimes u_{(1)}{}_{<q-1>} \ldots u_{(q-1)}{}_{<1>} u_{(1)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright f^q \otimes S(\omega_{<1>})\big) = \\
&\omega_{<0>} \otimes 1 \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} (u_{(1)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright S(f^1)) \cdot \big(u_{(2)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright f^2 \otimes \ldots \\
&\qquad\qquad \ldots \otimes u_{(2)}{}_{<q-2>} \ldots u_{(q-1)}{}_{<1>} u_{(1)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright f^q \otimes S(u_{(1)}{}_{<1>}) S(\omega_{<1>})\big) = \\
&\omega_{<0>} \otimes 1 \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} u_{(1)}{}_{<0>}{}^{(1)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright S(f^1{}_{(q)}) u_{(2)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright f^2 \otimes \ldots \\
&\ldots \otimes u_{(1)}{}_{<0>}{}^{(1)}{}_{<q-1>} \ldots u_{(1)}{}_{<0>}{}^{(q-1)}{}_{<1>} u_{(1)}{}_{<0>}{}^{(q)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright S(f^1{}_{(2)}) \\
&u_{(1)}{}_{<0>}{}^{(1)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright S(f^1{}_{(q)}) u_{(2)}{}_{<q-2>} \ldots u_{(q-1)}{}_{<1>} u_{(1)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright f^q \otimes \\
&u_{(1)}{}_{<0>}{}^{(1)}{}_{<q>} \ldots u_{(1)}{}_{<0>}{}^{(q)}{}_{<1>} u_{(1)}{}_{<0>}{}^{(q+1)} \triangleright S(u_{(1)}{}_{<1>}) S(\omega_{<1>}) = \\
&\omega_{<0>} \otimes 1 \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} u_{<0>}{}^{(1)} \bullet (S(f^1) \cdot (f^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q \otimes S(u_{<0>}{}^{(2)}) \triangleright S(u_{<1>}\omega_{<1>}))).
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

As a result, via the induced structure, the graded module $C^q_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}, V^{\oplus}(\mathfrak{g}^*))$ becomes a cocyclic module. To emphasize the differential graded structure of the coefficients $V^{\oplus}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, we now switch to denoting it $V^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. Accordingly, $C^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}, V^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*))$ will be viewed as a $(b, B)$-cyclic complex

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbb{C} \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F},K}"] & V^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{F} \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F},K}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F},K}"] & V^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2} \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F},K}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F},K}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F},K}"]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.43}$$

with the induced boundaries

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{\mathcal{F},K} &: C^q_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}, V^p(\mathfrak{g}^*)) \to C^{q+1}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}, V^p(\mathfrak{g}^*)), \\
B_{\mathcal{F},K} &: C^q_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}, V^p(\mathfrak{g}^*)) \to C^{q-1}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}, V^p(\mathfrak{g}^*)),
\end{aligned}$$

supplemented by the Koszul coboundary

$$\partial_{\mathcal{F},K} := \partial_K \otimes \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{F}} : C^q_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}, V^p(\mathfrak{g}^*)) \to C^{q+1}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}, V^{p+1}(\mathfrak{g}^*)).$$

**Theorem 1.14.** *$C^\bullet_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}, V^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*))$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Hopf cyclic complex of $\mathcal{F}$ with coefficients in the differential graded SAYD $V^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, and the map $\kappa : C^\bullet_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}, V^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*)) \to C^\bullet(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{g}^*)$ defined by*

$$\kappa(\omega \otimes u \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q) = \omega \otimes u \bullet (f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q),$$

*induces an isomorphism of total complexes.*

*Proof.* Indeed, the inverse map $\kappa^{-1}$ is given by

$$\kappa^{-1}(\omega \otimes \tilde{f}) = \omega \otimes 1 \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} \tilde{f}.$$

Evidently, $\kappa^{-1}$ commutes with the cyclic structure. In addition,

$$\kappa(\partial_{\mathcal{F},K}(\omega \otimes u \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} \tilde{f})) = \kappa(\partial\omega \otimes u \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} \tilde{f} - \sum_i \omega \wedge \theta^i \otimes X_i u \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} \tilde{f}) =$$

$$\partial\omega \otimes u \bullet \tilde{f} - \sum \omega \wedge \theta^i \otimes X_i u \bullet \tilde{f} = \partial_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\kappa(\omega \otimes u \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} \tilde{f})).$$

□

## 1.5 Linkage with group cohomology

Recalling that $\mathcal{F}$ can be viewed as an algebra of regular functions on $N$, it makes sense to try to relate the complex $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F})$ to the group cohomology of $N$ with coefficients in $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*$. To this end we introduce the homogeneous version of the complex (1.37),

$$C^{p,q}_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F}) := (\wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes q+1})^{\mathcal{F}}, \tag{1.44}$$

defined as follows. An element $\sum \alpha \otimes \tilde{f} \in (\wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes q+1})^{\mathcal{F}}$ if it satisfies the $\mathcal{F}$-coinvariance condition:

$$\sum \alpha_{<0>} \otimes \tilde{f} \otimes S(\alpha_{<1>}) = \sum \alpha \otimes \tilde{f}_{<0>} \otimes \tilde{f}_{<1>}; \tag{1.45}$$

here for $\tilde{f} = f^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q$, we have denoted

$$\tilde{f}_{<0>} \otimes \tilde{f}_{<1>} = f^0{}_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q{}_{(1)} \otimes f^0{}_{(2)} \cdots f^q{}_{(2)}. \tag{1.46}$$

One can identify the cochains of (1.37) and (1.44) via the Connes duality isomorphism defined originally in [24] and is recalled here by $\mathcal{I} : \wedge^p\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes q} \to (\wedge^p\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes q+1})^{\mathcal{F}}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{I}(\alpha \otimes \tilde{f}) = \\ &\quad = a_{<0>} \otimes f^1{}_{(1)} \otimes S(f^1{}_{(2)})f^2{}_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes S(f^{q-1}{}_{(2)})f^q{}_{(1)} \otimes S(\alpha_{<1>} f^q{}_{(2)}).\end{aligned} \tag{1.47}$$

To check that the image of $\mathcal{I}$ is formed of coinvariant elements, let $\theta \otimes \tilde{g} := \alpha_{<0>} \otimes f^1{}_{(1)} \otimes S(f^1{}_{(2)})f^2{}_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes S(f^{q-1}{}_{(2)})f^q{}_{(1)} \otimes S(\alpha_{<1>} f^q{}_{(2)})$, we compute both sides of the condition (1.45). On the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned}&\theta_{<0>} \otimes \tilde{g} \otimes S(\theta_{<1>}) = \\ &\alpha_{<0>} \otimes f^1{}_{(1)} \otimes S(f^1{}_{(2)})f^2{}_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes S(f^{q-1}{}_{(2)})f^q{}_{(1)} \otimes S(\alpha_{<2>} f^q{}_{(2)}) \otimes S(\alpha_{<1>})\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}&\theta \otimes \tilde{g}_{<0>} \otimes \tilde{g}_{<1>} = \\ &\alpha_{<0>} \otimes f^1{}_{(1)} \otimes S(f^1{}_{(4)})f^2{}_{(1)} \otimes S(f^2{}_{(4)})f^3{}_{(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes S(f^{q-1}{}_{(4)})f^q{}_{(1)} \otimes S(\alpha_{<2>} f^q{}_{(4)}) \otimes \\ &f^1{}_{(2)}S(f^1{}_{(3)})f^2{}_{(2)}S(f^2{}_{(3)})f^3{}_{(2)} \ldots S(f^{q-1}{}_{(3)})f^q{}_{(2)}S(\alpha_{<1>} f^q{}_{(3)}) = \\ &\alpha_{<0>} \otimes f^1{}_{(1)} \otimes S(f^1{}_{(2)})f^2{}_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes S(f^{q-1}{}_{(2)})f^q{}_{(1)} \otimes S(\alpha_{<2>} f^q{}_{(2)}) \otimes S(\alpha_{<1>}).\end{aligned}$$

The fact that $\mathcal{I}$ is indeed an isomorphism can be seen by verifying that the formula

$$\mathcal{I}^{-1}(\alpha \otimes \tilde{f}) = \alpha \otimes f^0{}_{(1)} \otimes f^0{}_{(2)}f^1{}_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes f^0{}_{(q)} \ldots f^{q-2}{}_{(2)}f^{q-1}\varepsilon(f^q) \tag{1.48}$$

gives its inverse $\mathcal{I}^{-1} : (\wedge^p\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes q+1})^{\mathcal{F}} \to \wedge^p\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes q}$.
The isomorphism $\mathcal{I}$ turns the action (1.20) into the diagonal action

$$X \triangleright (f^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q) = \sum_{i=0}^{q} f^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes X \triangleright f^i \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q. \tag{1.49}$$

Specifically, using the fact that $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on $\mathcal{F}$ by derivations in conjunction with the relations (1.5) and Lemma (1.1), one checks the identity

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{I}(\alpha \otimes X \bullet \tilde{f}) = \\ &\alpha_{<0>} \otimes X \triangleright (f^1{}_{(1)} \otimes S(f^1{}_{(2)})f^2{}_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes S(f^{q-1}{}_{(2)})f^q{}_{(1)} \otimes S(\alpha_{<1>} f_{(2)})).\end{aligned} \tag{1.50}$$

By transfer of structure, $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F})$ can be equipped with the boundary operators

$$\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}} : C^{p,q}_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow C^{p+1,q}_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F}),$$

$$b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{coinv}} : C^{p,q}_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow C^{p,q+1}_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F}),$$

$$B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{coinv}} : C^{p,q}_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F}) \to C^{p,q-1}_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F}),$$

as follows: $\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}$ is the restriction of the Lie algebra cohomology coboundary of $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes q+1}$ on which now $\mathfrak{g}$ acts from the right by

$$(f^0\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q)\triangleleft X := -X\triangleright(f^0\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q);$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}(\alpha\otimes f^0\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q) := \\
&\qquad\qquad \sum_{i=0}^{q+1}(-1)^i\alpha\otimes f^0\otimes\cdots\otimes f^{i-1}\otimes 1\otimes f^i\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q; \\
&B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{coinv}} := \sum_{i=0}^{q-1}(-1)^{(q-1)i}\tau_{\mathrm{coinv}}^i\sigma_{\mathrm{coinv}}\tau_{\mathrm{coinv}}, \\
&\tau_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\alpha\otimes f^0\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q) := \alpha\otimes f^1\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q\otimes f^0, \\
&\sigma_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\alpha\otimes f^0\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q) := \alpha\otimes f^0\otimes\cdots\otimes f^{q-1}f^q.
\end{aligned}$$

One obtains the bigraded module

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
(\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] & (\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2})^{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] & (\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes 3})^{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] \\
(\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] & (\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2})^{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] & (\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes 3})^{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] \\
(\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] & (\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2})^{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] & (\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes 3})^{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}"]
\end{tikzcd},$$

(1.51)

**Proposition 1.15.** *The map* $\mathcal{I} : C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F}) \to C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F})$ *is an isomorphism of bicomplexes.*

*Proof.* Using (1.50), one checks that $\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}} = \mathcal{I} \circ \partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^* \circ \mathcal{I}^{-1}$.
A similar but easier calculation, using that $\Delta(1) = 1 \otimes 1$, verifies the relation $b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{coinv}} = \mathcal{I} \circ b_{\mathcal{F}}^* \circ \mathcal{I}^{-1}$.
One shows that $\mathcal{I}\tau = \tau_{\mathrm{coinv}}\mathcal{I}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{I}\tau(\alpha \otimes f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q) = \\
&\mathcal{I}(\alpha_{<0>} \otimes S({f^1}_{(q)}) f^2 \otimes \cdots \otimes S({f^1}_{(2)}) f^q \otimes S({f^1}_{(1)}) S(\alpha_{<1>})) = \\
&\alpha_{<0>} \otimes (S({f^1}_{(q)}) f^2)_{(1)} \otimes S((S({f^1}_{(q)}) f^2)_{(2)}) (S({f^1}_{<q>}) f^2)_{(1)} \otimes \ldots \\
&\ldots \otimes S((S({f^1}_{(2)}) f^q)_{(2)}) (S({f^1}_{(2)}) f^q)_{(1)} \otimes \\
&S((S({f^1}_{(2)}) f^q)_{(2)}) (S({f^1}_{(1)}) S(\alpha_{<2>}))_{(1)} \otimes S((S({f^1}_{(1)}) S(\alpha_{<2>}))_{(2)}) S(\alpha_{<1>}) = \\
&\alpha_{<0>} \otimes S({f^1}_{(2)}) {f^2}_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes S({f^{q-1}}_{(2)}) {f^q}_{(1)} \otimes S(\alpha_{<1>} {f^q}_{(2)}) \otimes {f^1}_{(1)} = \\
&\tau_{\mathrm{coinv}}\mathcal{I}(\alpha \otimes f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q).
\end{aligned}$$

Leaving to the reader the verification of the similar relation $\mathcal{I}\sigma = \sigma_{\mathrm{coinv}}\mathcal{I}$, we conclude that $\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}$, $b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}$ and $B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}$ are well-defined and form a bicomplex. By the very construction, $\mathcal{I}$ is automatically a map of bicomplexes. ☐

The appropriate group cohomology complex for our purposes is that for the cohomology with polynomial cochains of the inverse limit group

$$\mathfrak{N} := \varprojlim_{k \to \infty} \mathfrak{N}_k \tag{1.52}$$

with $\mathfrak{N}_k$ denoting the group of invertible $k$-jets at 0 of diffeomorphisms in $N$. Recall, *cf.* [30, §1.2-1.3], that the algebra $\mathcal{F}$ is precisely the polynomial algebra generated by the components of such jets. When there is no danger of confusion, we shall abuse the notation by simply writing $\psi \in \mathfrak{N}$ instead of $j_0^\infty(\psi) \in \mathfrak{N}$ with $\psi \in N$. We denote by $C^q_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^*)$ the set of polynomial functions

$$c : \underbrace{\mathfrak{N} \times \ldots \times \mathfrak{N}}_{q+1\,\mathrm{times}} \to \wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^*$$

satisfying the covariance condition

$$c(\psi_0 \psi, \ldots, \psi_q \psi) = \psi^{-1} \triangleright c(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_q), \qquad \forall\, \psi \in \mathfrak{N}, \tag{1.53}$$

where $\triangleright$ stands for the left action defined in Remark 1.8. The coboundary for group cohomology with homogeneous cochains is

$$b_{\mathrm{pol}} c(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_{q+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{q+1} (-1)^i c(\psi_0, \ldots, \hat{\psi}_i, \ldots, \psi_{q+1}). \tag{1.54}$$

In addition, we equip $C^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^*)$ with a $B$-boundary $B_{\mathrm{pol}}$ made out as usual (*cf. e.g.* (1.25)) of the cyclic operator $\tau_{\mathrm{pol}}$ and the codegeneracy $\sigma_{\mathrm{pol}}$, which in turn are given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau_{\mathrm{pol}}(c)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_q) = c(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_q, \psi_0), \\ &\sigma_{\mathrm{pol}}(c)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_{q-1}) = c(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_{q-1}, \psi_{q-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{1.55}$$

The vertical operators are given by the coboundaries $\partial_{\mathrm{pol}} : C^q_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^p\mathfrak{g}^*) \to C^q_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^{p+1}\mathfrak{g}^*)$,

$$(\partial_{\mathrm{pol}} c)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_q) = \partial(c(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_q)) - \sum_i \theta^i \wedge (X_i \triangleright c)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_q). \tag{1.56}$$

Here $\partial : \wedge^p\mathfrak{g}^* \to \wedge^{p+1}\mathfrak{g}^*$ stands for the Lie algebra cohomology coboundary of $\mathfrak{g}$ with trivial coefficients, $\{X_i\}_{1 \le i \le m}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ with dual basis $\{\theta_i\}_{1 \le i \le m}$, and the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on a group cochain $c \in C^q_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^p\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is given by

$$(X \triangleright c)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_q) = \sum_i \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} c(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_i \triangleleft \exp(tX), \ldots, \psi_q). \tag{1.57}$$

We thus arrive at a bicomplex which mixes group cohomology of $\mathfrak{N}$ with coefficients in $\wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^*$ and Lie algebra cohomology of $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{F}$, described by the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
C^0_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^*) \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathrm{pol}}"] & C^1_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^*) \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathrm{pol}}"] & C^2_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{g}^*) \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathrm{pol}}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \\
C^0_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{g}) \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathrm{pol}}"] & C^1_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{g}^*) \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathrm{pol}}"] & C^2_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{g}^*) \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathrm{pol}}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \\
C^0_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{C}) \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathrm{pol}}"] & C^1_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{C}) \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathrm{pol}}"] & C^2_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{C}) \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathrm{pol}}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathrm{pol}}"]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.58}$$

In turn, this can be related to the bicomplex (1.51) via the obvious map $\mathcal{J} : C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\rm coinv}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F}) \to C^{\bullet}_{\rm pol}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*)$ defined, with the self-explanatory notation, by the formula

$$\mathcal{J}\big(\sum \alpha \otimes \tilde{f}\big)\,(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_q) \,=\, \sum f^0(\psi_0) \ldots f^q(\psi_q)\alpha. \tag{1.59}$$

**Proposition 1.16.** *The map* $\mathcal{J} : C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\rm coinv}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F}) \to C^{\bullet}_{\rm pol}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*)$ *is an isomorphism of bicomplexes.*

*Proof.* Let us first show that $\mathcal{J}$ is well-defined. Suppressing the summation sign in the coinvariance condition (1.45), we assume that $\alpha \otimes \tilde{f}$ satisfies it and compute, using the identity (1.33),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{J}(\alpha \otimes f^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q)(\psi_0\psi, \ldots \psi_q\psi) = \alpha f^0(\psi_0\psi) \ldots f^q(\psi_q\psi) = \\
&\alpha f^0{}_{(1)}(\psi_0) f^0{}_{(2)}(\psi) \ldots f^q{}_{(1)}(\psi_q) f^q{}_{(2)}(\psi) = \alpha f^0{}_{(1)}(\psi_0) \ldots f^q{}_{(1)}(\psi_q)(f^0{}_{(2)} \ldots f^q{}_{(2)})(\psi) = \\
&\alpha_{<0>} f^0(\psi_0) \ldots f^q(\psi_q) S(\alpha_{<1>})(\psi) = \alpha_{<0>} f^0(\psi_0) \ldots f^q(\psi_q) \alpha_{<1>}(\psi^{-1}) = \\
&(\psi^{-1} \triangleright \alpha) f^0(\psi_0) \ldots f^q(\psi_q) = \psi^{-1} \triangleright \big(\mathcal{J}(\alpha \otimes f^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_q)\big).
\end{aligned}$$

By the very definitions of $\mathcal{F}$ and $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*)$, it is obvious that $\mathcal{J}$ is isomorphism of vector spaces. We just need to show that

$$b_{\rm pol}\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J} b_{\rm coinv}, \quad \partial_{\rm pol}\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}\partial_{\rm coinv}, \quad \tau_{\rm pol}\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}\tau_{\rm coinv}, \quad \sigma_{\rm pol}\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{J}\sigma_{\rm coinv}.$$

The verification of the first commutation relation is straightforward. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(b_{\rm pol}\mathcal{J})(\alpha \otimes f^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_{q+1}) = \\
&\sum_i (-1)^i \mathcal{J}(\alpha \otimes f^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q)(\psi_0, \ldots, \hat{\psi}_i, \ldots, \psi_{q+1}) = \\
&\sum_i (-1)^i \alpha f^0(\psi_0) \ldots f^{i-1}(\psi_{i-1}) f^i(\psi_{i+1}) \ldots f^q(\psi_{q+1}) = \\
&\sum_i (-1)^i \mathcal{J}(\alpha \otimes f^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^{i-1} \otimes 1 \otimes f^i \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_{q+1}) = \\
&\mathcal{J}\big(b_{\rm coinv}(\alpha \otimes f^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q)\big)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_{q+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

To check the second relation, recalling (1.57), we compute

$$(\partial_{\mathrm{pol}}\mathcal{J})(\alpha\otimes f^0\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q)(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_q)=\partial\big(\mathcal{J}(\alpha\otimes f^0\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q)(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_q)\big)-$$
$$\sum_i\theta^i\wedge(X_i\triangleright\mathcal{J}(\alpha\otimes f^0\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q))(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_q)=$$
$$\partial\big(\alpha f^0(\psi_0)\ldots f^q(\psi_q)\big)-\sum_{i,j}\theta^i\wedge\alpha f^0(\psi_0)\ldots\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}f^j(\psi_j\triangleleft\exp(tX_i))\ldots f^q(\psi_q)=$$
$$\partial(\alpha)f^0(\psi_0)\ldots f^q(\psi_q)-\sum_{i,j}\theta^i\wedge\alpha f^0(\psi_0)\ldots(X_i\triangleright f^j)(\psi_j)\ldots f^q(\psi_q)=$$
$$\mathcal{J}(\partial_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\alpha\otimes f^0\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q))(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_q).$$

The remaining two commutation relations are obvious. ☐

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is commutative, the coaction of $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes q+1}$ restricts to $\wedge^{q+1}\mathcal{F}$, giving the coaction

$$\blacktriangledown_{\mathrm{wedge}}(f^0\wedge\cdots\wedge f^q)=f^0{}_{(1)}\wedge\cdots\wedge f^q{}_{(1)}\otimes f^0{}_{(2)}\ldots f^q{}_{(2)}. \tag{1.60}$$

With this understood, we consider the subcomplex $C^{p,q}_{\mathrm{c-w}}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F})\subset C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F})$ formed of the $\mathcal{F}$-coinvariant cochains $(\wedge^p\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\wedge^{q+1}\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}}$. Diagrammatically,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^* \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] \arrow[r, "b_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] & (\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\wedge^2\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] \arrow[r, "b_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] & (\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\wedge^3\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] \arrow[r, "b_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] & \cdots \\
\mathfrak{g}^* \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] \arrow[r, "b_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] & (\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\wedge^2\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] \arrow[r, "b_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] & (\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\wedge^3\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] \arrow[r, "b_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] & \cdots \\
\mathbb{C} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] \arrow[r, "b_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] & (\mathbb{C}\otimes\wedge^2\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] \arrow[r, "b_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] & (\mathbb{C}\otimes\wedge^3\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] \arrow[r, "b_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.61}$$
,

with the coboundaries

$$b_{\mathrm{c-w}}(\alpha\otimes f^0\wedge\cdots\wedge f^q)=\alpha\otimes 1\wedge f^0\wedge\cdots\wedge f^q, \tag{1.62}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_{\mathrm{c-w}}(\alpha \otimes f^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q)(X^0, X^2, \ldots, X^p) = \\ &\sum_{0 \le i < j \le p} (-1)^{i+j} \alpha([X^i, X^j], X^0, \ldots \check{X}^i, \ldots \check{X}^j, \ldots, X^p) f^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q - \\ &\sum_{0 \le i \le p,\ 0 \le j \le q} (-1)^i \alpha(X^0, \ldots \check{X}^i, \ldots X^p) f^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge X^i \triangleright f^j \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q,\end{aligned} \tag{1.63}$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned}&\partial_{\mathrm{c-w}}(\alpha \otimes f^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q) = \\ &\partial\alpha \otimes f^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q - \sum_i \theta^i \wedge \alpha \otimes \wedge \otimes X_i \triangleright (f^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q).\end{aligned} \tag{1.64}$$

One remarks that

$$\tau_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\alpha \otimes f^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q) = \alpha \otimes f^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q \wedge f^0 = \\ (-1)^q \alpha \otimes f^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q,$$

which implies that $B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{coinv}} \equiv 0$ in $C_{\mathrm{c-w}}^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F})$.
We denote by $\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{F}} : C_{\mathrm{c-w}}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F}) \to C_{\mathrm{coinv}}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F})$ the $\mathcal{F}$-antisymmetrization map

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha \otimes f^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q) = \frac{1}{(q+1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{q+1}} (-1)^\sigma \alpha \otimes f^{\sigma(0)} \otimes \cdots \otimes f^{\sigma(q)}. \tag{1.65}$$

and note that it commutes with coboundaries. One also observes that the projection $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} : C_{\mathrm{coinv}}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F}) \to C_{\mathrm{c-w}}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F})$,

$$\pi_{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha \otimes f^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q) = \alpha \otimes f^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q, \tag{1.66}$$

is a map of bicomplexes which is a left inverse for $A$.

**Proposition 1.17.** *The map $\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{F}} : C_{\mathrm{c-w}}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F}) \to C_{\mathrm{coinv}}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F})$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Denote by $C_{\mathrm{pol-w}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g})$ the subcomplex of $C_{\mathrm{pol}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g})$ consisting of the totally antisymmetric group cochains, equipped with the induced coboundaries, and define

$$\mathcal{J}^{\mathrm{wedge}}(\alpha \otimes f^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_q) = \frac{1}{(q+1)!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{q+1}} (-1)^\sigma \alpha f^{\sigma(0)}(\psi_0) \cdots f^{\sigma(q)}(\psi_q).$$

It is clear that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^{p,q}_{\mathrm{c-w}}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F}) \arrow[r, hook, "\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{F}}", "\simeq"'] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{J}^{\mathrm{wedge}}"] & C^{p,q}_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F}) \arrow[d, "\mathcal{J}"] \\
C^{q}_{\mathrm{pol-w}}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^*) \arrow[r, hook] & C^{q}_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^*).
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1.67}$$

The lower row of the above square is known to be a quasi-isomorphism, and the vertical maps $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{J}^{\mathrm{wedge}}$ are clearly isomorphisms. ☐

# 2 Lie algebra, equivariant and Hopf cyclic cohomology

In the first part of this section we shall recall from [8] the construction of a canonical homomorphism from the Gelfand-Fuks cohomology to the Diff-equivariant cohomology of the frame bundle. This homomorphism implements a key component of the classical construction realizing the Gelfand-Fuks classes as characteristic classes of foliations. The novel feature, brought out in the second part of this section, consists in identifying its image as a bicomplex computing the Hopf cyclic cohomology. The last part of the section establishes the isomorphism of the latter bicomplex with the Gelfand-Fuks Lie algebra cohomology.

## 2.1 From Gelfand-Fuks to equivariant cohomology

With $\mathbf{G}$ designating as above the group of global diffeomorphisms of an infinite primitive pseudogroup, we let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}(\mathbf{G})$ denote the corresponding Lie algebra of formal vector fields. A formal vector field $v \in \mathfrak{a}$ can be identified with the infinite jet of a vector field at 0. Letting $\mathcal{J}_0^\infty = \mathcal{J}_0^\infty(\mathbf{G})$ stand for the manifold of (invertible) jets of infinite order at 0 of local diffeomorphisms in $\mathbf{G}$, and denoting by $j_0^\infty(\phi)$ the jet of $\phi \in \mathbf{G}$, any formal vector field $v \in \mathfrak{a}$ can be represented as the jet at 0 of a 1-parameter group of local diffeomorphisms $\{\phi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}, \quad v = j_0^\infty\left(\frac{d}{dt}\mid_{t=0} \phi_t\right)$, and gives rise to a left invariant vector field $\tilde{v}$ on $\mathcal{J}_0^\infty$, whose value at $j_0^\infty(\phi) \in \mathcal{J}_0^\infty$ is given by

$$\tilde{v} \mid_{j_0^\infty(\phi)} = j_0^\infty \left( \frac{d}{dt} \mid_{t=0} (\phi \circ \phi_t) \right).$$

We can thus associate to each $\omega \in C^m_{\text{top}}(\mathfrak{a})$ the left invariant form $\tilde{\omega}$ on $\mathcal{J}_0^\infty$ defined by

$$\tilde{\omega}(\tilde{v}_1 \mid_{j_0^\infty(\phi)}, \ldots, \tilde{v}_m \mid_{j_0^\infty(\phi)}) \,=\, \omega(v_1, \ldots, v_m). \tag{2.1}$$

We let $J\pi_1^\infty : \mathcal{J}_0^\infty \to \mathcal{J}_0^1 \simeq G$ stand for the projection onto the first jet bundle, and denote by $\pi_G : \mathcal{J}_0^\infty \to G$ and $\pi_N : \mathcal{J}_0^\infty \to \mathfrak{N}$ the projections relative to the Kac decomposition $\mathcal{J}_0^\infty = G \cdot \mathfrak{N}$.

To construct the desired homomorphism, we associate to any $\omega \in C^r(\mathfrak{a})$ and any pair of integers $(p, q)$, $p + m = q + r$, $0 \leq q \leq m = \dim G$, a $p$-cochain $\mathcal{C}_{p,q}(\omega)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p)$ on the group $\mathfrak{N}$ with values in $q$-currents on $G$ as follows. For each $q$-form with compact support $\zeta \in \Omega^q_c(G)$, one sets

$$\langle \mathcal{C}_{p,q}(\omega)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p), \, \zeta \rangle \,=\, \int_{\Sigma(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p)} J\pi_1^{\infty\,*}(\zeta) \wedge \tilde{\omega}\,; \tag{2.2}$$

the integration is taken over the finite dimensional cycle in $\mathcal{J}_0^\infty$

$$\Sigma = \Sigma(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p) = \{ j_0^\infty(\rho) \in \mathcal{J}_0^\infty\,; \quad \pi_N(\rho^{-1}) \in \Delta(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p) \}, \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$\Delta(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p) \,=\, \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^p t_i \, j_0^\infty(\psi_i) \mid t_i \geq 0, \, \sum_{i=0}^p t_i = 1 \right\}. \tag{2.4}$$

We note that $\Delta(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p) \subset \mathfrak{N}$, because at the first jet level

$$\sum_{i=0}^p t_i \, j_0^1(\psi_i) \,=\, (0, \mathrm{Id}). \tag{2.5}$$

Also, the integration makes sense because the form $\tilde{\omega}$ lives on a finite-order jet bundle and has an algebraic expression, with polynomial coefficients, in the canonical coordinates.

As mentioned in the preceding section, we shall often abbreviate the notation by not marking the distinction between $\psi \in \mathfrak{N}$ and its jet $j_0^\infty(\psi) \in \mathfrak{N}$. Manifestly, the simplex definition is covariant with respect to right translations by elements of $\mathfrak{N}$,

$$\Delta(\psi_0\psi, \ldots, \psi_p\psi) \,=\, \Delta(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p)\,\psi, \qquad \forall\, \psi \in \mathfrak{N}. \tag{2.6}$$

A similar relation holds with respect to the right action of $G$ on $\mathfrak{N}$. For $\varphi \in G$ this action amounts to passing from $\psi \in \mathfrak{N}$ to $\psi \triangleleft \varphi \in \mathfrak{N}$. Recall from [30, Ch.1] that, with the shorthand notation $\eta = \eta^i_{j\ell_1\ldots\ell_r}$ and $\gamma = \gamma^i_{j\ell_1\ldots\ell_r}$, one has

$$\gamma(\psi \triangleleft \varphi) \,=\, \gamma(\psi \circ \varphi) \,=\, \gamma(\psi) \circ \tilde{\varphi}, \qquad \forall\, \varphi \in G, \tag{2.7}$$

in particular

$$\eta(\psi \triangleleft \varphi) \,=\, \gamma(\psi)(\tilde{\varphi}(e)), \tag{2.8}$$

Thus, tautologically, one has

$$\Delta(\psi_0 \triangleleft \varphi, \ldots, \psi_p \triangleleft \varphi) \,=\, \Delta(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p) \triangleleft \varphi, \qquad \forall\, \varphi \in G, \tag{2.9}$$

and the identities (2.6) and (2.9) taken together express the covariance of the simplex with respect to the right action of $\mathbf{G}$ on $\mathfrak{N}$:

$$\Delta(\psi_0 \triangleleft \phi, \ldots, \psi_p \triangleleft \phi) \,=\, \Delta(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p) \triangleleft \phi, \qquad \forall\, \phi \in \mathbf{G}. \tag{2.10}$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *The group cochain $\mathcal{C}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)$ satisfies the covariance property*

$$\mathcal{C}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)(\psi_0 \triangleleft \phi, \ldots, \psi_p \triangleleft \phi) = {}^tL^*_{\phi^{-1}\triangleright}\, \mathcal{C}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p), \quad \forall\, \phi \in \mathbf{G}, \tag{2.11}$$

*where the left translation operator in the right hand side refers to the left action of $\mathbf{G}$ on $G$.*

*Proof.* Indeed, by (2.10), when computing $\langle \mathcal{C}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)(\psi_0 \triangleleft \phi, \ldots, \psi_p \triangleleft \phi),\, \zeta\rangle$ one integrates on the cycle $\Sigma_\phi = \{\rho \in \mathbf{G}\,;\, \pi_N(\rho^{-1}) \triangleleft \phi^{-1} \in \Delta(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p)\}$. Now remark that

$$\pi_N(\rho^{-1}) \triangleleft \phi^{-1} = \pi_N(\rho^{-1} \cdot \phi^{-1}); \tag{2.12}$$

for $\phi \in \mathfrak{N}$ this absolutely obvious, while for $\phi \in G$ it can be seen by writing

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{-1} \cdot \phi^{-1} &= \pi_G(\rho^{-1}) \cdot \pi_N(\rho^{-1}) \cdot \phi^{-1} \\ &= \pi_G(\rho^{-1}) \cdot (\pi_N(\rho^{-1}) \triangleright \phi^{-1}) \cdot (\pi_N(\rho^{-1}) \triangleleft \phi^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.12), $\rho \in \Sigma_\phi$ iff $\phi \cdot \rho \in \Sigma$, hence $\Sigma_\phi = L_{\phi^{-1}}\Sigma$. Thus,

$$\langle \mathcal{C}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)(\psi_0 \triangleleft \phi, \dots, \psi_p \triangleleft \phi), \zeta\rangle = \int_{L_\phi^{-1}\Sigma} J\pi_1^{\infty\,*}(\zeta) \wedge \tilde{\omega} =$$
$$= \int_\Sigma (J\pi_1^\infty \circ L_{\phi^{-1}})^*(\zeta) \wedge L_{\phi^{-1}}^*(\tilde{\omega}) = \int_\Sigma (J\pi_1^\infty \circ L_{\phi^{-1}})^*(\zeta) \wedge \tilde{\omega},$$

since $\tilde{\omega}$ is left invariant. Furthermore, noting that $J\pi_1^\infty \circ L_\phi = L_{\phi\triangleright} \circ J\pi_1^\infty$, which is the jet counterpart of the relation

$$\pi_G(\phi \cdot \rho) = \pi_G(\phi) \cdot \pi_N(\phi) \triangleright \rho =: \phi \triangleright \pi_G(\rho).$$

one sees that $(J\pi_1^\infty \circ L_{\phi^{-1}})^*(\zeta) = (L_{\phi^{-1}\triangleright} \circ J\pi_1^\infty)^*(\zeta) = J\pi_1^{\infty\,*}\big(L^*_{\phi^{-1}\triangleright}(\zeta)\big)$. Thus

$$\langle \mathcal{C}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)(\psi_0 \triangleleft \phi, \dots, \psi_p \triangleleft \phi), \zeta\rangle = \int_\Sigma J\pi_1^{\infty\,*}\big(L^*_{\phi^{-1}\triangleright}(\zeta)\big) \wedge \tilde{\omega} =$$
$$= \langle \mathcal{C}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_p), L^*_{\phi^{-1}\triangleright}(\zeta)\rangle,$$

and the identity (2.11) follows by transposing the action from forms to currents. ☐

**Proposition 2.2.** *The map* $\mathcal{C} : C^\bullet_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathfrak{a}) \to C^\bullet_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathfrak{N}, \Omega_\bullet(G))$ *is a map of complexes.*

*Proof.* One has

$$\langle \mathcal{C}_{p,\bullet}(d\omega)(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_p), \zeta\rangle = \int_{\Sigma(\psi_0,\dots,\psi_p)} J\pi_1^{\infty\,*}(\zeta) \wedge d\tilde{\omega} =$$
$$= \int_{\Sigma(\psi_0,\dots,\psi_p)} d\big(J\pi_1^{\infty\,*}(\zeta) \wedge \tilde{\omega}\big) \pm \int_{\Sigma(\psi_0,\dots,\psi_p)} J\pi_1^{\infty\,*}(d\zeta) \wedge \tilde{\omega}.$$

The second integral corresponds to the de Rham boundary $\partial^{\mathrm{t}}\mathcal{C}(\omega)(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_p)$ while the first becomes, on applying Stokes, the group coboundary:

$$= \int_{\partial\Sigma(\psi_0,\dots,\psi_p)} J\pi_1^{\infty\,*}(\zeta) \wedge \tilde{\omega} = \sum_{i=0}^{p+1} (-1)^i \int_{\Sigma(\psi_0,\dots,\widehat{\psi_i},\dots,\psi_p)} J\pi_1^{\infty\,*}(\zeta) \wedge \tilde{\omega}$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{p} (-1)^i \langle \mathcal{C}_{p-1,\bullet}(\omega)(\psi_0, \dots, \widehat{\psi_i}, \dots, \psi_p), \zeta\rangle.$$

☐

The current $\mathcal{C}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)$ in (2.2) is defined as the integration along the fibre, in the fibration $J\pi_1^\infty : \mathcal{J}_0^\infty \to \mathcal{J}_0^1 \simeq G$, of the current $\tilde{\omega} \cap \Delta$. In order to better understand it, we shall revisit its definition.
Let $\rho = \varphi \cdot \psi \in \mathbf{G}$, with $\varphi \in G$ and $\psi \in \mathfrak{N}$. Then

$$\rho^{-1} \,=\, \psi^{-1} \cdot \varphi^{-1} \,=\, (\psi^{-1} \triangleright \varphi^{-1}) \cdot (\psi^{-1} \triangleleft \varphi^{-1}),$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} j_0^\infty(\rho) \in \Sigma(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p) \iff & \psi^{-1} \triangleleft \varphi^{-1} \in \Delta(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p) \\ \iff & \psi \in \big(\Delta(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p) \triangleleft \varphi\big)^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

In view of the equation (2.9), this shows that the cycle (2.3) can be described as the image of the map $\sigma_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)} : G \times \Delta_p \to \mathcal{J}_0^\infty$ given by

$$\sigma_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)}(\varphi, \mathbf{t}) \,=\, \varphi \cdot \big(\mathbf{s}_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)}(\mathbf{t}) \triangleleft \varphi\big)^{-1}, \tag{2.14}$$

where $\mathbf{s}_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)} : \Delta_p \to \mathfrak{N}$ is the map of the standard $p$-simplex

$$\Delta_p \,=\, \{\mathbf{t} = (t_0, \ldots t_p) \mid t_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=0}^p t_i = 1\},$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)}(\mathbf{t}) \,=\, \sum_{i=0}^p t_i \, j_0^\infty(\psi_i).$$

Therefore the definition of the current (2.2) can be restated as follows:

$$\langle \mathcal{C}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p), \, \zeta \rangle \,=\, \int_{G \times \Delta_p} \sigma^*_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)} \left(J\pi_1^{\infty\,*}(\zeta) \wedge \tilde{\omega}\right) . \tag{2.15}$$

Since $J\pi_1^\infty \circ \sigma_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)} : G \times \Delta_p \to G$ coincides with the projection on the first factor $\pi_1 : G \times \Delta_p \to G$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{C}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p), \, \zeta \rangle &= \int_{G \times \Delta_p} \pi_1^*(\zeta) \wedge \sigma^*_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)}(\tilde{\omega}) \\ &= \int_G \zeta \wedge \int_{\pi_\Delta} \sigma^*_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)}(\tilde{\omega}), \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where $\displaystyle\int_{\pi_\Delta}$ stands for the integration along the fibre of $\pi_\Delta : G \times \Delta_p \to G$. Thus, the current $\mathcal{C}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p)$ is actually the Poincaré dual of the form

$$\mathcal{D}_{p,\,\dim G - \bullet}(\omega)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p) \,=\, \int_{\pi_\Delta} \sigma^*_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)}(\tilde{\omega}) \,\in\, \Omega^{\dim G - \bullet}(G). \tag{2.17}$$

Transposing the covariance property in Lemma 2.1, by Poincaré duality yields the identity

$$\mathcal{D}_{p,\bullet}(\tilde{\omega})(\psi_0 \triangleleft \phi, \ldots, \psi_p \triangleleft \phi) \,=\, L^*_{\phi\triangleright}\, \mathcal{D}_{p,\bullet}(\tilde{\omega})(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p), \quad \forall\, \phi \in \mathbf{G}. \tag{2.18}$$

In particular, for any $\varphi \in G$ and any $q$-tuple of left invariant tangent vector fields $(X^1, \ldots, X^q)$ on $G$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{D}_{p,q}(\tilde{\omega})(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p)(X^1_\varphi, \ldots, X^q_\varphi) \\ &\quad = \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(\tilde{\omega})(\psi_0 \triangleleft \varphi, \ldots, \psi_p \triangleleft \varphi)(L_{\varphi^{-1}*} X^1_\varphi, \ldots, L_{\varphi^{-1}*} X^q_\varphi) \\ &\qquad = \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(\tilde{\omega})(\psi_0 \triangleleft \varphi, \ldots, \psi_p \triangleleft \varphi)(X^1_e, \ldots, X^q_e). \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

## 2.2 From equivariant to Hopf cyclic cohomology

Equation (2.19) shows that, as a group cochain with values in $\Omega^\bullet(G)$, $\mathcal{D}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)$ is completely determined by the values taken at $e \in G$, i.e. by the "core" cochain

$$\mathcal{E}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p) := \int_{\pi_\Delta} \sigma^*_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)}(\tilde{\omega}) \mid_{\varphi=e} \,\in\, \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*. \tag{2.20}$$

To give a precise meaning to the localization at the unit $e \in G$, let us fix a basis of left $G$-invariant forms on $G$,

$$\{\alpha_I = \alpha_{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \alpha_{i_q}\,;\, I = (i_1 < \ldots < i_q), \quad q \geq 0\},$$

and note that the form (2.17) can be uniquely written as a sum

$$\int_{\pi_\Delta} \sigma^*_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)}(\tilde{\omega}) \,=\, \sum_I h^I_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)}\, \alpha_I\,, \quad \text{with} \quad h^I_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)} \in C^\infty(G).$$

The right hand side of (2.20) is then given by the equality

$$\int_{\pi_\Delta} \sigma^*_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)}(\tilde{\omega}) \mid_{\varphi=e} \,=\, \sum_I h^I_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)}(e)\, \alpha_I \mid_e \quad \in \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*. \tag{2.21}$$

Denoting by $\{X^I\}$ the basis of left invariant vector fields on $G$ dual to $\{\alpha_I\}$, one can express the above coefficients as genuine integrals of forms over simplices,

$$h^I_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)}(e) \,=\, \int_{\Delta_p} \iota_{X^I_e} \sigma^*_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)}(\tilde{\omega})\,, \tag{2.22}$$

which leads to the following unambiguous definition of $\mathcal{E}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)$ :

$$\mathcal{E}_{p,q}(\omega)(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p) \,=\, \sum_{|I|=q} \left( \int_{\Delta_p} \iota_{X^I_e} \sigma^*_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)}(\tilde{\omega}) \right) \alpha_I \mid_e \in \wedge^q \mathfrak{g}^*. \tag{2.23}$$

Observe now that one can factor the map defined in (2.14) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)} = \nu \circ (\mathrm{Id}_G \times \mathbf{s}_{(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)}), \\ \text{where} \qquad &\nu : G \times \mathfrak{N} \to \mathcal{J}^\infty_0, \qquad \nu(\varphi, \psi) \,=\, \varphi\, \imath(\psi \triangleleft \varphi), \\ \text{and} \qquad &\imath : \mathbf{G} \to \mathbf{G}, \qquad \imath(\psi) \,=\, \psi^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Substituting this in (2.23) yields the equivalent definition

$$\mathcal{E}_{p,q}(\omega)(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p) \,=\, \sum_{|I|=q} \left( \int_{\Delta(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)} \imath^*\big(\iota_{X^I_e} \nu^*(\tilde{\omega})\big) \right) \alpha_I \mid_e . \tag{2.25}$$

**Remark 2.3.** *With the above notation, the relation between the cochains* $\mathcal{D}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)$ *and* $\mathcal{E}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)$ *is encapsulated in the following identity:*

$$\mathcal{D}_{p,q}(\omega)(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p) \mid_\varphi = \sum_{|I|=q} \left( \int_{\Delta(\psi_0 \triangleleft \varphi,\ldots,\psi_p \triangleleft \varphi)} \imath^*\big(\iota_{X^I_e} \nu^*(\tilde{\omega})\big) \right) \alpha_I \mid_\varphi . \tag{2.26}$$

We next focus on further clarifying the significance of the cochain $\mathcal{E}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)$.

**Lemma 2.4.** *The form*

$$\mu(\omega) \,=\, \sum_{|I|=q} \imath^*\big(\iota_{X^I_e} \nu^*(\tilde{\omega})\big) \otimes \alpha_I \mid_e \quad \in \Omega^p(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^q \mathfrak{g}^*) \tag{2.27}$$

*is invariant under the action of* $\mathfrak{N}$, *operating on* $\mathfrak{N}$ *by right translations and on the coefficients via the differential of the action* $\triangleright$. *In addition,*

$$\mathcal{E}_{p,q}(\omega)(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p) \,=\, \int_{\Delta(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)} \mu(\omega). \tag{2.28}$$

*Proof.* The identity (2.28) is merely the reformulation of (2.25) in view of the notation introduced in (2.27).
By specializing the covariance property (2.18) to elements $\psi \in \mathfrak{N}$, one obtains

$$\mathcal{D}_{p,\bullet}(\tilde{\omega})(\psi_0\psi, \ldots, \psi_p\psi) \;=\; L^*_{\psi\triangleright}\, \mathcal{D}_{p,\bullet}(\tilde{\omega})(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p). \tag{2.29}$$

Now restricting the right hand side to $e \in G$, and noting that $L_{\psi\triangleright}(e) = e$, while by the very definitions

$$\left(L^*_{\psi\triangleright}\alpha_I\right)|_e = \psi^{-1} \triangleright (\alpha_I\, |_e)\,,$$

one obtains

$$L^*_{\psi\triangleright}\, \mathcal{D}_{p,\bullet}(\tilde{\omega})(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p)\, |_e = \psi^{-1} \triangleright \int_{\Delta(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)} \mu(\omega) \;=\; \int_{\Delta(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)} \psi^{-1} \triangleright \mu(\omega).$$

On the other hand, the left hand side restricted to $e \in G$ yields

$$\mathcal{D}_{p,\bullet}(\tilde{\omega})(\psi_0\psi, \ldots, \psi_p\psi)\, |_e = \int_{\Delta(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)\psi} \mu(\omega) \;=\; \int_{\Delta(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)} R^*_\psi \mu(\omega).$$

The equality of the two sides gives the identity

$$\int_{\Delta(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)} R^*_\psi \mu(\omega) \;=\; \int_{\Delta(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)} \psi^{-1} \triangleright \mu(\omega), \qquad \forall\, \psi \in \mathfrak{N}.$$

Since this is valid for any simplex, the two integrands must coincide. ☐

**Lemma 2.5.** *The map* $\mathcal{E} : C^\bullet_{\rm top}(\mathfrak{a}) \to C^\bullet_{\rm pol}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*)$ *is a map of complexes.*

*Proof.* The cochain $\mathcal{E}_{p,q}(\omega)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p)$ depends *polynomially* on the coordinates of the jet of some finite order of $\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p$. This follows from the fact that the form $\mu(\omega)$, *cf.* (2.27), can be expressed as a linear combination with polynomial coefficients in the canonical jet-coordinates of the standard basis for invariant forms on $\mathfrak{N}$ relative to the same coordinates. Furthermore, the covariance relation (2.29) shows that $\mathcal{E}_{p,q}(\omega) \in C^p_{\rm pol}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^q \mathfrak{g}^*)$.
By Proposition 2.2, one has

$$\mathcal{E}_{p,\bullet}(d\omega)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p) = d\big(\mathcal{E}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p)\big) + \big(\delta \mathcal{E}_{p-1,\bullet}(\omega)\big)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p).$$

The de Rham coboundary term in the right hand side is obtained by evaluating at $\varphi = e$ the expressions

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\big(\mathcal{D}_{p,q}(\tilde{\omega})(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p)\big)(\tilde{X}_0, \ldots, \tilde{X}_q) \;=& \\
= \sum_i (-1)^i \tilde{X}_i \cdot \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(\tilde{\omega})&(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p)(\tilde{X}_0, \ldots, \check{\tilde{X}}_i, \ldots, \tilde{X}_q) \\
+ \sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j}\, \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(\tilde{\omega})&(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p)([\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{X}_j], \tilde{X}_0, \ldots, \check{\tilde{X}}_i, \ldots, \check{\tilde{X}}_j, \ldots, \tilde{X}_q),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{X}$ stands for the left invariant vector field on $G$ associated to $X \in \mathfrak{g}$. The second sum evaluated at $e \in G$ gives

$$
\sum_{i<j} (-1)^{i+j} \mathcal{E}_{p,q}(\tilde{\omega})(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p)([X_i, X_j], X_0, \ldots, \check{X}_i, \ldots, \check{X}_j, \ldots, X_q).
$$

On the other hand, expressing the action of $\tilde{X}_0$ at $e \in G$ as the derivative $\frac{d}{ds} \mid_{s=0} L(\exp sX_0)$, the first term in the first sum yields, on applying the identity (2.19),

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{ds} \mid_{s=0} \mathcal{D}_{p,q}(\tilde{\omega})(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p) \mid_{\exp sX_0} (\tilde{X}_1, \ldots, \tilde{X}_q) = \\
&= \frac{d}{ds} \mid_{s=0} \mathcal{E}_{p,q}(\tilde{\omega})(\psi_0 \triangleleft \exp sX_0, \ldots, \psi_p \triangleleft \exp sX_0)(X_1, \ldots, X_q).
\end{aligned}
$$

Repeating this argument for each of the remaining terms shows that the operator $d$ in the right hand side coresponds precisely the coboundary operator for Lie algebra cohomology with coefficients. $\square$

In conjunction with the previous isomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
C^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^{\bullet}\mathfrak{g}^*) &\cong C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F}) && \textit{cf. } \text{Proposition 1.16 },\\
C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F}) &\cong C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{c-w}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{g}^*) && \textit{cf. } \text{Proposition 1.17 },\\
C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{g}^*) &\cong C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F}) && \textit{cf. } \text{Proposition 1.15 },\\
C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{g}^*) &\cong C^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}, V^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*)) && \textit{cf. } \text{Theorem 1.14 },
\end{aligned}
$$

this allows us to conclude with the following statement.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Under the above identifications, the resulting homomorphism $\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{LH}}$ maps the Gelfand-Fuks Lie algebra cohomology complex $C^{\bullet}_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathfrak{a})$ to the $\mathfrak{g}$-equivariant Hopf cyclic complex of $\mathcal{F}$ with coefficients in the Koszul resolution $V^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$.*

## 2.3 Explicit van Est isomorphism

Note that while the original assignment maps

$$\omega \in C^s_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathfrak{a}) \mapsto \bigoplus_{p+\dim G-r=s} \mathcal{C}_{p,r}(\omega) \in C^p_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \Omega_r(G)),$$

after the passage from $\mathcal{C}_{p,r}(\omega)$ to $\mathcal{E}_{p,q}(\omega)$, $r+q=\dim G$, by Poincaré duality it takes the form

$$\omega \in C^s_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathfrak{a}) \mapsto \bigoplus_{p+q=s} \mathcal{E}_{p,q}(\omega) \in C^p_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^q \mathfrak{g}^*).$$

Furthermore, this assignment can be promoted to a map of bicomplexes, if one identifies the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ with the double crossed sum Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \bowtie \mathfrak{n}$. Let us recall that a pair of Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$ forms a matched pair if there is a right action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathfrak{n}$ and a left action of $\mathfrak{n}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$,

$$\alpha : \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{n}, \quad \alpha_X(\zeta) = \zeta \triangleleft X, \quad \beta : \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}, \quad \beta_\zeta(X) = \zeta \triangleright X, \tag{2.30}$$

satisfying the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\zeta, \xi] \triangleright X = \zeta \triangleright (\xi \triangleright X) - \xi \triangleright (\zeta \triangleright X), \quad \zeta \triangleleft [X, Z] = (\zeta \triangleleft X) \triangleleft Z - (\zeta \triangleleft Z) \triangleleft X,\\
&\zeta \triangleright [X, Z] = [\zeta \triangleright X, Z] + [X, \zeta \triangleright Z] + (\zeta \triangleleft X) \triangleright Z - (\zeta \triangleleft Z) \triangleright X\\
&[\zeta, \xi] X = [\zeta \triangleleft X, \xi] + [\zeta, \xi \triangleleft X] + \zeta \triangleleft (\xi \triangleright X) - \xi \triangleleft (\zeta \triangleright X).
\end{aligned}$$

Given such a matched pair, one defines a Lie algebra with underlying vector space $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ by setting:

$$[X \oplus \zeta, Z \oplus \xi] = ([X, Z] + \zeta \triangleright Z - \xi \triangleright X) \oplus ([\zeta, \xi] + \zeta \triangleleft Z - \xi \triangleleft X).$$

Conversely, given a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ and two Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ so that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ as vector spaces, then $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{n})$ forms a matched pair of Lie algebras and $\mathfrak{a} \cong \mathfrak{g} \bowtie \mathfrak{n}$ as Lie algebras. In this case the actions of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathfrak{n}$ and $\mathfrak{n}$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ for $\zeta \in \mathfrak{n}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ are uniquely determined by:

$$[\zeta, X] = \zeta \triangleright X + \zeta \triangleleft X$$

This is precisely our case, and we shall identify from now on $\mathfrak{a}$ with $\mathfrak{g} \bowtie \mathfrak{n}$.

**Lemma 2.7.** *The complex $\{C^\bullet_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathfrak{a}), d\}$ is isomorphic to the total complex of the bicomplex $\{C^\bullet_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C^\bullet(\mathfrak{n}), \partial_{\mathfrak{g}}, \partial_{\mathfrak{n}}\}$, where $\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\partial_{\mathfrak{n}}$ denote the respective coboundaries for Lie algebra cohomology with coefficients.*

*Proof.* The isomorphism is implemented by the map
$\natural : C^s(\mathfrak{g} \bowtie \mathfrak{n}) \to \bigoplus_{p+q=s} C^p(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C^q(\mathfrak{n})$,

$$\natural(\omega)(Z_1, \ldots, Z_p \mid \zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_q) = \omega(Z_1 \oplus 0, \ldots, Z_p \oplus 0, 0 \oplus \zeta_1, \ldots, 0 \oplus \zeta_q),$$

whose inverse is given by

$$\natural^{-1}(\mu \otimes \nu)(Z_1 \oplus \zeta_1, \ldots, Z_{p+q} \oplus \zeta_{p+q}) = \sum_{\sigma \in Sh(p,q)} (-1)^\sigma \mu(Z_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, Z_{\sigma(p)}) \nu(\zeta_{\sigma(p+1)}, \ldots, \zeta_{\sigma(p+q)}).$$

Using the obvious identities

$$[Z_i \oplus 0, Z_j \oplus 0] = [Z_i, Z_j] \oplus 0, \quad [0 \oplus \zeta_k, 0 \oplus \zeta_l] = 0 \oplus [\zeta_k, \zeta_l],$$
$$[Z_i \oplus 0, 0 \oplus \zeta_k] = -\zeta_k \triangleright Z_i - \zeta_k \triangleleft Z_i,$$

it is straightforward to check that $\natural$ takes the coboundary $d$ of $C^\bullet_{\rm top}(\mathfrak{a})$ into the total coboundary of $C^\bullet_{\rm top}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C^\bullet(\mathfrak{n})$. ☐

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let $X \in \mathfrak{g} = T_eG$. Then*

$$\nu_{*(e,\psi)}(X) \,=\, -\imath_{*\psi}(\tilde{X}_\psi) \,+\, \imath_{*\psi}(X^\triangleleft_\psi), \qquad \forall\, \psi \in \mathfrak{N}, \tag{2.31}$$

*where $\tilde{X}$ denotes the corresponding left invariant vector field on $\mathcal{J}^\infty_0$ and and $X^\triangleleft$ the vector field on $\mathcal{J}^\infty_0$ associated via the right action $\triangleleft$ of $G$.*

*Proof.* Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{J}^\infty_0)$, which we assume of the form $f = f_1 \otimes f_2$ with $f_1 \in C^\infty(G)$ and $f_2 \in C^\infty(\mathfrak{N})$. One has

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_{*(e,\psi)}(X)f &= \frac{d}{dt}\,|_{t=0}\, (f \circ \nu)(\exp tX, \psi) \,= \\
&= \frac{d}{dt}\,|_{t=0}\, f(\exp tX \cdot \imath(\psi \triangleleft \exp tX)) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt}\,|_{t=0}\, \big(f_1(\exp tX) \cdot f_2(\imath(\psi \triangleleft \exp tX))\big) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt}\,|_{t=0}\, f_1(\exp tX) \cdot f_2(\imath(\psi)) \,+\, f_1(e) \cdot \frac{d}{dt}\,|_{t=0}\, f_2(\imath(\psi \triangleleft \exp tX)) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt}\,|_{t=0}\, f(\exp tX \cdot \psi^{-1}) \,+\, \frac{d}{dt}\,|_{t=0}\, f(\imath(\psi \triangleleft \exp tX)) \\
&= -\frac{d}{dt}\,|_{t=0}\, f(\imath(\psi \exp tX)) \,+\, \imath_{*\psi}(X^\triangleleft_\psi)f \\
&= \,-\imath_{*\psi}(\tilde{X}_\psi)f \,+\, \imath_{*\psi}(X^\triangleleft_\psi)f.
\end{aligned}$$

☐

**Corollary 2.9.** *The map* $\mu_e : C^\bullet_{\rm top}(\mathfrak{a}) \to \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \wedge^\bullet_{\rm top}\mathfrak{n}^*$, $\mu_e(\omega) := \mu(\omega)\mid_e$ *coincides with the isomorphism* $\natural : C^\bullet_{\rm top}(\mathfrak{g} \bowtie \mathfrak{n}) \to C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes C^\bullet_{\rm top}(\mathfrak{n})$.

*Proof.* The evaluation of $\mu(\omega)$ at the identity element $e \in \mathbf{G}$ only involves the map $\nu_{*(e,e)} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{a}$. Applying (2.31), for $\psi = e$, one simply obtains

$$\nu_{*(e,e)}(X) \,=\, X, \qquad \forall\, X \in \mathfrak{g},$$

because obviously $X^{\triangleleft}_e \,=\, 0$. $\square$

Identifying the two complexes as in Corollary 2.9 and relying on Lemma 2.5, we shall view the map $\mathcal{E}$ as a map of bicomplexes $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet,\bullet} : C^\bullet_{\rm top}(\mathfrak{n}, \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*) \to C^\bullet_{\rm pol}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*)$.

**Proposition 2.10.** *The map* $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet,\bullet} : C^\bullet_{\rm top}(\mathfrak{n}, \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*) \to C^\bullet_{\rm pol}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*)$ *induces a quasi-isomorphism of total complexes.*

*Proof.* In order to show that the map of bicomplexes $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet,\bullet}$ implements a quasi-isomorphism of total complexes it suffices to check that for each row $q \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet,q} : C^\bullet_{\rm top}(\mathfrak{n}, \wedge^q \mathfrak{g}^*) \to \mathcal{C}^\bullet_{\rm pol}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^q \mathfrak{g}^*)$ is a quasi-isomorphism. We recall that, by Lemma 2.4, this map associates to a right invariant form $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^p(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^q \mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{N}}$ the cochain

$$\mathcal{E}_{p,\bullet}(\omega)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_p) \,=\, \int_{\Delta(\psi_0,\ldots,\psi_p)} \mu(\omega) \,\in\, \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

This shows that $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet,q}$ coincides with the standard explicit chain map implementing the van Est homomorphism for Lie groups (cf. e.g. [12, Prop. 5.1]). However, since $\mathfrak{N}$ is only a projective limit of Lie groups, the usual argument based on continuously injective resolutions (*cf.* [21]) does not properly apply. Instead, we shall first give a direct argument for the isomorphism

$$H^\bullet_{\rm top}(\mathfrak{n}, \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*) \cong H^\bullet_{\rm pol}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*), \tag{2.32}$$

along the lines of the original proof by van Est [13], and only then conclude that it is implemented by the chain map $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet,q}$.

To this end, let us consider the bicomplex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{\rm pol}\big(\mathfrak{N}, \Omega^\bullet_{\rm pol}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*)\big)$ consisting of *inhomogeneous cochains* on $\mathfrak{N}$ with values in $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*$-valued forms on $\mathfrak{N}$ with polynomial coefficients. A $(p, q)$-cochain in this bicomplex is a function

$\omega\,:\,\underbrace{\mathfrak{N}\times\ldots\times\mathfrak{N}}_{p\,\text{times}}\,\to\,\Omega^q_{\rm pol}(\mathfrak{N},\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*)$ which depends polynomially on the jet coordinates. The group $\mathfrak{N}$ acts on the coefficients $\alpha\in\Omega^\bullet_{\rm pol}(\mathfrak{N},\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*)$ via

$$\psi\star\alpha\,=\,\psi\triangleright R^*_\psi\alpha\,,\qquad \psi\in\mathfrak{N}. \tag{2.33}$$

The horizontal coboundary is the group cohomology operator

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\rm h}\omega(\psi_1,\ldots,\psi_{p+1})\,&=\,\psi_1\star\omega(\psi_2,\ldots,\psi_{p+1})\,+ \\ \sum_{i=1}^{p}(-1)^i\omega(\psi_1,\ldots,\psi_i\psi_{i+1}\ldots,\psi_{p+1})&+(-1)^{p+1}\omega(\psi_1,\ldots,\psi_p). \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

The vertical coboundary is obtained by applying the exterior derivative $d$,

$$(d_{\rm v}\omega)(\psi_1,\ldots,\psi_p)\,=\,d\big(\omega(\psi_1,\ldots,\psi_p)\big), \tag{2.35}$$

operation which commutes with the action (2.33), and therefore with the horizontal coboundary.
One augments the resulting bicomplex

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
\mathcal{C}^0_{\rm pol}\big(\mathfrak{N},\Omega^2_{\rm pol}\big) \arrow[u, "d_{\rm v}"'] \arrow[r, "d_{\rm h}"] & \mathcal{C}^1_{\rm pol}\big(\mathfrak{N},\Omega^2_{\rm pol}\big) \arrow[u, "d_{\rm v}"'] \arrow[r, "d_{\rm h}"] & \mathcal{C}^2_{\rm pol}\big(\mathfrak{N},\Omega^2_{\rm pol}\big) \arrow[u, "d_{\rm v}"'] \arrow[r, "d_{\rm h}"] & \cdots \\
\mathcal{C}^0_{\rm pol}\big(\mathfrak{N},\Omega^1_{\rm pol}\big) \arrow[u, "d_{\rm v}"'] \arrow[r, "d_{\rm h}"] & \mathcal{C}^1_{\rm pol}\big(\mathfrak{N},\Omega^1_{\rm pol}\big) \arrow[u, "d_{\rm v}"'] \arrow[r, "d_{\rm h}"] & \mathcal{C}^2_{\rm pol}\big(\mathfrak{N},\Omega^1_{\rm pol}\big) \arrow[u, "d_{\rm v}"'] \arrow[r, "d_{\rm h}"] & \cdots \\
\mathcal{C}^0_{\rm pol}\big(\mathfrak{N},\Omega^0_{\rm pol}\big) \arrow[u, "d_{\rm v}"'] \arrow[r, "d_{\rm h}"] & \mathcal{C}^1_{\rm pol}\big(\mathfrak{N},\Omega^0_{\rm pol}\big) \arrow[u, "d_{\rm v}"'] \arrow[r, "d_{\rm h}"] & \mathcal{C}^2_{\rm pol}\big(\mathfrak{N},\Omega^0_{\rm pol}\big) \arrow[u, "d_{\rm v}"'] \arrow[r, "d_{\rm h}"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.36}$$

with the extra column

$$\iota_{\rm h}:{\rm Ker}\,\big[d_{\rm h}:\mathcal{C}^0_{\rm pol}\big(\mathfrak{N},\Omega^\bullet_{\rm pol}\big)\to\mathcal{C}^1_{\rm pol}\big(\mathfrak{N},\Omega^\bullet_{\rm pol}\big)\big]\hookrightarrow\mathcal{C}^0_{\rm pol}\big(\mathfrak{N},\Omega^\bullet_{\rm pol}\big), \tag{2.37}$$

and with the bottom row

$$\iota_{\rm v}:{\rm Ker}\,\big[d_{\rm v}:\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{\rm pol}\big(\mathfrak{N},\Omega^0_{\rm pol}\big)\to\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{\rm pol}\big(\mathfrak{N},\Omega^1_{\rm pol}\big)\big]\hookrightarrow\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{\rm pol}\big(\mathfrak{N},\Omega^0_{\rm pol}\big). \tag{2.38}$$

The added column gives the de Rham complex of right $\mathfrak{N}$-invariant $\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*$-valued forms $\{\Omega^\bullet_{\rm pol}(\mathfrak{N},\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{N}}, d\}$. The latter is isomorphic to $C^\bullet_{\rm top}(\mathfrak{n},\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*)$, and so the cohomology of this column is $H^\bullet_{\rm top}(\mathfrak{n},\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*)$. On the other hand the added bottom row is the complex of inhomogeneous cochains $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{\rm pol}(\mathfrak{N},\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*)$, which computes the group cohomology $H^\bullet_{\rm pol}(\mathfrak{N},\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*)$.

Furthermore, each of the augmented rows and columns are exact. In order to check this, it will be convenient to describe the forms on $\mathfrak{N}$ in terms of the canonical trivialization of the cotangent bundle. Specifically, we shall associate to each $\sigma\in\Omega^q_{\rm pol}(\mathfrak{N},\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*)$ a function $\hat\sigma:\mathfrak{N}\to\wedge^q_{\rm top}\mathfrak{n}^*\otimes\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*$ as follows. Expressing $\sigma$ as a finite sum $\sigma=\sum f\,\tilde\beta$, with $f\in C^\infty(\mathfrak{N},\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*)$ and with $\tilde\beta$'s right invariant forms on $\mathfrak{N}$ associated to a basis $\{\beta\}$ of $\wedge^q_{\rm top}\mathfrak{n}^*$, we define

$$\hat\sigma(\rho) := \sum_I \rho\triangleright f(\rho)\,\beta. \tag{2.39}$$

Note that if $\psi\in\mathfrak{N}$, then

$$\psi\star\sigma = \sum_I \psi\triangleright R^*_\psi f\cdot\tilde\beta$$

hence

$$\widehat{\psi\star\sigma}(\rho) = \sum_I \rho\,\psi\triangleright f(\rho\psi)\cdot\beta = \hat\sigma(\rho\psi). \tag{2.40}$$

Thus, under the identification of $\Omega^q_{\rm pol}(\mathfrak{N},\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*)$ with $C^\infty(\mathfrak{N},\wedge^q_{\rm top}\mathfrak{n}^*\otimes\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*)$ via (2.39), the action of $\mathfrak{N}$ simply becomes the right translation. Working in this picture, the exactness of the rows can now be exhibited by verifying that the linear operators $\kappa^p_h:\mathcal{C}^{p+1}_{\rm pol}\big(\mathfrak{N},\Omega^\bullet_{\rm pol}\big)\to\mathcal{C}^p_{\rm pol}\big(\mathfrak{N},\Omega^\bullet_{\rm pol}\big)$, defined by

$$(\kappa^p_h\omega)(\psi_1,\ldots,\psi_p)(\psi) = \omega(\psi,\psi_1,\ldots,\psi_p)(e), \qquad \psi\in\mathfrak{N}, \tag{2.41}$$

form a contracting homotopy. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_{\mathrm{h}}(\kappa_h^p\omega)(\psi_1,\dots,\psi_{p+1})(\psi) \;=\; \big(\psi_1 \star (\kappa_h^p\omega)(\psi_2,\dots,\psi_{p+1})\big)(\psi) + \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{p}(-1)^i(\kappa_h^p\omega)(\psi_1,\dots,\psi_i\psi_{i+1}\dots,\psi_{p+1})(\psi) + \\
& + (-1)^{p+1}(\kappa_h^p\omega)(\psi_1,\dots,\psi_p)(\psi) \;=\; \omega(\psi\psi_1,\psi_2,\dots,\psi_p)(e) + \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{p}(-1)^i\omega(\psi,\psi_1,\dots,\psi_i\psi_{i+1}\dots,\psi_{p+1})(e) + \\
& + (-1)^{p+1}\omega(\psi,\psi_1,\dots,\psi_p)(e)\,,
\end{aligned}$$

while on the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\kappa_h^{p+1}d_{\mathrm{h}}\omega)(\psi_1,\dots,\psi_{p+1})(\psi) \;=\; d_{\mathrm{h}}\omega(\psi,\psi_1,\dots,\psi_{p+1})(e) \;= \\
& = \omega(\psi_1,\dots,\psi_{p+1})(\psi) - \omega(\psi\psi_1,\dots,\psi_{p+1})(e) + \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^{p}(-1)^{i-1}\omega(\psi,\psi_1,\dots,\psi_i\psi_{i+1},\dots,\psi_{p+1})(e) \\
& + (-1)^p\omega(\psi,\psi_1,\dots\psi_p)(e).
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up one obtains the homotopy identity

$$d_{\mathrm{h}}(\kappa_h^p\omega)(\psi_1,\dots,\psi_{p+1}) \;+\; (\kappa_h^{p+1}d_{\mathrm{h}}\omega)(\psi_1,\dots,\psi_{p+1}) \;=\; \omega(\psi_1,\dots,\psi_{p+1}).$$

To prove that the columns are exact one employs, as in the standard proof of the Poincaré Lemma, the contraction along the radial vector field

$$\Xi \;=\; \sum \alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha},$$

in the affine coordinates $\alpha^{\bullet}_{\bullet\dots\bullet}(\psi)$ of $j_0^\infty(\psi)$, $\psi\in\mathfrak{N}$, to construct the linear operators $\chi^q : \Omega^q_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N},\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*)\to\Omega^{q-1}_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N},\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*)$,

$$\chi^q(\omega)\mid_\psi = \int_0^1 \iota_\Xi(\omega)\mid_{t\psi}\frac{dt}{t}.$$

One has

$$\chi^q\circ d_v = d_v\circ\chi^{q-1} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \chi^q\circ\mathcal{L}_\Xi \;=\; \mathrm{Id},$$

where $\quad \mathcal{L}_\Xi \,=\, d_v \circ \iota_\Xi + \iota_\Xi \circ d_v$ denotes the Lie derivative along $\Xi$. Therefore

$$d_v \circ (\chi^{q-1} \circ \iota_\Xi) \,+\, (\chi^q \circ \iota_\Xi) \circ d_v \,=\, \mathrm{Id},$$

showing that the operators

$$(\kappa_v^q \omega)(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_p) \,=\, \chi^{q-1} \circ \iota_\Xi\big(\omega(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_p)\big),$$

give contracting homotopies for the columns.
Since both the rows and the columns are exact, the edge homomorphisms $\iota_{\mathrm{h}}$ of (2.37) and $\iota_{\mathrm{v}}$ of (2.38) induce isomorphisms with the cohomology of the total complex, which in turn gives the isomorphism (2.32). Actually, for each $q \in \mathfrak{N}$, one can display an explicit quasi-isomorphism

$$\digamma_q = j_{\mathrm{v}} \circ \iota_{\mathrm{h}} : C^\bullet_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathfrak{n}, \wedge^q \mathfrak{g}^*) \to \mathcal{C}^\bullet_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^q \mathfrak{g}^*),$$

by composing the inclusion $\iota_{\mathrm{h}}$ with a chain homotopic inverse for $\iota_{\mathrm{v}}$,

$$j_{\mathrm{v}} : \mathcal{C}^\bullet_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \Omega^\bullet_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^q \mathfrak{g}^*)) \to \mathcal{C}^\bullet_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^q \mathfrak{g}^*),$$

manufactured out the vertical homotopy operators and the horizontal coboundary by means of the "collating formula" of [4, Prop. 9.5]. For a Lie algebra cocycle $\beta \in Z^p_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathfrak{n}, \wedge^q \mathfrak{g}^*)$, it gives

$$\digamma_q(\beta) \,=\, (-1)^p (d_{\mathrm{h}} \circ \kappa_v)^p(\tilde{\beta}). \tag{2.42}$$

On the other hand, the conversion of $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet,q}$ into a inhomogeneous group cochain,

$$E_{p,q}(\omega)(\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_p) \,=\, \mathcal{E}_{p,q}(\omega)(1, \psi_1, \psi_1\psi_2, \ldots, \psi_1\psi_2 \cdots \psi_p), \tag{2.43}$$

gives also a chain map

$$E_{\bullet,q} : C^\bullet_{\mathrm{top}}(\mathfrak{n}, \wedge^q \mathfrak{g}^*) \to \mathcal{C}^\bullet_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^q \mathfrak{g}^*).$$

Since for any each of the quotient nilpotent Lie groups in the projective limit the two chain maps $E_{\bullet,q}$ and $\digamma_q$ are automatically chain homotopic, we can finally conclude that $\mathcal{E}_{\bullet,q}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. ☐

We are now in a position to strengthen Proposition 2.6 and conclude this section with the following result.

**Theorem 2.11.** *There is a canonical quasi-isomorphism between the Lie algebra cohomology and the Hopf cyclic cohomology complexes associated to an infinite primitive Cartan-Lie pseudogroup*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\rm LH} : C^{\bullet}_{\rm top}(\mathfrak{a}) \to C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\rm c-w}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F}) \cong C^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathcal{F}, V^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*)).$$

Remark 2.3 describes the precise transition between the maps $\mathcal{C} : C^{\bullet}_{\rm top}(\mathfrak{a}) \to C^{\bullet}_{\rm top}(\mathfrak{N}, \Omega_{\bullet}(G))$ of Proposition 2.2 and $\mathcal{E}_{\rm LH}$, which in essence encodes the relationship between the classical and the Hopf cyclic constructions of transverse characteristic classes.

# 3 Hopf cyclic characteristic maps

This section is devoted to the construction of several characteristic maps that deliver the universal Hopf cyclic classes to the cyclic cohomology of the étale holonomy groupoids. For the clarity of the exposition, we shall explicitly treat only the case of *action holonomy groupoids*, *i.e.,* of the form $G \rtimes\!\!\!\!\ltimes \Gamma$, with $\Gamma$ a discrete subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$. The convolution algebra of such a groupoid is the crossed product $\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G) := C^{\infty}_{c}(G) \rtimes \Gamma$. Each characteristic map will land into the suitable model of cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G)$, that matches the source model of Hopf cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})$. We shall show however, and this is the main result of this section, that all these characteristic maps are equivalent.

## 3.1 Characteristic maps induced by Hopf actions

The first characteristic homomorphism connects the bicocyclic module in diagram (1.12), $C(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_{\delta})$, to the Getzler-Jones bicocyclic module [16], describing the cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G) = C^{\infty}_{c}(G) \rtimes \Gamma$.

Along with $\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G)$, we shall consider its opposite algebra $\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G)^{o}$. The latter is the crossed product algebra $\mathbb{C}\Gamma \ltimes C^{\infty}_{c}(G)$, where $\Gamma$ acts on $C^{\infty}_{c}(G)$ by the natural right action, with multiplication rule

$$U_{\phi_1} g\, U_{\phi_2} f = U_{\phi_1\phi_2} (g \circ \tilde{\phi}_2) f. \tag{3.1}$$

As before, if $\phi \in \mathbf{G}$, $\tilde{\phi}$ stands for its lift to a diffeomorphism of $G$. To avoid excessive notation, from now on we shall suppress the notational distinction between the two, and reinstate it only when there is danger of confusion.

We recall below the bicomplex $\{C^{(\bullet,\bullet)}(C_c^\infty(G),\Gamma), \uparrow\!\tau, \uparrow\!\partial_i, \overrightarrow{\tau}, \overrightarrow{\partial}_j\}$ of [16], with cochains

$$C^{(p,q)}(C_c^\infty(G),\Gamma) := \mathrm{Hom}(C_c^\infty(G)^{\otimes p+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}\Gamma^{\otimes q+1}, \mathbb{C}). \tag{3.2}$$

With the abbreviated notation

$$\tilde{g} := g_0 \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_p, \qquad \tilde{U}_\phi := U_{\phi_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{\phi_q},$$

the vertical cyclic structure is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\uparrow\!\tau(\mu)(\tilde{g} \mid \tilde{U}_\phi) = \mu(g_p \circ (\phi_0 \ldots \phi_q) \otimes g_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_{p-1} \mid U_\phi),\\
&\uparrow\!\partial_i(\mu)(\tilde{g} \mid \tilde{U}_\phi) = \mu(g_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_i g_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes g_{p+1} \mid U_\phi), \qquad 0 \le i \le p,\\
&\uparrow\!\partial_{p+1}(\mu)(\tilde{g} \mid \tilde{U}_\phi) = \mu(g_{p+1} \circ (\phi_0 \ldots \phi_q)\, g_0 \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_p) \mid U_\phi),
\end{aligned}$$

and horizontal cyclic structure is determined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overrightarrow{\tau}(\mu)(\tilde{g} \mid \tilde{U}_\phi) = \mu\left(g_0 \circ {\phi_q}^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes g_p \circ {\phi_q}^{-1} \mid U_{\phi_q} \otimes U_{\phi_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{\phi_{q-1}}\right)\\
&\overrightarrow{\partial}_i(\mu)(\tilde{g} \mid \tilde{U}_\phi) = \mu\left(\tilde{g} \mid U_{\phi_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{\phi_i \phi_{i+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{\phi_{q+1}}\right), \quad 0 \le i \le q\\
&\overrightarrow{\partial}_{q+1}(\mu)(\tilde{g} \mid \tilde{U}_\phi) = \mu\left(g_0 \circ \phi_{q+1}^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes g_p \circ \phi_{q+1}^{-1} \mid U_{\phi_{q+1}\phi_0} \otimes U_{\phi_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{\phi_q}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

It is shown in [16], its total complex is quasi-isomorphic with the total complex of $C^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G)^o, b, B)$. We also recall from [16] the isomorphism between the diagonal subcomplex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_{\mathrm{diag}}(C_c^\infty(G),\Gamma)$ and $C^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G)^o, b, B)$. Specifically, $\Psi_\Gamma : C^{n,n}(C_c^\infty(G),\Gamma) \to C^n(\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G)^o)$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi_\Gamma(\mu)(U_{\phi_0} g_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{\phi_n} g_n) = \\
&\qquad\qquad \mu(g_0 \circ (\phi_1 \cdots \phi_n) \otimes \cdots \otimes g_{n-1} \circ \phi_n \otimes g_n \mid U_{\phi_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{\phi_n});
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

$\Psi_\Gamma$ is a cyclic isomorphism, whose inverse $\Phi_\Gamma$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Psi_\Gamma^{-1}(\mu)(g_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n \mid U_{\phi_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{\phi_n}) = \\
&\qquad\qquad \mu(\, U_{\phi_0} g_0 \circ (\phi_1 \cdots \phi_n)^{-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{\phi_{n-1}} g_{n-1} \circ \phi_n^{-1} \otimes U_{\phi_n}\, g_n).
\end{aligned}$$

According to [30, Proposition 2.18], there exists an isomorphism of Hopf algebras $\iota : \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{cop}}(\mathbf{G})_{\mathrm{ab}} \to \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{G})$ that sends any operator to the corresponding function evaluated at identity. We denote by $\eth = \iota^{-1} : \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{cop}}_{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathbf{G})$ its inverse, and define $\gamma : \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{G}) \to \mathrm{Fun}(\Gamma, C^\infty(G))$ by

$$\gamma(f)(\phi) = \eth(S(f))(U_\phi)U_\phi^*. \tag{3.4}$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any* $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{G})$*,* $\mathfrak{y}(f)$ *satisfies the cocycle property*

$$\mathfrak{y}(f)(\phi_1\phi_2) = \mathfrak{y}(f_{(1)})(\phi_1)\mathfrak{y}(f_{(2)})(\phi_2) \circ \tilde{\phi}_1^{-1}, \qquad \phi_1, \phi_2 \in \Gamma. \tag{3.5}$$

*Proof.* Since $\mathfrak{d}$ is anti coalgebra map and $\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G)$ is a $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})$ module algebra we have

$$\mathfrak{y}(f)(\phi_1\phi_2) = \mathfrak{d}(S(f))(U_{\phi_1}U_{\phi_2})U^*_{\phi_1\phi_2} = \mathfrak{d}(S(f_{(1)}))(U_{\phi_1})\mathfrak{d}(S(f_{(2)}))(U_{\phi_2})U^*_{\phi_1\phi_2} =$$
$$\mathfrak{y}(f_{(1)})(\phi_1)U_{\phi_1}\mathfrak{y}(f_{(2)})(\phi_2)U_{\phi_2}U^*_{\phi_1\phi_2} = \mathfrak{y}(f_{(1)})(\phi_1)\mathfrak{y}(f_{(2)})(\phi_2) \circ \tilde{\phi}_1^{-1}.$$

□

**Lemma 3.2.** *For any* $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ *and* $\phi \in \mathbf{G}$ *one has*

$$U_\phi u U^*_\phi \;=\; \mathfrak{y}(u_{<1>})(\phi)u_{<0>}. \tag{3.6}$$

*Proof.* To begin with, recall *cf.* (1.1) the coaction $\blacktriangledown : \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{F}$ is defined as follows:

$$u_{<0>} \otimes u_{<1>} = \sum_I X_I \otimes \gamma^I_u(\psi)(e) \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad U_\psi u U^*_\psi = \sum_I \gamma^I_u(\psi) X_I,$$

where $\{X_I\}$ stands for a PBW basis of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$.
Let us first check the claimed identity for the case when $u = X_i \in \mathfrak{g}$, and

$$U_\phi X_i U^*_\phi = \sum_j \gamma^j_i(\phi) X_j. \tag{3.7}$$

We need to show that if $\eta^j_i(\psi) = \gamma^j_i(\psi)(e)$ , $\forall\, \psi \in \mathfrak{N}$, then

$$\mathfrak{d}(S(\eta^j_i))(U_\phi) \;=\; \gamma^j_i(\phi)\, U_\phi, \quad \forall\, \phi \in \mathbf{G}. \tag{3.8}$$

Recall now from [30, §1.2] the operators $\Delta^j_i(U^*_\phi) \;=\; \gamma^j_i(\phi)U^*_\phi$, which arise from the action formula

$$X_i(U^*_\phi b) = \sum_j \Delta^j_i(U^*_\phi)\, X_j(b), \quad b \in C^\infty(G), \tag{3.9}$$

and satisfy, by the very definition of $\mathfrak{d}$,

$$\Delta^j_i \;=\; \mathfrak{d}(\eta^j_i). \tag{3.10}$$

Applying $U_\phi$ on both sides of (3.9) and comparing with (3.7), one sees that

$$\gamma_i^j(\phi) \,=\, U_\phi\, \gamma_i^j(\phi)\, U_\phi^* \,=\, \gamma_i^j(\phi) \circ \tilde{\phi}^{-1} \,=\, -\gamma_i^j(\phi^{-1}). \tag{3.11}$$

Then

$$S(\eta_i^j)(\psi) \,=\, \eta_i^j(\psi^{-1}) \,=\, \gamma_i^j(\psi^{-1})(e) \,=\, -\gamma_i^j(\psi)(e) \,=\, -\eta_i^j(\psi),$$

and so by (3.10) and (3.11)

$$\eth(S(\eta_i^j))(U_\phi) \,=\, -\delta_i^j(U_\phi) \,=\, -\gamma_i^j(\phi^{-1})\, U_\phi \,=\, \gamma_i^j(\phi)\, U_\phi,$$

thus verifying (3.8).
The compatibility of the coaction with the multiplication, *cf.* [30, Lemma 2.12] allows to extend in a straightforward manner the validity of this identity to $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. For the convenience of the reader, we give the detailed verification for $u = XY$. Recall that in view of (1.5) one has

$$\begin{aligned}&(XY)_{<0>} \otimes (XY)_{<1>} = X_{(1)}{}_{<0>} Y_{<0>} \otimes X_{(1)}{}_{<1>} (X_{(2)} \triangleright Y_{<1>}) = \\ &X_{<0>} Y_{<0>} \otimes X_{<1>} Y_{<1>} + Y_{<0>} \otimes X \triangleright Y_{<1>}.\end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$X_{<0>}{}_{(1)} \otimes X_{<0>}{}_{(2)} \otimes X_{<1>} = X_{<0>} \otimes 1 \otimes X_{<1>} + 1 \otimes X_{<0>} \otimes X_{<1>}.$$

Since $\iota$ is $U(\mathfrak{g})$-module map, it follows that $\iota(Z \triangleright f)(\phi) = Z(\iota(f)(\phi))$ for any $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$ and any $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Acting on an arbitrary function $g \in C^\infty(G)$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}&(U_\phi XY U_\phi^*)(g) = (U_\phi X U_\phi^*\, U_\phi Y U_\phi^*)(g) = \\ &\iota(X_{<1>})(\phi) X_{<0>} \iota(Y_{<1>})(\phi) Y_{<0>})(g) = \iota(X_{<1>})(\phi) X_{<0>}(\iota(Y_{<1>})(\phi) Y_{<0>}(g)) = \\ &\iota(X_{<1>})(\phi) X_{<0>}{}_{(1)}(\iota(Y_{<1>})(\phi)) X_{<0>}{}_{(2)}(Y_{<0>}(g)) = \\ &\iota(X_{<1>})(\phi)\iota(Y_{<1>})(\phi) X_{<0>} Y_{<0>}(g) + \iota(X_{<1>})(\phi) X_{<0>}(\iota(Y_{<1>})(\phi)) Y_{<0>}(g) = \\ &\iota((XY)_{<1>})(\phi)(XY)_{<0>}(g).\end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 3.3.** *For any* $\phi \in \mathbf{G}$,

$$\iota(S(f))(\phi) = \iota(f)(\phi^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}^{-1}. \tag{3.12}$$

*Proof.* We define $M_\phi, R_\phi, L_\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, C^\infty(G))$ by setting

$$R_\phi(f) = \gamma(f)(\phi^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}^{-1}, \quad L_\phi(f) = \gamma(f)(\phi), \quad M_\phi(f) = \gamma(S(f))(\phi).$$

Using the usual convolution product and (3.5) one computes

$$\begin{aligned} L_\phi * R_\phi(f) = L_\phi(f_{(1)}) R_\phi(f_{(2)}) = \\ \gamma(f_{(1)})(\phi)\gamma(f_{(2)})(\phi^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}^{-1} = \gamma(f)(\phi\phi^{-1}) = \varepsilon(f). \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

On the other hand, because $\gamma$ is algebra map, one easily sees

$$L_\phi * M_\phi \,=\, \varepsilon \,=\, M_\phi * L_\phi.$$

Together with (3.13), this implies $R_\phi \,=\, M_\phi$. ☐

**Lemma 3.4.** *For any $\phi \in \mathbf{G}$, $f \in \mathcal{F}$, and $u \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ we have*

$$\gamma(u \triangleright f)(\phi) = u_{<0>}(\gamma(f)(\phi))\gamma(u_{<1>})(\phi). \tag{3.14}$$

*Proof.* Using the fact that $\eth$ is $U(\mathfrak{g})$-module algebra map and Lemma 1.1 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma(u \triangleright f)(\phi) = \eth(S(u \triangleright f))(U_\phi)\, U_\phi^* = \big(\eth(S(u_{<1>}))\eth(u_{<0>} \triangleright S(f))\big)\,(U_\phi)\, U_\phi^* = \\ &\big(\eth(S(u_{<1>}))\eth(u_{<0>} \triangleright S(f))\big)\,(U_\phi)\, U_\phi^* = \eth(S(u_{<1>}))\,(u_{<0>}(\gamma(f)(\phi)\, U_\phi))\; U_\phi^* = \\ &= \gamma(u_{<1>})(\phi) u_{<0>}(\gamma(f)(\phi)). \end{aligned}$$

☐

We want to define a characteristic map $\chi : C^{p,q}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta) \to C^{p,q}(C_c^\infty(G), \Gamma)$, by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi\left(\mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f}\right)(\tilde{g} \mid \tilde{U}) = \\ &\tau\left(u^0(g_0)u^1(g_1)\ldots u^p(g_p)\eth(S(f^0))(U_{\phi_0})\ldots\eth(S(f^q))(U_{\phi_q})\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Here $C_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta)$ is the bicocyclic module defined in (1.7), and $\tau : \mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G) \to \mathbb{C}$ is the invariant trace defined by

$$\tau(gU_\psi^*) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_G g\,\varpi, & \text{if} \quad \psi = \mathrm{Id} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.16}$$

Equivalently, $\chi : C^{p,q}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta) \to C^{p,q}(C_c^\infty(G), \Gamma)$ is given by

$$\chi\left(\mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f}\right)(\tilde{g} \mid \tilde{U}) =$$
$$\int_G u^0(g_0)u^1(g_1)\dots u^p(g_p)\gamma(f^0)(\phi_0)\gamma(f^1)(\phi_1) \circ \tilde{\phi}_0^{-1} \dots \gamma(f^q)(\phi_q) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1} \dots \tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}\varpi,$$

if $\psi_0 \dots \psi_q = \mathrm{Id}$, and 0 otherwise.

**Lemma 3.5.** *The characteristic map $\chi$ of* (3.15) *is well-defined.*

*Proof.* First we recall that

$$(f \blacktriangleright\!\!\triangleleft\, u) \cdot (\tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f}) = u_{(1)}u^0 \otimes \dots \otimes u_{(p+1)}u^p \otimes (f \blacktriangleright\!\!\triangleleft\, u_{(p+2)}) \cdot \tilde{f},$$

where

$$(f \blacktriangleright\!\!\triangleleft\, u) \cdot (f^0 \otimes \dots \otimes f^q) =$$
$$f_{(1)}(u_{(1)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright f^0) \otimes u_{(1)}{}_{<1>}(u_{(2)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright f^1) \otimes \dots \otimes f_{(q+1)}u_{(1)}{}_{<q>} \dots u_{(q)}{}_{<1>}(u_{(q+1)} \triangleright f^q).$$

In the following we use the notation $(u \triangleright \tilde{u})(\tilde{g}) = u_{(1)}u^0(g^0) \dots u_{(p+1)}u^p(g^p)$. We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &u_{(1)} \triangleright \tilde{u}(\tilde{g})\gamma(u_{(2)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright f^0)(\phi_0)\gamma(u_{(2)}{}_{<1>}(u_{(3)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright f^1))(\phi_1) \circ \tilde{\phi}_0^{-1} \cdots \\ &\qquad \cdots \gamma(u_{(2)}{}_{<q>} \dots u_{(q+1)}{}_{<1>}(u_{(q+2)} \triangleright f^q))(\phi_q) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1} \dots \tilde{\phi}_0^{-1} = \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

By using (3.14) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &(3.17) = \\ &u_{(1)} \triangleright \tilde{u}(\tilde{g})\gamma(u_{(2)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright f^0)(\phi_0)\gamma(u_{(2)}{}_{<1>}(u_{(3)}{}_{<0>} \triangleright f^1))(\phi_1) \circ \tilde{\phi}_0^{-1} \cdots \\ &\qquad \cdots \gamma(u_{(2)}{}_{<q>} \dots u_{(q+1)}{}_{<1>}(u_{(q+2)} \triangleright f^q))(\phi_q) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1} \dots \tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1} = \\ &u_{(1)} \triangleright \tilde{u}(\tilde{g})u_{(2)}{}_{<0>}(\gamma(f^0)(\phi_0))[\gamma(u_{(2)}{}_{<1>})(\phi_0) \cdots \gamma(u_{(2)}{}_{<q+1>})(\phi_q) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-1} \cdots \tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}] \cdots \\ &u_{(2+i)}{}_{<0>}(\gamma(f^i)(\phi_i)) \circ (\phi_0 \cdots \phi_{i-1})^{-1}[\gamma(u_{(2+i)}{}_{<1>})(\phi_i) \circ (\phi_0 \cdots \phi_{i-1})^{-1} \cdots \\ &\gamma(u_{(2+i)}{}_{<q+1-i>})(\phi_q) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1} \cdots \tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}] \cdots \\ &u_{(q+2)}{}_{<0>}(\gamma(f^q)(\phi_q)) \circ (\phi_0 \cdots \phi_{q-1})^{-1}\gamma(u_{(q+2)}{}_{<1>})(\phi_q) \circ (\phi_0 \cdots \phi_{q-1})^{-1} = \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Using (3.5) we continue as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(3.18) = \\
&u_{(1)} \rhd \tilde{u}(\tilde{g})u_{(2)}(\Upsilon(f^0)(\phi_0))u_{(3)}{}_{<0>}(\Upsilon(f^1)(\phi_1)) \circ \phi_0^{-1}\Upsilon(u_{(3)}{}_{<1>})(\phi_1 \cdots \phi_q) \circ \phi_0^{-1} \cdots \\
&u_{(2+i)}{}_{<0>}(\Upsilon(f^i)(\phi_i)) \circ (\phi_0 \cdots \phi_{i-1})^{-1}\Upsilon(u_{(2+i)}{}_{<1>})(\phi_i \cdots \phi_q) \circ (\phi_0 \cdots \phi_{i-1})^{-1} \cdots \\
&u_{(q+2)}{}_{<0>}(\Upsilon(f^q)(\phi_q)) \circ (\phi_0 \cdots \phi_{q-1})^{-1}\Upsilon(u_{(q+2)}{}_{<1>})(\phi_q) \circ (\phi_0 \cdots \phi_{q-1})^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.19}
$$

On the other hand one uses (3.6) together with the fact that $(\phi_0 \cdots \phi_i)^{-1} = \phi_{i+1} \cdots \phi_q$ to observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&u_{(1)} \rhd \tilde{u}(\tilde{g})u_{(2)}(\Upsilon(f^0)(\phi_0))\, u_{(3)}(\Upsilon(f^1)(\phi_1) \circ \tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}) \cdots \\
&\cdots u_{(q+2)}(\Upsilon(f^q)(\phi_q) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-1} \ldots \tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}) = \qquad (3.20) \\
&u_{(1)} \rhd \tilde{u}(\tilde{g})u_{(2)}(\Upsilon(f^0)(\phi_0))\, \Upsilon(u_{(3)}{}_{<1>})(\phi_1 \cdots \phi_q) \circ \tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}u_{(3)}{}_{<0>}(\Upsilon(f^1)(\phi_1)) \circ \tilde{\phi}_0^{-1} \cdots \\
&\Upsilon(u_{(i+2)}{}_{<1>})(\phi_i \cdots \phi_q) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{i-1}^{-1} \cdots \tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}u_{(i+2)}{}_{<0>}(\Upsilon(f^i)(\phi_i)) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{i-1}^{-1} \cdots \tilde{\phi}_0)^{-1} \cdots \\
&\Upsilon(u_{(q+2)}{}_{<1>})(\phi_q) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1} \cdots \tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}u_{(q+2)}{}_{<0>}(\Upsilon(f^q)(\phi_q)) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1} \cdots \tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.21}
$$

One uses the equality (3.19)=(3.21) and the fact that $\tau$ is $\delta$-invariant to see that

$$\chi\left(\mathbf{1} \otimes (1 \blacktriangleright\!\!\lhd u) \cdot (\tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f})\right) = \chi\left(\delta(1 \blacktriangleright\!\!\lhd u) \otimes \tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f}\right). \tag{3.22}$$

On the other hand we see that

$$(f \blacktriangleright\!\!\lhd 1) \cdot (\tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f}) = u^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes u^p \otimes f_{(1)}f^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{(q+1)}f^q, \tag{3.23}$$

which together with the facts that $\Upsilon$ is an algebra map and $\delta(\eth(S(f))) = \varepsilon(S(f)) = \varepsilon(f) = \delta(f \blacktriangleright\!\!\lhd 1)$, yields that

$$\chi\left(\mathbf{1} \otimes (f \blacktriangleright\!\!\lhd 1) \cdot (\tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f})\right) = \chi\left(\delta(f \blacktriangleright\!\!\lhd 1) \otimes \tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f}\right). \tag{3.24}$$

So (3.22) and (3.24) prove that

$$\chi\left(\mathbf{1} \otimes (f \blacktriangleright\!\!\lhd u) \cdot (\tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f})\right) = \chi\left(\delta(f \blacktriangleright\!\!\lhd u) \otimes \tilde{u} \otimes \tilde{f}\right).$$

$\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** *The characteristic map* $\chi$ *defined in* (3.15) *is a map of bicocyclic modules.*

*Proof.* As $\mathfrak{g}$ acts by derivation on $C^\infty(G)$ it is obvious that $\chi$ commutes with all vertical cofaces except possibly very last one. Since $\mathfrak{d}$ is an algebra map and anticoalgebra map and $\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})$ acts on $\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G)$ and makes it module algebra it is also obvious that $\chi$ commutes with all horizontal cofaces except possibly the last one. So it suffices to show that $\chi$ commutes with horizontal and vertical cyclic operators. Indeed, let $\phi_0 \dots \phi_q = id$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\uparrow\!\tau\chi(\mathbf{1}\otimes\tilde{u}\otimes\tilde{f})(g_0\otimes\cdots\otimes g_p \mid U_{\phi_0}\otimes\cdots\otimes U_{\phi_q}) = \\
&\chi(\mathbf{1}\otimes\tilde{u}\otimes\tilde{f})(g_p\otimes g_0\otimes\cdots\otimes g_{p-1} \mid U_{\phi_0}\otimes\cdots\otimes U_{\phi_q}) = \\
&\int_G \Big[u^0(g_p)u^1(g_0)\dots u^{p-1}(g_p)\gamma(f^0)(\phi_0)\gamma(f^1)(\phi_1)\circ\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}\dots \\
&\qquad\qquad \dots\gamma(f^q)(\phi_q)\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1}\cdots\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1})\Big]\varpi.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand by using Lemma 3.1 and (3.6) and the fact that $\phi_0\dots\phi_q =$ Id we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi\uparrow\!\tau(\mathbf{1}\otimes\tilde{u}\otimes\tilde{f})(g_0\otimes\cdots\otimes g_p \mid U_{\phi_0}\otimes\cdots\otimes U_{\phi_q}) = \\
&\chi(\mathbf{1}\otimes u^1\otimes\cdots\otimes u^{p-1}\otimes {u^0}_{<0>} \mid {u^0}_{<1>}f^0\otimes\dots \\
&\qquad\qquad \dots {u^0}_{<q+1>}f^q)(g_0\otimes\cdots\otimes g_p \mid U_{\phi_0}\otimes\cdots\otimes U_{\phi_q}) = \\
&\int_G \big[u^1(g_0)\dots u^p(g_{p-1}){u^0}_{<0>}(g_p)\gamma({u^0}_{<1>})(\phi_0)\gamma(f^0)(\phi_0)\dots \\
&\gamma({u^0}_{<q+1>})(\phi_q)\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1}\cdots\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}\gamma(f^q)(\phi_q)\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1}\cdots\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}\Big]\varpi = \\
&\int_G \Big[u^1(g_0)\dots u^p(g_{p-1}){u^0}_{<0>}(g_p)\gamma({u^0}_{<1>})(\phi_0)\cdots\gamma({u^0}_{<q+1>})(\phi_q)\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1}\cdots\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1} \\
&\gamma(f^0)(\phi_0)\cdots\gamma(f^q)(\phi_q)\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1}\cdots\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}\Big]\varpi = \\
&\int_G \big[u^1(g_0)\dots u^p(g_{p-1}){u^0}_{<0>}(g_p)\gamma({u^0}_{<1>})(\phi_0\dots\phi_q) \\
&\qquad\qquad \gamma(f^0)(\phi_0)\cdots\gamma(f^q)(\phi_q)\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1}\cdots\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}\Big]\varpi.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us now show that $\chi$ commutes with the horizontal cyclic operators.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\chi\overrightarrow{\tau}(\mathbf{1}\otimes\tilde{u}\otimes\tilde{f})(g_0\otimes\cdots\otimes g_p \mid U_{\phi_0}\otimes\cdots\otimes U_{\phi_q}) = \\
&\chi({u^0}_{<0>}\otimes\cdots\otimes {u^p}_{<0>} \mid f^1\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q\otimes S({u^0}_{<1>}\dots {u^p}_{<1>})f^0) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad (g_0\otimes\cdots\otimes g_p \mid U_{\phi_0}\otimes\cdots\otimes U_{\phi_q}) = \\
&\int_G \Big[{u^0}_{<0>}(g_0)\dots {u^p}_{<0>}(g_p)\curlyvee(f^1)(\phi_0)\dots\curlyvee(f^q)(\phi_{q-1})\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-2}^{-1}\cdots\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1} \\
&\curlyvee(f^0 S({u^0}_{<1>}\dots {u^p}_{<1>})(\phi_q)\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1}\cdots\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}\Big]\varpi \qquad (3.25)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3.12), (3.6), and the equality $\phi_q = (\phi_{q-1}^{-1}\cdots\phi_0^{-1})$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.25) = &\int_G \Big[U^*_{\phi_q}u^0U_{\phi_q}(g_0)\cdots U^*_{\phi_q}u^pU_{\phi_q}(g_p)\curlyvee(f^1)(\phi_0)\dots \\
&\curlyvee(f^q)(\phi_{q-1})\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-2}^{-1}\cdots\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}\,\curlyvee(f^0)(\phi_q)\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1}\cdots\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}\Big]\varpi = \\
&\int_G \Big[u^0(g_0\circ\tilde{\phi}_q^{-1})\circ\tilde{\phi}_q^{-1}\cdots u^p(g_p\circ\tilde{\phi}_q^{-1})\circ\tilde{\phi}_q\,\curlyvee(f^1)(\phi_0)\dots \\
&\curlyvee(f^q)(\phi_{q-1})\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-2}^{-1}\cdots\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}\,\curlyvee(f^0)(\phi_q)\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1}\cdots\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}\Big]\varpi = \qquad (3.26)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the fact that the volume form $\varpi$ is $\mathbf{G}$-invariant and also $\phi_0\dots\phi_q = \mathrm{Id}$ we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(3.26) = \\
&\int_G \Big[u^0(g_0\circ\tilde{\phi}_q^{-1})\cdots u^p(g_p\circ\tilde{\phi}_q^{-1}\,\curlyvee(f^1)(\phi_0)\circ\tilde{\phi}_q^{-1}\dots \\
&\curlyvee(f^q)(\phi_{q-1})\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-2}^{-1}\cdots\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_q^{-1}\,\curlyvee(f^0)(\phi_q)\Big]\varpi = \\
&\chi(\mathbf{1}\otimes\tilde{u}\otimes\tilde{f})(g_0\circ\tilde{\phi}_q^{-1}\otimes\cdots\otimes g_p\circ\tilde{\phi}_q^{-1} \mid U_{\phi_q}\otimes U_{\phi_0}\otimes\cdots\otimes U_{\phi_{q-1}}) = \\
&\overrightarrow{\tau}\chi(\mathbf{1}\otimes\tilde{u}\otimes\tilde{f})(g_0\otimes\cdots\otimes g_p \mid U_{\phi_0}\otimes\cdots\otimes U_{\phi_q}).
\end{aligned}
$$

□

So $\chi$ induces a cyclic map in the level of diagonal complexes and a map of mixed complexes at the level of total complexes, as follows:

$$
\chi_{\mathrm{diag}} : C^{n,n}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{F},\mathbb{C}_\delta)\to \mathrm{Hom}(C_c^\infty(G)^{\otimes n}\otimes\mathbb{C}\Gamma^{\otimes n},\mathbb{C}), \qquad (3.27)
$$

$$
\chi_{\mathrm{tot}} : \bigoplus_{p+q=n} C^{p,q}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{F},\mathbb{C}_\delta)\to \bigoplus_{p+q=n}\mathrm{Hom}(C_c^\infty(G)^{\otimes p}\otimes\mathbb{C}\Gamma^{\otimes q},\mathbb{C}), \qquad (3.28)
$$

By [30, Theorem 2.15] $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{cop}} \cong \mathcal{F} \blacktriangleright\!\!\lhd \mathcal{U}$. Let us recall the latter isomorphism. One identifies $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{cop}}$ with the commutative part of $\mathcal{H}$ which is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ab}}$, in a natural way namely sending the standard generators of $\mathcal{F}$ to those of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ab}}$. Let us call this isomorphism by $\iota$ and refer the reader to its definition in [30, Proposition 2.7]. Then the isomorphism $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{cop}} \to \mathcal{F} \blacktriangleright\!\!\lhd \mathcal{U}$ is defined by on the basis elements by

$$\mathcal{J}(\delta_K Z_I) = \iota(\delta_K) \blacktriangleright\!\!\lhd Z_I,$$

where $\{\delta_K\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{ab}}$ and $\{Z_I\}$ is the usual PBW basis of $\mathcal{U}$. It is proved in *loc. cit.* that $\mathcal{H}$ acts on $\mathcal{A}$ from the left and makes $\mathcal{A}$ a module algebra. This action induces an $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{cop}}$-module algebra on $\mathcal{A}^o$ via

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{cop}} \otimes \mathcal{A}^o \to \mathcal{A}^o, \quad h^o(\bar{a}) = \overline{h(a)} \tag{3.29}$$

One observes that $^- : \mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G) \to \mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G)^o$ defined by $\overline{gU_\phi^*} = U_\phi g$ is an anti algebra isomorphism between $\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G)^o$.
We next recall from [30, Proposition 3.1] the isomorphism of $(b, B)$ Hopf cyclic complexes

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{H}} : C^n(\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{cop}}, \mathbb{C}_\delta) \to C^n(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{C}_\delta), \\ &\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbf{1} \otimes h_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h_n) = \mathbf{1} \otimes h_n \otimes h_{n-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes h_1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

as well as its algebra counterpart

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{I}_\Gamma : C^n(\mathcal{A}^o_{\mathrm{c},\Gamma}) \to C^n(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{c},\Gamma}), \\ &\mathcal{I}_\Gamma(\theta)(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) = \theta(a_0 \otimes a_n \otimes \cdots \otimes a_1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

Finally, let us recall that the original characteristic map $\chi_{\mathrm{act}} : \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{G})^{\otimes n} \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G)^{\otimes n+1}, \mathbb{C})$, which actually guided the definition of Hopf cyclic cohomology in [8], has the expression

$$\chi_{\mathrm{act}}(h^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes h^n)(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes, a_n) = \tau(a_0 h^1(a_1) \ldots h^n(a_n)). \tag{3.32}$$

Let us prove that all the above characteristic maps are equivalent.

**Theorem 3.7.** *The diagram below is commutative and all its vertical arrows are quasi-isomorphisms.*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^n(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{C}_\delta) \arrow[r, "\chi_{\mathrm{act}}"] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}"] & C^n(\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G)) \arrow[d, "\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma}^{-1}"] \\
C^n(\mathcal{F} \blacktriangleright\!\!\!\triangleleft \mathcal{U}, \mathbb{C}_\delta) \arrow[r, "\chi_{\mathrm{act}}"] \arrow[d, "\Psi_{\blacktriangleright\!\triangleleft}^{-1}"'] & C^n(\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G)^{\mathrm{o}}) \arrow[d, "\Psi_{\Gamma}^{-1}"] \\
C^{n,n}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta) \arrow[r, "\chi_{\mathrm{diag}}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{SH}"] & C^{n,n}(C^\infty_c(G), \Gamma) \arrow[d, "\overline{SH}"] \\
\bigoplus_{p+q=n} C^{p,q}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta) \arrow[r, "\chi_{\mathrm{tot}}"] & \bigoplus_{p+q=n} C^{p,q}(C^\infty_c(G), \Gamma).
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.33}$$

*Proof.* The commutativity of the lower square is a direct corollary of Proposition 3.6 as the shuffle map $\overline{SH}$ is made of cofaces, codegeneracies and cyclic operators. The commutativity of the top square is obvious due to the similarity of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Let us check that the middle square is commutative too. Using the abbreviated notation

$$\tilde{f} \otimes \tilde{u} = \mathbf{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{cop}}} 1 \otimes u^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u^n \otimes 1 \otimes f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^n,$$

after some obvious simplification one observes that

$$\Psi_{\blacktriangleright\!\triangleleft}(\tilde{f} \otimes \tilde{u}) = \mathbf{1} \otimes f^1 \blacktriangleright\!\!\!\triangleleft {u^1}_{<0>} \otimes f^2 {u^1}_{<1>} \blacktriangleright\!\!\!\triangleleft {u^2}_{<0>} \otimes \dots$$
$$\dots \otimes f^n {u^1}_{<n-1>} \dots {u^{n-1}}_{<1>} \blacktriangleright\!\!\!\triangleleft u^n.$$

Assuming that $\phi_0 \dots \phi_n = \mathrm{Id}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi^{\mathrm{act}} \circ \Psi_{\blacktriangleright\!\triangleleft}(\tilde{f} \otimes \tilde{u})(U_{\phi_0} g_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{\phi_n} g_n) = \\
&\tau \left( u^n(g_n) \eth(f^n {u^1}_{<n-1>} \dots {u^{n-1}}_{<1>})(U^*_{\phi_n}) \dots {u^1}_{<0>}(g_1) \eth(f^1) U^*_{\phi_1} g_0 U^*_{\phi_0} \right) = \\
&\int_G \Big[ u^n(g_n) \curlyvee(S(f^n))(\phi_n^{-1}) \curlyvee(S({u^1}_{<n-1>} \dots {u^{n-1}}_{<1>}))(\phi_n^{-1}) \dots \\
&\qquad \dots ({u^1}_{<0>}(g_1) \curlyvee(S(f^1))(\phi_1^{-1})) \circ \tilde{\phi}_2 \cdots \tilde{\phi}_n g_0 \circ \tilde{\phi}_1 \cdots \tilde{\phi}_n \Big] \varpi.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.34}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\Psi_\Gamma \circ \chi^{\mathcal{D}})(\tilde{f} \otimes \tilde{u})(U_{\phi_0} g_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{\phi_n} g_n) = \\
&\chi^{\mathcal{D}}(\tilde{f} \otimes \tilde{u})(g_0 \circ \tilde{\phi}_1 \cdots \tilde{\phi}_n \otimes \cdots \otimes g_{n-1} \circ \tilde{\phi}_n \otimes g_n \mid U_{\phi_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes U_{\phi_n}) = \\
&\tau(g_0 \circ \tilde{\phi}_1 \cdots \tilde{\phi}_n u^1(g_1 \circ \tilde{\phi}_2 \cdots \tilde{\phi}_n) \dots \\
&\dots u^{n-1}(g_{n-1} \circ \tilde{\phi}_n) u^n(g_n) \bar{\delta}(f^n)(U^*_{\phi_n}) \dots \bar{\delta}(f^1)(U^*_{\phi_1}) U^*_{\phi_0}) = \\
&= \int_G \Big[ g_0 \circ \tilde{\phi}_1 \cdots \tilde{\phi}_n u^1(g_1 \circ \tilde{\phi}_2 \cdots \tilde{\phi}_n) \dots \\
&\dots u^n(g_n) \bar{\gamma}(S(f^n))(\phi_n^{-1}) \dots \bar{\gamma}(S(f^1))(\phi_1^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_2 \dots \tilde{\phi}_n \Big] \varpi_{\mathbf{G}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

Using (3.6) sufficiently many times and recalling that $\phi_0 \dots \phi_n = \mathrm{Id}$, and that $\varpi$ is $\mathbf{G}$-invariant, one obtains the equality of (3.34) and (3.35). ☐

## 3.2 Differential graded characteristic map

We proceed now to construct characteristic maps from the Hopf version of the Chevalley-Eilenberg complexes to the cyclic cohomology bicomplex of the crossed product by differential forms $\Omega_{c,\Gamma}(G) := \Omega_c^\bullet(G) \rtimes \Gamma$.

To begin with, let us recall the definition of the Bott bicomplex $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{Bott}}(G, \mathbf{G})$ computing the $\mathbf{G}$-equivariant cohomology of $G$. The cochain space $C^{q,p}_{\mathrm{Bott}}(G, \mathbf{G})$ $= C^q_{\mathrm{Bott}}(\Omega_p(G), \mathbf{G})$ consists of all antisymmetrized $\mathbf{G}$-equivariant functions on $\mathbf{G}^{\times(p+1)}$ with values in de Rham currents of degree $q$. As in (2.11), the equivariance condition is

$$\gamma(\phi_0\phi, \dots \phi_q\phi) = {}^tL^*_{\phi^{-1}\triangleright}\gamma(\phi_0, \dots \phi_q), \quad \gamma \in C^{p,q}, \phi_i \in \Gamma, \phi \in \mathbf{G}. \tag{3.36}$$

When the current is given by a form, this condition becomes

$$\gamma(\phi_0\phi, \dots \phi_q\phi) = L^*_{\phi\triangleright}\gamma(\phi_0, \dots \phi_q) \equiv \tilde{\phi}^*\big(\gamma(\phi_0, \dots \phi_q)\big), \tag{3.37}$$

by duality and taking into account that $\mathbf{G}$ acts on $G$ via the natural action on frames. The horizontal and vertical coboundaries of $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{Bott}}(G, \mathbf{G})$ are defined as follows:

$$d_1(\gamma)(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{q+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{q+1}(-1)^i\gamma(\phi_0, \dots, \check{\phi}_i, \dots, \phi_{q+1}), \tag{3.38}$$

$$d_2(\gamma)(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_q) = d^t(\gamma(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_q)), \tag{3.39}$$

We now define the map $\Theta : \left(\wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \wedge^{q+1}\mathcal{F}\right)^{\mathcal{F}} \to C^{p,q}_{\mathrm{Bott}}(G,\mathbf{G})$ by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(\sum_I \alpha_I \otimes {}^If^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge {}^If^q)(\phi_0,\ldots,\phi_q) \ = \\ = \sum_{I,\sigma} (-1)^\sigma \gamma(S({}^If^{\sigma(0)}))(\phi_0^{-1})\ldots\gamma(S({}^If^{\sigma(q)}))(\phi_q^{-1})\tilde{\alpha}_I\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.40}$$

Here $\tilde{\alpha}_I$ is the left invariant form on $G$ associated to $\alpha_I \in \wedge^q\mathfrak{g}^*$.

Recall that the bicomplex $(\wedge^p\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\wedge^{q+1}\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}}$ has two cobundary $b_{\mathrm{c-w}}$ and $\partial_{\mathrm{c-w}}$ defined in (1.62) and (1.63) respectively.

**Proposition 3.8.** *The map $\Theta$ is a well-defined homomorphism of bicomplexes.*

*Proof.* In order to prove that $\Theta$ is well-defined, it suffices to check that $\Theta(\alpha\otimes f^0\wedge\cdots\wedge f^q)$ is equivariant.
In view of the identity (1.30), one has

$$\tilde{\phi}^*\tilde{\alpha} \ = \ \gamma(\alpha_{<1>})(\phi^{-1})\,\tilde{\alpha}_{<0>}. \tag{3.41}$$

Also from Lemma 3.1,

$$\gamma(f)(\phi_1\phi_2) = \gamma(f_{(1)})(\phi_1)\gamma(f_{(2)})(\phi_2)\circ\tilde{\phi}_1^{-1}.$$

Using the fact that $\sum_I \alpha_I\otimes {}^If^0\wedge\cdots\wedge {}^If^q$ belongs to the coinvariant space, we can check the condition (3.37) for $\phi\in\Gamma$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_I \gamma(S({}^If^0))((\phi_0\phi)^{-1})\cdots\gamma(S({}^If^q))((\phi_n\phi)^{-1})\,\tilde{\alpha}_I \ = \\ &\sum_I \gamma(S({}^If^0{}_{(2)}))(\phi^{-1})\ \gamma(S({}^If^0{}_{(1)}))(\phi_0^{-1})\circ\tilde{\phi}\cdots \\ &\qquad\qquad\cdots\gamma(S({}^If^q{}_{(2)}))(\phi^{-1})\ \gamma(S({}^If^q{}_{(1)}))(\phi_q^{-1})\circ\tilde{\phi}\,\tilde{\alpha}_I \ = \\ &\sum_I \left(\gamma(S({}^If^0{}_{(1)}))(\phi_0^{-1})\ldots\gamma(S({}^If^q{}_{(1)}))(\phi_q^{-1})\right)\circ\tilde{\phi}\ \gamma(S({}^If^0{}_{(2)}\cdots{}^If^q{}_{(2)}))(\phi^{-1})\,\tilde{\alpha}_I = \\ &\sum_I \tilde{\phi}^*\left(\gamma(S({}^If^0{}_{(1)}))(\phi_0^{-1})\ldots\gamma(S({}^If^q{}_{(1)}))(\phi_q^{-1})\right)\ \gamma(S({}^If^0{}_{(2)}\cdots{}^If^q{}_{(2)}))(\phi^{-1})\,\tilde{\alpha}_I =\end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_I \tilde{\phi}^* \left(\bar{\gamma}(S({}^If^0))(\phi_0^{-1}) \dots \bar{\gamma}(S({}^If^q))(\phi_q^{-1})\right)\ \bar{\gamma}(\alpha_{I_{<1>}})(\phi^{-1})\,\tilde{\alpha}_{I_{<0>}} =$$

$$\sum_I \tilde{\phi}^* \left(\bar{\gamma}(S({}^If^0))(\phi_0^{-1}) \dots \bar{\gamma}(S({}^If^q))(\phi_q^{-1})\right)\ \tilde{\phi}^*(\tilde{\alpha}_I) =$$

$$\sum_I \tilde{\phi}^* \left(\bar{\gamma}(S({}^If^0))(\phi_0^{-1}) \dots \bar{\gamma}(S({}^If^q))(\phi_q^{-1})\,\tilde{\alpha}_I\right).$$

Next we prove that $\Theta b_{\mathcal{F}} = d_1\Theta$, we set ${}^Ig^0 = 1$, ${}^Ig^j = {}^If^{j-1}$, for $1 \le j \le q+1$.

$$\Theta b_{\mathrm{c-w}}(\sum_I \alpha_I \otimes {}^If^0 \wedge \dots \wedge {}^If^q)(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{q+1}) =$$

$$\Theta(\sum_I \alpha_I \otimes 1 \wedge {}^If^0 \wedge \dots \wedge {}^If^q)(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{q+1}) =$$

$$\sum_{I,\sigma\in S_{q+2}} (-1)^\sigma \bar{\gamma}(S({}^Ig^{\sigma(0)}))(\phi_0^{-1}) \dots \bar{\gamma}(S({}^Ig^{\sigma(q)}))(\phi_{q+1}^{-1})\tilde{\alpha}_I =$$

$$\sum_{I,\sigma\in S_{q+2}} (-1)^\sigma \bar{\gamma}(S({}^Ig^0))(\phi_{\sigma(0)}^{-1}) \dots \bar{\gamma}(S({}^Ig^{q+1}))(\phi_{\sigma(q+1)}^{-1})\tilde{\alpha}_I =$$

$$\sum_{I,\sigma\in S_{q+2}} \sum_{\sigma(0)=j} (-1)^\sigma \bar{\gamma}(S({}^Ig^0))(\phi_{\sigma(0)}^{-1}) \dots \bar{\gamma}(S({}^Ig^{q+1}))(\phi_{\sigma(q+1)}^{-1})\tilde{\alpha}_I =$$

$$\sum_{I,0\le j\le q+1} (-1)^j \sum_{\pi\in S_{q+1}} (-1)^\pi \bar{\gamma}(S({}^If^{\pi(0)}))(\phi_0^{-1}) \dots$$

$$\bar{\gamma}(S({}^If^{\pi(j-1)}))(\phi_{j-1}^{-1})\bar{\gamma}(S({}^If^{\pi(j)}))(\phi_{j+1}^{-1}) \dots \bar{\gamma}(S({}^If^{\pi(q)}))(\phi_{q+1}^{-1})\tilde{\alpha}_I =$$

$$\sum_{I,0\le j\le q+1} (-1)^j \Theta(\alpha_I \otimes {}^If^0 \wedge \dots \wedge {}^If^q)(\phi_0, \dots, \check{\phi}_j, \dots, \phi_{q+1}),.$$

To prove that $\Theta\partial_{\mathrm{c-w}} = d_2\Theta$ we fix a basis $X_i$ for $\mathfrak{g}$ and its dual basis $\theta^i$ for $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Let $g \in C^\infty(G)$ then its differential is $dg = \sum X_i(g)\theta^i$. On the other hand we know $\eth$ is a $U(\mathfrak{g})$ module map which means that $X(\eth(f)(U_\phi^*)) = \eth(X \triangleright f)(U_\phi^*)$ this implies that $\bar{\gamma} \circ S$ is $U(\mathfrak{g})$-module map. Finally see that

$$d(\sum_I {}^Ig\ \tilde{\alpha}_I) = \sum_I d({}^Ig)\ \tilde{\alpha}_I + \sum_I {}^Ig\ d(\tilde{\alpha}_I) =$$

$$\sum_{I,i} X_i({}^Ig)\theta^i \wedge \tilde{\alpha}_I + \sum_I {}^Ig\ d(\tilde{\alpha}_I).$$

Here ${}^Ig = \sum_\sigma (-1)^\sigma \bar{\gamma}(S({}^If^{\sigma(0)}))(\phi_0^{-1}) \dots \bar{\gamma}(S({}^If^{\sigma(q)}))(\phi_q^{-1})$. □

**Remark 3.9.** *The map $\Theta$ does not distinguish between the $\mathbf{G}$-equivariant and the $\mathfrak{N}$-equivariant cohomology of $G$.*

Indeed, let $\varphi_0, \ldots, \varphi_q \in G$ and $\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_q \in \mathfrak{N}$. Using the cocycle property (3.5) and the fact that $\gamma(f)(\varphi) = \varepsilon(f),\ \forall\, \varphi \in G$, one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(S(f))(\psi^{-1}\varphi^{-1}) \;&=\; \gamma(S(f)_{(1)})(\psi^{-1})\gamma(S(f)_{(2)})(\varphi^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\psi} \;= \\ &=\; \gamma(S(f))(\psi^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(\sum_I \alpha_I \otimes {}^If_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge {}^If_q)(\varphi_0\psi_0, \ldots, \varphi_q\psi_q) \;=& \\ \Theta(\sum_I \alpha_I \otimes {}^If_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge {}^If_q)(\psi_0, \ldots, \psi_q).& \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

In [17] Gorokhovsky introduced the chain map $\Psi : C^{\bullet,*}_{\mathrm{Bott}}(G, \mathbf{G}) \to C^*(\Omega_{c,\Gamma}(G))$, which (adapted to a left action) is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} &\Psi(\gamma)(\omega_0 U^*_{\phi_0}, \omega_1 U^*_{\phi_1}, \ldots, \omega_q U^*_{\phi_q}) = \\ &(-1)^{q+\deg\gamma}\langle \gamma(1, \phi_0, \phi_1\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_{q-1}\ldots\phi_0), \omega_0\ {\phi_0}^*\omega_1\ \ldots (\phi_{q-1}\ldots\phi_0)^*\omega_q\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

if $\phi_q \ldots \phi_0 = 1$ and 0 otherwise.
Since $\Psi$ is a map of complexes. the composition

$$\chi^\wedge = \Psi \circ \Theta : \wedge^*\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \wedge^{q+1}\mathcal{F} \to C^q(\Omega_{c,\Gamma}(G)) \tag{3.44}$$

is also a chain map. It is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi^\wedge(\alpha \otimes \tilde{f})(\omega_0 U^*_{\phi_0}, \omega_1 U^*_{\phi_1}, \ldots, \omega_q U^*_{\phi_q}) = \\ &(-1)^{\deg\alpha + q}\cdot \\ &\sum_{\sigma \in S_{q+1}} (-1)^\sigma \int_G \varepsilon(f^{\sigma(0)})\gamma(S(f^{\sigma(1)}))(\phi_0^{-1}) \ldots \gamma(S(f^{\sigma(q)}))(\phi_0^{-1}\ldots\phi_{q-1}^{-1}) \\ &\qquad\qquad \cdot \tilde{\alpha}\, \omega_0\ {\phi_0}^*\omega_1\ \ldots (\phi_{q-1}\ldots\phi_0)^*\omega_q. \end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

if $\phi_q \ldots \phi_0 = 1$ and 0 otherwise.

We now define the map $\Upsilon : C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{Bott}}(\Gamma, G) \to C^{\bullet,\bullet}(C^\infty_c(G), \Gamma)$ as follows

$$\Upsilon(\gamma)(f^0, \ldots, f^p \mid U_{\phi_0}, \ldots, U_{\phi_q}) = \tag{3.46}$$
$$\begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_G f^0 df^1 \ldots df^p \gamma(\phi_0^{-1}, \phi_1^{-1}\phi_0^{-1}, \ldots \phi_{q-1}^{-1} \cdots \phi_0^{-1}, 1), & \text{if } \phi_0 \cdots \phi_q = id, \\ \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 3.10.** *The map $\Upsilon$ is a map of bicomplexes, more precisely*

$$\Upsilon \circ d_2 = \uparrow B \circ \Upsilon, \quad \Upsilon \circ d_1 = \overrightarrow{b} \circ \Upsilon, \quad \overrightarrow{B} \circ \Upsilon = \uparrow b \circ \Upsilon = 0. \tag{3.47}$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality we can assume that $\phi_0 \ldots \phi_q = \mathrm{Id}$. We first use that fact that $\gamma \in C^q(\Gamma, \Omega_p(G))$ is equivariant to see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\overrightarrow{\partial}_{q+1}(\Upsilon(\gamma))(f^0, \ldots f^p \mid U_{\phi_0}, \ldots, U_{\phi_{q+1}}) = \\ &\int_G {\phi_{q+1}^{-1}}^*(f^0 df^1 \ldots df^p)\gamma(\phi_0^{-1}\phi_{q+1}^{-1}, \phi_1^{-1}\phi_0^{-1}\phi_{q+1}^{-1}, \ldots, \phi_{q-1}^{-1} \cdots \phi_0^{-1}\phi_{q+1}^{-1}, 1) = \\ &\int_G {\phi_{q+1}^{-1}}^*(f^0 df^1 \ldots df^p){\phi_{q+1}^{-1}}^*\gamma(\phi_0^{-1}, \phi_1^{-1}\phi_0^{-1}, \ldots, \phi_q^{-1} \cdots \phi_0^{-1}) = \\ &\int_G f^0 df^1 \ldots df^p \gamma(\phi_0^{-1}, \phi_1^{-1}\phi_0^{-1}, \ldots, \phi_q^{-1} \cdots \phi_0^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

We use this to check that $\Upsilon \circ d_1 = \overrightarrow{b} \circ \Upsilon$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\Upsilon(d_1\gamma)(f^0, \ldots, f^p \mid U_{\phi_0}, \ldots, U_{\phi_{q+1}}) = \\ &\sum_{i=0}^{q} (-1)^i \int_G f^0 df^1 \ldots df^p \gamma(\phi_0^{-1}, \phi_1^{-1}\phi_0^{-1}, \ldots, \widehat{\phi_{i-1}^{-1} \cdots \phi_0^{-1}}, \ldots, \phi_q^{-1} \cdots \phi_0^{-1}, 1) \\ &\qquad\qquad + (-1)^{q+1} \int_G f^0 df^1 \ldots df^p \gamma(\phi_0^{-1}, \phi_1^{-1}\phi_0^{-1}, \ldots, \phi_q^{-1} \cdots \phi_0^{-1}) = \\ &\sum_{i=0}^{q} (-1)^i \overrightarrow{\partial}_i(\Upsilon(\gamma))(f^0, \ldots f^p \mid U_{\phi_0}, \ldots, U_{\phi_{q+1}}) + \\ &(-1)^{q+1}\overrightarrow{\partial}_{q+1}(\Upsilon(\gamma))(f^0, \ldots f^p \mid U_{\phi_0}, \ldots, U_{\phi_{q+1}}) = \overrightarrow{b}(\Upsilon(\gamma))(f^0, \ldots, f^p \mid U_{\phi_0}, \ldots, U_{\phi_{q+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we show that $\Upsilon \circ d_2 = \uparrow B \circ \Upsilon$. Using the Stokes formula we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Upsilon(d_2\gamma)(f^0,\dots,f^{p-1}\mid U_{\phi_0},\dots,U_{\phi_q})=\\
&\int_G f^0df^1\dots df^{p-1}(d_2\gamma)(\phi_0^{-1},\phi_1^{-1}\phi_0^{-1},\dots,\phi_{q-1}^{-1}\cdots\phi_0^{-1},1)=\\
&\int_G f^0df^1\dots df^{p-1}d\gamma(\phi_0^{-1},\phi_1^{-1}\phi_0^{-1},\dots,\phi_{q-1}^{-1}\cdots\phi_0^{-1},1)=\\
&(-1)^{p-1}\int_G d(f^0df^1\dots df^{p-1})\gamma(\phi_0^{-1},\phi_1^{-1}\phi_0^{-1},\dots,\phi_{q-1}^{-1}\cdots\phi_0^{-1},1)=\\
&(\uparrow B(\Upsilon(\gamma))(f^0,\dots,f^{p-1}\mid U_{\phi_0},\dots,U_{\phi_q}).
\end{aligned}$$

To show that $\overrightarrow{B}\circ\Upsilon=0$ we check that the image of $\Upsilon$ is horizontally cyclic.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overrightarrow{\tau}(\Upsilon(\gamma))(f^0,\dots,f^p\mid U_{\phi_0},\dots,U_{\phi_q})=\\
&(\Upsilon(\gamma))(\phi_q^{-1*}(f^0),\dots,\phi_q^{-1*}(f^p)\mid U_{\phi_q},U_{\phi_0},\dots,U_{\phi_{q-1}})=\\
&\int_G \phi_q^{-1*}(f^0df^1\dots df^p)\gamma(\phi_q^{-1},\phi_0^{-1}\phi_q^{-1},\dots\phi_{q-2}^{-1}\cdots\phi_0^{-1}\phi_q^{-1},1)=\\
&\int_G \phi_q^{-1*}(f^0df^1\dots df^p)\phi_q^{-1*}\gamma(1,\phi_0^{-1},\dots\phi_{q-2}^{-1}\cdots\phi_0^{-1},\phi_{q-1}^{-1}\cdots\phi_0^{-1})=\\
&(-1)^q\int_G(f^0df^1\dots df^p)\gamma(\phi_0^{-1},\dots\phi_{q-2}^{-1}\cdots\phi_0^{-1},\phi_{q-1}^{-1}\cdots\phi_0^{-1},1)=\\
&(-1)^q\Upsilon(\gamma)(f^0,\dots,f^p\mid U_{\phi_0},\dots,U_{\phi_q}).
\end{aligned}$$

The identity $\uparrow b\circ\Upsilon=0$ follows from the fact that $d$ is a derivation. $\square$

We now consider the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_{\mathcal{H}}^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{U},\mathcal{F},\mathbb{C}_\delta) \arrow[r, "\chi_{\rm tot}"] & C^{\bullet.\bullet}(C_c^\infty(G),\Gamma)\ , \\
C_{\rm c-w}^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F}) \arrow[u, "\Lambda"] \arrow[r, "\Theta"] & C_{\rm Bott}^{\bullet,\bullet}(\Omega(G),\Gamma) \arrow[u, "\Upsilon"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.48}$$

where $\Lambda:=\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathfrak{g}}\circ(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}\otimes id)\circ\mathcal{I}^{-1}\circ\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{F}}$. The antisymmetrization map

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{F}}:C_{\rm c-w}^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F})\to C_{\rm coinv}^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F}),$$

is defined in (1.65), the map

$$\mathcal{I}^{-1}:C_{\rm coinv}^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F})\to C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F}),$$

is given by (1.48), and the Poincaré isomorphism

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{g}} : C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F}) \to C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathcal{F}),$$

by (1.27); finally, the map

$$\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathfrak{g}} : C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}) \to C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta),$$

is the first antisymmetrization map, defined in (1.22).

**Proposition 3.11.** *The diagram* (3.48) *is commutative.*

*Proof.* It suffices to work with elements of the form $\omega \otimes 1 \wedge f^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q \in C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\text{coinv}}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F})$. Indeed we see that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{I}^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{F}}(\omega \otimes 1 \wedge f^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q) = \\ &\sum_{\sigma \in S_q} (-1)^\sigma \omega \otimes f^{\sigma(1)}{}_{(1)} \otimes f^{\sigma(1)}{}_{(1)} f^{\sigma(2)}{}_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes f^{\sigma(1)}{}_{(q)} \dots f^{\sigma(q-1)}{}_{(2)} f^{\sigma(q)}.\end{aligned}$$

After applying $\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ on $\mathcal{I}^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{F}}(\omega \otimes 1 \wedge f^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q)$ the resulted cochain belongs to $\mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes \mathcal{U}^{\otimes p} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes q}$. We then identify it to the corresponding cochain in $\mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{U}^{\otimes p+1} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes q+1}$. The result is seen as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}&\Lambda(\omega \otimes 1 \wedge f^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q)) = \\ &\sum_{\sigma \in S_q} (-1)^\sigma 1 \otimes \alpha_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\omega)) \otimes 1 \otimes f^{\sigma(1)}{}_{(1)} \otimes f^{\sigma(1)}{}_{(1)} f^{\sigma(2)}{}_{(1)} \otimes \dots \\ &\dots \otimes f^{\sigma(1)}{}_{(q)} \dots f^{\sigma(q-1)}{}_{(2)} f^{\sigma(q)}\end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

Transferring the image of $\Lambda$ via $\chi$ we observe that for $\phi_0, \dots \phi_q \in \Gamma$ with $\phi_0, \dots \phi_q = \mathrm{Id}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi(\Lambda(\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{F}}(\omega \otimes 1 \wedge f^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q))(g_0, \dots, g_p, \phi_0, \dots, \phi_q) = \\ &\sum_{\sigma \in S_q} (-1)^\sigma \int_G (1 \otimes \alpha_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\omega))(g_0, \dots, g_p) \not\gamma(f^{\sigma(1)}{}_{(1)})(\phi_1) \circ \tilde{\phi}_0^{-1} \dots \\ &\dots \not\gamma(f^{\sigma(1)}{}_{(q)} \dots f^{\sigma(q-1)}{}_{(2)} f^{\sigma(q)})(\phi_q) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1} \dots \tilde{\phi}_0^{-1} \varpi_G\end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

Let $X_1, \dots, X_m$ be a basis for $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\theta^1, \dots, \theta^m$ be its dual basis. Without loss of generality, we can assume $\omega = \theta^{p+1} \dots \theta^m$. We compute the term

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_0dg_1\dots dg_p\omega = g_0X_{i_1}(g_1)\theta^{i_1}\dots X_{i_p}(g_p)\theta^{i_1}\omega = \\
&\sum_{1\leq i_1,\dots,i_p\leq m} g_0X_{i_1}(g_1)\dots X_{i_p}(g_p)\theta^{i_1}\dots\theta^{i_1}\omega = \\
&\sum_{\mu\in S_p}(-1)^{\mu}g_0X_{\mu(1)}(g)\dots X_{\mu(p)}(g_p)\varpi_G = (1\otimes a_{\mathfrak{g}}\circ\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\omega))(g_0,\dots,g_p)\varpi.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

We next compute

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Upsilon\circ\Theta(\omega\otimes 1\wedge f^1\wedge\dots\wedge f^q)(g_0,\dots,g_p,\phi_0,\dots,\phi_q) = \\
&\int_G g^0dg^1\dots dg^p\Theta(\omega\otimes 1\wedge f^1\wedge\dots\wedge f^q)(\phi_0^{-1},\phi_1^{-1}\phi_0^{-1},\dots,\phi_{q-1}^{-1}\dots\phi_0^{-1},1) = \\
&\sum_{\sigma\in S_p}(-1)^s\int_G g^0dg^1\dots dg^p\ \omega\curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(1)}))(\phi_0)\curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(2)}))(\phi_0\phi_1)\dots\curlyvee(S(f^q))(\phi_0\dots\phi_{q-1}) = \\
&\sum_{\sigma\in S_p}(-1)^s\int_G(1\otimes a_{\mathfrak{g}}\circ\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\omega))(g_0,\dots,g_p)\curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(1)}))(\phi_0)\curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(2)}))(\phi_0\phi_1)\dots \\
&\dots\curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(q)}))(\phi_0\dots\phi_{q-1})\varpi.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

Using (3.5), (3.12), the assumption $\phi_0\dots\phi_q = \mathrm{Id}$, and the fact that $\curlyvee$ is an algebra map, one sees that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(1)}))(\phi_0)\curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(2)}))(\phi_0\phi_1)\dots\curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(q)}))(\phi_0\dots\phi_{q-1}) = \\
&\curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(1)}))((\phi_1\dots\phi_q)^{-1})\curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(2)}))((\phi_2\dots\phi_q)^{-1})\dots\curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(q)}))(\phi_q^{-1}) = \\
&\curlyvee(f^{\sigma(1)})(\phi_1\dots\phi_q)\circ\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}\curlyvee(f^{\sigma(2)})(\phi_2\dots\phi_q)\circ\tilde{\phi}_1^{-1}\phi_0^{-1}\dots \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\dots\curlyvee(f^{\sigma(q)})(\phi_q)\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1}\dots\phi_0^{-1} = \\
&\left(\curlyvee(f^{\sigma(1)}{}_{(1)})(\phi_1)\curlyvee(f^{\sigma(1)}{}_{(2)})(\phi_2)\circ\tilde{\phi}_1^{-1}\dots\curlyvee(f^{\sigma(1)}{}_{(q)})(\phi_q)\circ\tilde{\phi}_q^{-1}\dots\tilde{\phi}_1^{-1}\right)\circ\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1} \\
&\left(\curlyvee(f^{\sigma(2)}{}_{(1)})(\phi_2)\curlyvee(f^{\sigma(2)}{}_{(2)})(\phi_3)\circ\tilde{\phi}_2^{-1}\dots\curlyvee(f^{\sigma(2)}{}_{(q-1)})(\phi_q)\circ\tilde{\phi}_q^{-1}\dots\phi_2^{-1}\right)\circ\tilde{\phi}_1^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}\dots \\
&\vdots \\
&\left(\curlyvee(f^{\sigma(q-1)}{}_{(1)})(\phi_{q-1})\curlyvee(f^{\sigma(q-1)}{}_{(2)})(\phi_q)\circ\tilde{\phi}_q^{-1}\phi_{q-1}^{-1}\right)\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-2}^{-1}\dots\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1} \\
&\curlyvee(f^{\sigma(q)})(\phi_q)\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-1}\dots\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1} = \\
&\curlyvee(f^{\sigma(1)}{}_{(1)})(\phi_1)\circ\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}\dots\curlyvee(f^{\sigma(2)}{}_{(q)}\dots f^{\sigma(q-1)}{}_{(2)}f^{\sigma(q)})(\phi_q)\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-1}^{-1}\dots\tilde{\phi}_0^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

In conjunction with (3.50), (3.51), and (3.52) this implies $\chi \circ \Lambda = \Upsilon \circ \Theta$. ☐

At this point we introduce a new type of characteristic map, obtained by cup product with a cocycle with coefficients (*cf.* [27]), which lands in the differential graded algebra $\Omega_{c,\Gamma}(G)$. We let $\mathcal{F}$ acts on $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*$ trivially and coact via $\blacktriangledown_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ defined in (1.29). We define $\tau \in \mathrm{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{g})}(V^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \Omega_{c,\Gamma}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{Hom}(\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \Omega_{c,\Gamma}, \mathbb{C})$ by

$$\tau(\alpha \otimes \omega\, U_\varphi^*) = \begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_G \tilde{\alpha}\, \omega, & \text{if } \phi = \mathrm{Id}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.53}$$

**Lemma 3.12.** *The functional $\tau$ belongs to $Z^0_{\mathcal{F}}(\Omega_{c,\Gamma}(G); \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*)$, that is for any $b, b_1, b_2 \in \Omega_{c,\Gamma}(G)$, any $\alpha \in \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^*$, and any $f \in \mathcal{F}$, one has*

$$\tau(\alpha \otimes b_2 b_1) = (-1)^{\deg(b_1)\deg(b_2)} \tau(\alpha_{<0>} \otimes \mathfrak{d}(S(\alpha_{<1>})(b_1) b_2), \tag{3.54}$$

$$\varepsilon(f)\tau(\alpha \otimes b) = \tau(\alpha f \otimes b) = \tau(\alpha \otimes \mathfrak{d}(S(f))(b)). \tag{3.55}$$

*Proof.* As (3.55) is obvious, we just prove (3.54). Let $b_1 = \omega_1 U_{\psi_1}^*$ and $b_2 = \omega_2 U_{\phi_2}^*$, with $\phi_1 \phi_2 = \mathrm{Id}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\tau(\alpha \otimes b_2\, b_1) = \int_G \tilde{\alpha}\, \omega_2\, \phi_2^*(\omega_1) = \int_G {\phi_1}^*(\tilde{\alpha})\, \phi_1^*(\omega_2)\, \omega_1 = \\ &(-1)^{\deg(\omega_1)\deg(\omega_2)} \int_G \tilde{\alpha}_{<0>}\, \gamma(\alpha_{<1>})(\phi_1^{-1}) \omega_1 \phi_1^* \omega_2 = \\ &(-1)^{\deg(\omega_1)\deg(\omega_2)} \tau\left(\alpha_{<0>} \otimes \mathfrak{d}(S(\alpha_{<1>}))(b_1) b_2\right). \end{aligned}$$

☐

With these preparations we define $\chi^\dagger : \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes q} \to C^q(\Omega_{c,\Gamma}(G))$ by the following formula:

$$\chi^\dagger(\alpha \otimes \tilde{f})(b_0, \ldots, b_q) = (-1)^{\deg(\alpha)} \tau(\alpha \otimes b_0 \mathfrak{d}(S(f^1))(b_1) \ldots \mathfrak{d}(S(f^q))(b_q)). \tag{3.56}$$

Alternatively,

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi^\dagger(\alpha \otimes f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q)(\omega_0\, U_{\phi_0}^*, \ldots, \omega_q\, U_{\phi_q}^*) = \qquad (3.57) \\ &(-1)^{\deg(\alpha)} \int_G \tilde{\alpha}\, \omega_0\, \phi_0^* \omega_1 \ldots (\phi_{q-1} \cdots \phi_0)^* \omega_q\, \gamma(f^1)(\phi_1^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_0 \cdots \\ &\qquad\qquad \cdots \gamma(f^q)(\phi_q^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-1} \ldots \tilde{\phi}_0), \end{aligned}$$

if $\phi_q \dots \phi_0 = \mathrm{Id}$ and 0 otherwise.

**Proposition 3.13.** *The map $\chi^\dagger$ is a map of bicomplexes, satisfying*

$$\chi^\dagger b^*_{\mathcal{F}} = b_{\Omega_{c,\Gamma}(G)}\chi^\dagger, \quad \chi^\dagger B^*_{\mathcal{F}} = B_{\Omega_{c,\Gamma}(G)}\chi^\dagger, \quad \chi^\dagger \partial_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = d_{\Omega_{c,\Gamma}(G)}\chi^\dagger.$$

*Proof.* We begin by proving the last equality. Assuming $\phi_q \dots \phi_0 = id$ and denoting $g_\phi = \not\gamma(f^1)(\phi_1^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_0 \cdots \not\gamma(f^q)(\phi_q^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-1} \dots \tilde{\phi}_0$, by the Stokes formula one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_{\Omega_{c,\Gamma}(G)}\chi^\dagger(\alpha \otimes f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q)(\omega_0 U^*_{\phi_0}, \dots, \omega_q U^*_{\phi_q}) = \\
&\sum_{i=1}^{q} (-1)^{\deg(\alpha\omega_0\cdots\omega_{i-1})} \int_G \tilde{\alpha}\, \omega_0\, \phi_0^*\omega_1 \dots (\phi_0 \cdots \phi_{i-1})^* d\omega_i \dots (\phi_0 \cdots \phi_{i-q})^*\omega_q\, g_\phi = \\
&-\int_G d(\tilde{\alpha})\, \omega_0\, \phi_0^*\omega_1 \dots (\phi_0 \cdots \phi_{q-1})^*\omega_q\, g_\phi - \\
&(-1)^{\deg(\alpha\omega_0\cdots\omega_q)} \int_G \tilde{\alpha}\, \omega_0\, \phi_0^*\omega_1 \dots (\phi_{q-1} \cdots \phi_0)^*\omega_q\, dg_\phi.
\end{aligned}$$

To compute $d(g_\phi)$, one writes

$$\begin{aligned}
&d(g_\phi) = \sum_i \theta^i X_i(g_\phi) = \\
&\sum_i \theta^i X_i(\not\gamma(f^1)(\phi_1^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_0 \cdots \not\gamma(f^q)(\phi_q^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-1} \dots \tilde{\phi}_0) = \\
&\sum_{i,j} \theta^i \not\gamma(f^1)(\phi_1^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_0 \cdots X_i\left(\not\gamma(f^j)(\phi_j^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{j-1} \dots \tilde{\phi}_0)\right) \cdots \\
&\not\gamma(f^q)(\phi_q^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-1} \dots \tilde{\phi}_0)).
\end{aligned}$$

Next we use (3.6), and (3.5) to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&X_i(\gamma(f^j)(\phi_j^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{j-1} \dots \tilde{\phi}_0) = U^*_{\phi_{j-1}\dots\phi_0} U_{\phi_{j-1}\dots\phi_0} X_i U^*_{\phi_{j-1}\dots\phi_0}(\gamma(f^j)(\phi_j^{-1}) = \\
&U^*_{\phi_{j-1}\dots\phi_0}\gamma(X_{i_{<1>}}(\phi_{j-1} \dots \phi_0))X_{<0>}(\gamma(f^j)(\phi_j^{-1})) = \\
&U^*_{\phi_{j-1}\dots\phi_0}\gamma(X_{i_{<1>}}(\phi_j^{-1} \dots \phi_q^{-1}))X_{<0>}(\gamma(f^j)(\phi_j^{-1})) = \\
&U^*_{\phi_{j-1}\dots\phi_0}\gamma(X_{i_{<1>}})(\phi_j^{-1})\gamma(X_{i_{<2>}})(\phi_{j+1}^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_j \cdots \\
&\cdots \gamma(X_{i_{<q-j+1>}}(\phi_q^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-1} \dots \tilde{\phi}_j)X_{<0>}(\gamma(f^j)(\phi_j^{-1})).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, due to the fact that $X_i$ are primitive one sees that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\alpha\otimes f^1\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q)=d\alpha\otimes f^1\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q-\\
&\sum_i\theta^i\wedge\alpha\otimes X_i\bullet(f^1\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q)=d\alpha\otimes f^1\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q-\\
&\sum_i\theta^i\wedge\alpha\otimes X_{i(1)_{<0>}}\triangleright f^1\otimes X_{i(1)_{<1>}}(X_{(1)_{<0>}}\triangleright f^2)\otimes\cdots\\
&\cdots\otimes X_{i(q+1)_{<1>}}\cdots X_{i(q)_{<1>}}(X_{i(q+1)}\triangleright f^q)=\\
&d\alpha\otimes f^1\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q-\\
&\sum_i\theta^i\wedge\alpha\otimes X_{i(1)_{<0>}}\triangleright f^1\otimes X_{i(1)_{<1>}}(X_{i(2)_{<0>}}\triangleright f^2)\otimes\cdots\\
&\cdots\otimes X_{i(q+1)_{<1>}}\cdots X_{i(q)_{<1>}}(X_{i(q+1)}\triangleright f^q)=\\
&d\alpha\otimes f^1\otimes\cdots\otimes f^q-\\
&\sum_{i,j}\theta^i\wedge\alpha\otimes f^1\otimes\cdots\otimes f^{j-1}\otimes X_{i_{<0>}}\triangleright f^j\otimes X_{i_{<1>}}f^{j+1}\otimes\cdots\otimes X_{i_{<q-j>}}f^q).
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.14), it follows that $\chi^\dagger\partial_{\mathfrak{g}^*}=d_{\Omega_{c,\Gamma}(G)}\chi^\dagger$. Since Lemma 3.12 ensures that $\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*$ is a SAYD over $\mathcal{F}$ and $\tau$ is $\wedge^\bullet\mathfrak{g}^*$-trace, by [20] $\chi^\dagger$ is a horizontal cyclic map, and hence it commutes with $b^*_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $B^*_{\mathcal{F}}$. ☐

Recalling the map $\mathcal{I}^{-1}:C^{p,q}_{\rm coinv}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F})\to C^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F})$ defined in (1.48) and the antisymmetrization map $\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{F}}:C^{p,q}_{\rm c-w}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F})\to C^{p,q}_{\rm coinv}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F})$ defined in (1.65), we can now prove the following statement.

**Proposition 3.14.** *The following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^{\bullet,q}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F}) \arrow[r, "\chi^\dagger"] & C^q(\Omega_{c,\Gamma}(G)) \\
C^{\bullet,q}_{\rm c-w}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F}) \arrow[u, "\mathcal{I}^{-1}\circ\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[r, "\Theta"] & C^\bullet_{\rm Bott}(\Omega_q(G),\Gamma) \arrow[u, "\Psi"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.58}$$

*Proof.* Decomposing each $f\in\mathcal{F}$ as $f=\varepsilon(f)1+f_{(0)}$, one sees that

$$f^0\wedge\cdots\wedge f^q=\sum_{k=0}^q(-1)^k\,\varepsilon(f^k)1\wedge f^0_{(0)}\wedge\cdots\wedge\check{f}^k\wedge\cdots\wedge f^q_{(0)}.$$

Thus, it suffices to apply the map $\chi^{\wedge} := \Psi \circ \Theta$ of (3.45) for monomials of the form $\alpha \otimes 1 \wedge f^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q$, with $f^k \in \mathcal{F}_{(0)} := \operatorname{Ker} \varepsilon$. In this case the $\varepsilon(f)$ factor disappears from all the above expressions, and its formula becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi^{\wedge}(\alpha \otimes 1 \wedge f^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q)(\omega_0 U^*_{\phi_0}, \omega_1 U^*_{\phi_1}, \ldots, \omega_q U^*_{\phi_q}) = \\
&(-1)^{\deg(\alpha)+q} \sum_{\sigma \in S_q} (-1)^{\sigma} \int_G \gamma(S(f^{\sigma(1)}))(\phi_0^{-1}) \ldots \gamma(S(f^{\sigma(q)}))(\phi_0^{-1} \ldots \phi_{q-1}^{-1}) \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdot \tilde{\alpha}\omega_0 \; {\phi_0}^*\omega_1 \; \ldots (\phi_{q-1} \ldots \phi_0)^* \omega_q.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.59}$$

if $\phi_q \ldots \phi_0 = 1$ and 0 otherwise.
On the other hand a direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{I}^{-1} \tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha \otimes 1 \wedge f^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q) = \\
&\qquad (-1)^q \sum_{\sigma \in S_q} \alpha \otimes {f^{\sigma(1)}}_{(1)} \otimes {f^{\sigma(1)}}_{(2)} {f^{\sigma(2)}}_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \\
&\qquad\qquad \cdots \otimes {f^{\sigma(1)}}_{(q-1)} \cdots {f^{\sigma(q-1)}}_{(1)} \otimes {f^{\sigma(1)}}_{(q)} \cdots {f^{\sigma(q-1)}}_{(2)} f^{\sigma(q)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Composing with $\chi^{\dagger}$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
&\chi^{\dagger}(\mathcal{I}^{-1} \tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha \otimes 1 \wedge f^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q))(\omega_0 U^*_{\phi_0}, \omega_1 U^*_{\phi_1}, \ldots, \omega_q U^*_{\phi_q}) = \\
&(-1)^{\deg(\alpha)+q} \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{\sigma} \int_G \tilde{\alpha}\, \omega_0 \, \phi_0^* \omega_1 \ldots (\phi_{q-1} \cdots \phi_0)^* \omega_q \, \gamma({f^{\sigma(1)}}_{(1)})(\phi_1^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_0 \cdots \\
&\; \cdots \gamma({f^{\sigma(1)}}_{(q-1)} \cdots {f^{\sigma(q-1)}}_{(1)})(\phi_{q-1}^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-2} \ldots \tilde{\phi}_0) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad \gamma({f^{\sigma(1)}}_{(q)} \cdots {f^{\sigma(q-1)}}_{(2)} f^{\sigma(q)})(\phi_q^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-1} \ldots \tilde{\phi}_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $\gamma$ is an algebra map and (3.5) one sees that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\gamma({f^{\sigma(1)}}_{(1)})(\phi_1^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_0 \cdots \gamma({f^{\sigma(1)}}_{(q-1)} \cdots {f^{\sigma(q-1)}}_{(1)})(\phi_{q-1}^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-2} \ldots \tilde{\phi}_0 \\
&\gamma({f^{\sigma(1)}}_{(q)} \cdots {f^{\sigma(q-1)}}_{(2)} f^{\sigma(q)})(\phi_q^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-1} \ldots \tilde{\phi}_0 = \\
&\gamma(f^{\sigma(1)})(\phi_1^{-1} \cdots \phi_q^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_0 \cdots \\
&\quad \cdots \gamma(f^{\sigma(q-1)})(\phi_{q-1}^{-1} \cdots \phi_q^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-2} \ldots \tilde{\phi}_0) \; \gamma(f^{\sigma(q)})(\phi_q^{-1}) \circ \tilde{\phi}_{q-1} \ldots \tilde{\phi}_0.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of this simplification and since $\phi_i^{-1} \cdots \phi_q^{-1} = \phi_{i-1} \cdots \phi_0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\chi^\dagger(\mathcal{I}^{-1}\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha\otimes 1\wedge f^1\wedge\cdots\wedge f^q))(\omega_0 U^*_{\phi_0},\omega_1 U^*_{\phi_1},\ldots,\omega_q U^*_{\phi_q}) = \\ &(-1)^{\deg(\alpha)+q}\sum_\sigma(-1)^\sigma\int_G \tilde{\alpha}\,\omega_0\,\phi_0^*\omega_1\ldots(\phi_{q-1}\cdots\phi_0)^*\omega_q\,\curlyvee(f^{\sigma(1)})(\phi_0)\circ\tilde{\phi}_0\cdots \\ &\quad\cdots\curlyvee(f^{\sigma(q-1)})(\phi_{q-2}\cdots\phi_0)\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-2}\ldots\tilde{\phi}_0\,\curlyvee(f^{\sigma(q)})(\phi_{q-1}\ldots\phi_0)\circ\tilde{\phi}_{q-1}\ldots\tilde{\phi}_0.\end{aligned} \tag{3.60}$$

Finally, repeated use of the identity (3.12) shows that the outcomes of (3.60) and (3.59) are identical. ☐

**Remark 3.15.** *The transfer of characteristic classes from Gelfand-Fuks cohomology to the cyclic cohomology of action groupoids can be summarized in the following (quasi-)commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & C^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G)) \\
 & C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F}) \arrow[r, "\chi^\dagger"] & C^\bullet(\Omega_{c,\Gamma}(G)) \arrow[u, "\daleth"] \\
C^\bullet_{\rm top}(\mathfrak{a}) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{E}_{\rm LH}"] & C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\rm c-w}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F}) \arrow[u, "\mathcal{I}^{-1}\circ\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[r, "\Theta"] & C^\bullet_{\rm Bott}(\Omega_\bullet(G),\Gamma) \arrow[u, "\Psi"'] \arrow[uu, bend right=60, "\Phi"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.61}$$

The two vertical arrows which have not appeared before are defined as follows. The map $\daleth$ is similar to (2.42), but with differential $d$ and with the homotopy given by the cyclic analogue of the Cartan formula. By Gorokhovsky's result [17, Theorem 19], $\daleth\circ\Psi$ induces the same map in cohomology as Connes' map $\Phi$. For completeness, we recall from [7, Chap. III, Theorem 14] the definition of $\Phi$.

Let $\mathcal{B}(G)$ be the tensor product, $\mathcal{B}(G)=\Omega_c(G)\otimes\Lambda\,\mathbb{C}[\Gamma']$, where $\Gamma'=\Gamma\setminus\{e\}$. One labels the generators of $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma']$ as $\delta_\phi$, $\phi\in\Gamma$, with $\delta_e=0$, and then forms the crossed product

$$\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{B}(G)\rtimes\Gamma,$$

with respect to the commutation rules

$$\begin{aligned}&U^*_\phi\,\omega\,U_\phi=\phi^*\,\omega=\omega\circ\phi, &&\omega\in\Omega_c(G),\\ &U^*_{\phi_1}\,\delta_{\phi_2}\,U_{\phi_1}=\delta_{\phi_2\circ\phi_1}-\delta_{\phi_1}, &&\phi_j\in\Gamma\,.\end{aligned}$$

One endows the graded algebra $\mathcal{C}$ with the differential $d$ given by

$$d(b\,U_\phi^*) = db\,U_\phi^* - (-1)^{\partial b}\, b\,\delta_\phi\, U_\phi^*, \qquad d(\omega \otimes \tilde{\delta}) = d\omega \otimes \tilde{\delta}.$$

A cochain $\gamma \in C^{p,q}_{\mathrm{Bott}}(\Omega(V), \Gamma)$ determines a linear form $\tilde{\gamma}$ on $\mathcal{C}$, by,

$$\tilde{\gamma}(\omega \otimes \delta_{g_1} \ldots \delta_{g_q}) = \int_V \gamma(1, g_1, \ldots, g_q)\,\omega, \qquad \tilde{\gamma}(b\,U_\phi^*) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \phi \neq 1.$$

With this notation, the map $\Phi : C^{p,q}_{\mathrm{Bott}}(\Omega(G), \Gamma) \to C^{\dim G - p + q}(\mathcal{A}_{c.\Gamma}(G))$ is given by

$$\Phi(\gamma)(x^0, \ldots, x^\ell) \;=\; \lambda_{p,q} \sum_{j=0}^{l} (-1)^{j(\ell - j)} \tilde{\gamma}(dx^{j+1} \cdots dx^\ell\, x^0\, dx^1 \cdots dx^j), \tag{3.62}$$

$$\text{where} \qquad \ell = \dim G - p + q \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{p,q} = \frac{p!}{(\ell + 1)!}.$$

# 4 Relative case

## 4.1 Relative Hopf cyclic bicomplexes

In this section we extend the previous results to the relative case. Let $\mathfrak{g}_0$ be the linear isotropy subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and let $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_0$ be a subalgebra. One defines the relative Hopf cyclic cohomology of the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to the Hopf subalgebra $\mathcal{V} := U(\mathfrak{h})$ and with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}_\delta$ as the Hopf cyclic cohomology of the $\mathcal{H}$-module coalgebra $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbb{C}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}_\delta$. Here $\mathcal{V}$ acts on $\mathcal{H}$ by right multiplication and on $\mathbb{C}$ by the counit and $\mathcal{H}$ also acts on $\mathcal{C}$ by left multiplication. The cochain spaces of the complex for relative Hopf cyclic cohomology are

$$C^n(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{V}, \mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{C}^{\otimes n+1} \cong \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{C}^{\otimes n}, \tag{4.1}$$

and we refer the reader to [11] or [30, page 758] for the definition of the coboundaries. According to [30, Theorem 3.16], (4.1) can be identified via maps similar to $\Psi_{\blacktriangleright\!\triangleleft}$ defined in (1.15) with the diagonal of the following bicocyclic modules:

$$C^{p,q}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta) := \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes p+1} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes q+1}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$C^{p,q}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta) := \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes p} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes q}. \tag{4.3}$$

Here $\mathcal{Q} := U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbb{C}$, and $\mathcal{V}$ acts on $\mathcal{Q}^{\otimes p} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes q}$ diagonally by left multiplication on $\mathcal{Q}$, and by the restriction of the action of $\mathcal{U}$ on $\mathcal{F}$. Precisely,

$$\begin{aligned}
&k \cdot (\tilde{v} \otimes \tilde{f}) = k_{(1)} \cdot \tilde{v} \otimes k_{(2)} \cdot \tilde{f},\\
&k \cdot (v^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v^p) = k_{(1)} v^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes k_{(p)} v^p,\\
&k \cdot (f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q) = k_{(1)} \rhd f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes k_{(q)} \rhd f^q.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

$\mathcal{V}$ acts from the right on $\mathbb{C}_\delta := \mathbb{C}$ by the restriction of $\delta : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{C}$. Diagrammatically, the bicomplex $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta)$ is depicted as follows

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\vdots \arrow[d, shift left, "\uparrow B"] & \vdots \arrow[d, shift left, "\uparrow B"] & \vdots \arrow[d, shift left, "\uparrow B"] & \\
\mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes 2} \arrow[u, shift left, "\uparrow b"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\overrightarrow{b}"] \arrow[d, shift left, "\uparrow B"] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{F} \arrow[u, shift left, "\uparrow b"] \arrow[l, shift left, "\overrightarrow{B}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\overrightarrow{b}"] \arrow[d, shift left, "\uparrow B"] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{Q}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2} \arrow[u, shift left, "\uparrow b"] \arrow[l, shift left, "\overrightarrow{B}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\overrightarrow{b}"] \arrow[d, shift left, "\uparrow B"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "\overrightarrow{B}"] \\
\mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{Q} \arrow[u, shift left, "\uparrow b"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\overrightarrow{b}"] \arrow[d, shift left, "\uparrow B"] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F} \arrow[u, shift left, "\uparrow b"] \arrow[l, shift left, "\overrightarrow{B}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\overrightarrow{b}"] \arrow[d, shift left, "\uparrow B"] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2} \arrow[u, shift left, "\uparrow b"] \arrow[l, shift left, "\overrightarrow{B}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\overrightarrow{b}"] \arrow[d, shift left, "\uparrow B"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "\overrightarrow{B}"] \\
\mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbb{C} \arrow[u, shift left, "\uparrow b"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\overrightarrow{b}"] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{F} \arrow[u, shift left, "\uparrow b"] \arrow[l, shift left, "\overrightarrow{B}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\overrightarrow{b}"] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2} \arrow[u, shift left, "\uparrow b"] \arrow[l, shift left, "\overrightarrow{B}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "\overrightarrow{b}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "\overrightarrow{B}"]
\end{tikzcd} , \tag{4.5}$$

with the boundaries and coboundaries defined similarly to their counterpart in the bicomplex (1.12).

Next, one defines the relative version of the bicomplex $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta)$, *cf.* (1.26), namely the bicomplex by $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{C}_\delta)$ depicted in the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\vdots \arrow[d, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] & \vdots \arrow[d, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] & \vdots \arrow[d, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] & \\
\mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge^2 \mathfrak{q} \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] \arrow[d, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge^2 \mathfrak{q} \otimes \mathcal{F} \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] \arrow[d, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}} \wedge^2 \mathfrak{q} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2} \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] \arrow[d, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] \\
\mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathfrak{q} \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] \arrow[d, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathfrak{q} \otimes \mathcal{F} \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] \arrow[d, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathfrak{q} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2} \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] \arrow[d, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] \\
\mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathbb{C} \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathcal{F} \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] & \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathfrak{h}} \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2} \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}"]
\end{tikzcd} , \tag{4.6}$$

as follows:

$$C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h},\mathcal{F},\mathbb{C}_\delta):=\mathbb{C}_\delta\otimes_{\mathfrak{h}}\wedge^p\mathfrak{q}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes q}, \tag{4.7}$$

where $\mathfrak{q}:=\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$, and $\mathfrak{h}$ as before acts on $\mathfrak{q}$ by adjoint action and on $\mathcal{F}$ via the restriction of $\mathcal{V}$ as above. The three (co)boundaries of $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h},\mathcal{F},\mathbb{C}_\delta)$, induced from those of (1.26), can be explained as follows. First, the Lie algebra homology boundary $\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}_\delta\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes q}$, with the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ defined in (1.11), induces the relative version for the pair $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$, to be denoted by $\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h}}$. The expressions of the operators $\overset{\rightarrow}{B}$ and $\overset{\rightarrow}{b}$ involve the coaction of $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathbb{C}_\delta\otimes\wedge^p\mathfrak{q}$. In turn, this coaction is induced by the coaction of $\wedge^p\mathfrak{g}$ defined in (1.23), and is given by

$$\blacktriangledown_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathbf{1}\otimes\dot{X}^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\dot{X}^q)\;=\;\mathbf{1}\otimes\dot{X}^1{}_{<0>}\wedge\cdots\wedge\dot{X}^q{}_{<0>}\otimes X^1{}_{<1>}\cdots X^q{}_{<1>}, \tag{4.8}$$

where $\dot{X}$ stands for the class of $X$ in $\mathfrak{q}$. Since $\mathfrak{h}$ coacts trivially, the above coaction is seen to be well-defined. Thus, $\overset{\rightarrow}{b}$ (resp. $\overset{\rightarrow}{B}$) are the Hochschild coboundary (resp. Connes boundary) operators of $\mathcal{F}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}_\delta\otimes\wedge^p\mathfrak{q}$. One notes that all horizontal operators are $\mathfrak{h}$-linear and hence they induce their own counterparts on $\mathbb{C}_\delta\otimes_{\mathfrak{h}}\wedge^p\mathfrak{q}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes q}$. We denote them by $b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h}}$.

One next observes that the antisymmetrization map defined in (1.65) descends to its version in the relative case,

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathfrak{g}}:\mathbb{C}_\delta\otimes_{\mathfrak{h}}\wedge^q\mathfrak{q}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes p}\to\mathbb{C}_\delta\otimes_{\mathcal{V}}\mathcal{Q}^{\otimes q}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes p},\\ &\tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{1}\otimes_{\mathfrak{h}}\dot{X}^1\wedge\cdots\wedge\dot{X}^p\otimes\tilde{f})=\frac{1}{p!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_p}(-1)^{\sigma}\mathbf{1}\otimes_{\mathcal{V}}\dot{X}^{\sigma(1)}\otimes\cdots\otimes\dot{X}^{\sigma(p)}\otimes\tilde{f},\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Since everything is induced from the absolute case $\tilde{\alpha}$ is a map of bicomplexes.

Like in the absolute case, we resort to the relative Poincaré map to pass from homology to the relative Lie algebra cohomology. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}:(\wedge^q\mathfrak{q}^*\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes p})^{\mathfrak{h}}\to\wedge^{m-k}\mathfrak{q}^*\otimes_{\mathfrak{h}}\wedge^{m-k-q}\mathfrak{q}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes p}\\ &\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\eta\otimes\tilde{f})\;=\;\varpi_{\mathfrak{q}}^*\otimes_{\mathfrak{h}}\iota(\eta)\varpi_{\mathfrak{q}}\otimes\tilde{f},\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where $k=\dim\mathfrak{h}$, and $\varpi_{\mathfrak{q}}^*$ (resp. $\varpi_{\mathfrak{q}}$) is the volume (resp. covolume) form of $\mathfrak{q}$.

To ensure that the relative Poincaré map is an isomorphism, we make the assumption that $\mathfrak{h}$ is reductive; in particular it acts on $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes q}$ semisimply. The resulting bicomplex is denoted by $C^{\bullet,\bullet}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*,\mathcal{F})$ and is defined as follows:

$$C^{p,q}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*,\mathcal{F}) := (\wedge^p\mathfrak{q}^*\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes q})^{\mathfrak{h}}; \tag{4.11}$$

it consists of invariant cochain when $\mathfrak{h}$ acts on $\mathfrak{q}^*$ by coadjoint representation and on $\mathcal{F}$ as usual which is the restriction of the original action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{F}$. There are again three coboundaries $B^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}$, $b^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}$ and $\partial^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{q}^*}$. The first two are defined as the restriction of the Connes boundary and Hochschild coboundary of $\mathcal{F}$ with coefficients in $\wedge^p\mathfrak{q}^*$, where the coaction is induced by (1.31). One notes that the induced coaction is well-defied, as the coaction of $\mathfrak{h}$ is trivial. The other coboundary $\partial^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ is the relative Lie algebra cohomology cobundary of $\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes q}$ with the original action of $\mathfrak{g}$. One notes that all maps descend to the invariant subspace with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$, since $\mathfrak{h}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_0$, and $\mathcal{H}$ is a $\mathfrak{g}_0$-Hopf algebra, which means $\Delta,\mu,\varepsilon,\eta,S$ of $\mathcal{H}$ are $\mathfrak{g}_0$-equivariant. We depict the new bicomplex in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
(\wedge^2\mathfrak{q}^*)^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}"] & (\wedge^2\mathfrak{q}^*\otimes\mathcal{F})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}"] & (\wedge^2\mathfrak{q}^*\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}"] \\
(\mathfrak{q}^*)^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}"] & (\mathfrak{q}^*\otimes\mathcal{F})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}"] & (\mathfrak{q}^*\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}"] \\
\mathbb{C} \arrow[u, "\partial^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}"] & (\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathcal{F})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}"] & (\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B^{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathcal{F}^*}"]
\end{tikzcd} . \tag{4.12}$$

The next step consists in identifying $C^{\bullet,\bullet}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*,\mathcal{F})$ with the $\mathcal{F}$-coinvariant version $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\text{coinv}}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*,\mathcal{F})$, defined as

$$C^{p,q}_{\text{coinv}}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*,\mathcal{F}) = ((\wedge^p\mathfrak{q}^*\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes q+1})^{\mathfrak{h}})^{\mathcal{F}}; \tag{4.13}$$

precisely, an element of $C^{p,q}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*,\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}}$ is an element $\alpha\otimes\tilde{f}\in C^{p,q}_{\text{coinv}}(\mathfrak{g}^*,\mathcal{F}) := (\wedge^2\mathfrak{g}^*\otimes\mathcal{F}^{\otimes q+1})^{\mathcal{F}}$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_X(\alpha\otimes\tilde{f}) = \iota_X(\alpha) = 0, \quad \text{for all} \quad X\in\mathfrak{h}, \tag{4.14}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_X$ stands for Lie derivative of $X$, with $\mathfrak{h}$ acting as before, and $\iota_X$ is the contraction with respect to $X$.

Again, since the structure of the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}$ is $\mathcal{V}$-equivariant, the map $\mathcal{I}$ defined in (1.47) descends to $C^{\bullet,\bullet}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*, \mathcal{F})$ and lands into $C^{\bullet,\bullet}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*, \mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}}$. It defines an isomorphism, as the inverse $\mathcal{I}^{-1}$, defined in (1.48), also descends to the relative case. We denote the induced maps by $\mathcal{I}^H$ and $\mathcal{I}_H^{-1}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd} C^{\bullet,\bullet}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*, \mathcal{F}) \arrow[r, shift left, "\mathcal{I}^H"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "\mathcal{I}_H^{-1}"'] & C^{\bullet,\bullet}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*, \mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}}. \end{tikzcd} \tag{4.15}$$

As a result we get the following bicomplex

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
((\wedge^2\mathfrak{q}^* \otimes \mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"'] & ((\wedge^2\mathfrak{q}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2})^{\mathcal{F}})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"'] & ((\wedge^2\mathfrak{q}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 3})^{\mathcal{F}})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"'] & \cdots \\
((\mathfrak{q}^* \otimes \mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"'] & ((\mathfrak{q}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2})^{\mathcal{F}})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"'] & ((\mathfrak{q}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 3})^{\mathcal{F}})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"'] & \cdots \\
((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"'] & ((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 2})^{\mathcal{F}})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"'] & ((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes 3})^{\mathcal{F}})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"] \arrow[r, leftarrow, shift right, "B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}"'] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd} , \tag{4.16}$$

with the coboundaries $\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}$, $b_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}$, and the boundary $B_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathfrak{h},\mathrm{coinv}}$ induced from $\partial_{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}$, and $b_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}$ and $B_{\mathcal{F}}^{\mathrm{coinv}}$ respectively.

We let $H$ act on $\mathfrak{q} := \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ by the adjoint representation, and on $\mathfrak{q}^*$ by its transposed. We also let $H$ act on $\mathfrak{N}$ from the right by the restriction of the action of $G$ on $\mathfrak{N}$. We this understood, we define the bicomplex

$$C_{\mathrm{pol}}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{N}, (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*) = C_{\mathrm{pol}}^{q}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^p\mathfrak{q}^*))^H. \tag{4.17}$$

A cochain $c \in C_{\mathrm{pol}}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{N}, (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*)$ is a homogenous polynomial $q$-cochain on $\mathfrak{N}$ with values in $\wedge^p\mathfrak{q}^*$, which is invariant under the action of $H$; equivalently, it is a nonhomogeneous polynomial cochain $c \in C_{\mathrm{pol}}^{p,q}(\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{g}^*) := C_{\mathrm{pol}}^{q}(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^p\mathfrak{g}^*)$ such that,

$$\begin{aligned} &\iota_X(c(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_q)) = 0, \quad X \in \mathfrak{h}, \\ &c(\psi_0 \triangleleft \phi, \dots, \psi_q \triangleleft \phi) = \mathrm{Ad}_\phi\, c(\psi_0, \dots, \psi_q), \quad \phi \in H. \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

One notes that $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{H,\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{q}^*)$ is well-defined as $H \subset G_0$, the linear isotropy subgroup of $G$, and the action of $G_0$ by left translation commutes with action of $\mathfrak{N}$ on $G$. The coboundaries $\partial_{\mathrm{pol}}$, $b_{\mathrm{pol}}$, and boundary $B_{\mathrm{pol}}$, defined in (1.56), (1.54), and (1.55), induce their own counterparts on $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*)$. These are denoted in the diagram below by $\partial^H_{\mathrm{pol}}$, $b^H_{\mathrm{pol}}$, and $B^H_{\mathrm{pol}}$.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
C^0_{\mathrm{pol}}\big(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^2\mathfrak{q}^*\big)^H \arrow[u, "\partial^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] & C^1_{\mathrm{pol}}\big(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^2\mathfrak{q}^*\big)^H \arrow[u, "\partial^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] & C^2_{\mathrm{pol}}\big(\mathfrak{N}, \wedge^2\mathfrak{q}^*\big)^H \arrow[u, "\partial^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \\
C^0_{\mathrm{pol}}\big(\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{g}\big)^H \arrow[u, "\partial^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] & C^1_{\mathrm{pol}}\big(\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{q}^*\big)^H \arrow[u, "\partial^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] & C^2_{\mathrm{pol}}\big(\mathfrak{N}, \mathfrak{q}^*\big)^H \arrow[u, "\partial^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \\
C^0_{\mathrm{pol}}\big(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{C}\big)^H \arrow[u, "\partial^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] & C^1_{\mathrm{pol}}\big(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{C}\big)^H \arrow[u, "\partial^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] & C^2_{\mathrm{pol}}\big(\mathfrak{N}, \mathbb{C}\big)^H \arrow[u, "\partial^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[l, shift left, "B^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] \arrow[r, shift left, "b^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"] & \cdots \arrow[l, shift left, "B^H_{\mathrm{pol}}"]
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.19}$$

We then identify $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{pol}}(\mathfrak{N}, (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*)$ with $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{coinv}}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*, \mathcal{F})$, via the isomorphism $\mathcal{J}^H$ induced by $\mathcal{J}$ (defined in (1.59)).

Finally, recalling the subcomplex $C^{p,q}_{\mathrm{c-w}}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F})$ of $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{coinv}}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F})$, *cf.* (1.61), formed of the $\mathcal{F}$-coinvariant cochains $(\wedge^p\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \wedge^{q+1}\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}}$, we define its relative version $C^{p,q}_{\mathrm{c-w}}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{h}^*\mathcal{F}) := ((\wedge^p\mathfrak{q}^* \otimes \wedge^{q+1}\mathcal{F})^{\mathfrak{h}})^{\mathcal{F}}$. It consists of those $\alpha \otimes \tilde{f} \in C^{p,q}_{\mathrm{c-w}}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathcal{F})$ such that

$$\iota_X(\alpha) = \mathcal{L}_X(f \otimes \tilde{f}) = 0, \qquad X \in \mathfrak{h}. \tag{4.20}$$

The antisymmetrization map $\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}$ idenifies $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{c-w}}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*, \mathcal{F})$ with a subcomplex of $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{coinv}}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*, \mathcal{F})$. The restrictions of $\partial^{H,\mathrm{coinv}}_{\mathfrak{g}}$, and $b^{H,\mathrm{coinv}}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $B^{H,\mathrm{coinv}}_{\mathcal{F}}$ are obviously well-defined, and one notes again that, due to the antisymmetrization, $B^{H,\mathrm{coinv}}_{\mathcal{F}} \mid_{C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\mathrm{c-w}}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*, \mathcal{F})} = 0$. We denote the induced coboundaries by $\partial^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}$ and $b^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}$, and record the resulting bicomplex in the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\
(\wedge^2\mathfrak{q}^*)^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] \arrow[r, "b^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] & ((\wedge^2\mathfrak{q}^*\otimes\wedge^2\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] \arrow[r, "b^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] & ((\wedge^2\mathfrak{q}^*\otimes\wedge^3\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] \arrow[r, "b^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] & \cdots \\
(\mathfrak{q}^*)^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] \arrow[r, "b^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] & ((\mathfrak{q}^*\otimes\wedge^2\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] \arrow[r, "b^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] & ((\mathfrak{q}^*\otimes\wedge^3\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] \arrow[r, "b^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] & \cdots \\
\mathbb{C} \arrow[u, "\partial^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] \arrow[r, "b^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] & ((\mathbb{C}\otimes\wedge^2\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] \arrow[r, "b^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] & ((\mathbb{C}\otimes\wedge^3\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}})^{\mathfrak{h}} \arrow[u, "\partial^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] \arrow[r, "b^H_{\mathrm{c-w}}"] & \cdots
\end{tikzcd} \quad , \tag{4.21}$$

The outcome can be summarized as follows.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let $H$ be a connected Lie subgroup of the linear isotropy subgroup $G_0 \subset G$.*

*a) The bicomplexes* (4.12), (4.16), (4.19) *are mutually isomorphic and are quasi-isomorphic to* (4.21)*.*

*b) If in addition $H$ is reductive (and therefore acts semisimply on $\mathcal{F}$) then the above bicomplexes are quasi-isomorphic to* (4.6) *and hence to* (4.5)*.*

## 4.2 Relative characteristic maps

As above, the standing assumption is that $H \subset G_0$ is a connected subgroup of the linear isotropy subgroup. We let $V$ denote the coset space $G/H$ by $V$. Assuming for the time being that $H$ is also compact, one can identify $C_c^\infty(V)$ with the functions $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ such that $f(kg) = f(g)$ for all $k \in H$. Since right translation by $H$ commutes with the action of $\Gamma$, the crossed product $\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(V) := C_c^\infty(V) \rtimes \Gamma$ is well-defined.
Let $\mathcal{V} := U(\mathfrak{h})$ be the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{h}$, considered as Hopf subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}$. Using the $\delta$-invariant trace (3.16) on $\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(G)$, one defines the relative version of the characteristic map define (3.32) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi_H : C^\bullet(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{V}, \mathbb{C}_\delta) \to C^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(V)), \\ &\chi_H(\mathbf{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} \dot{h}^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes \dot{h}^q)(a^0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a^q) = \tau(h^0(a^0)h^1(a^0)\cdots h^q(a^q)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Here $C^n(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{V},\mathbb{C}_\delta) := \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{C}^{\otimes n+1} \cong \mathbb{C}_\delta \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{C}^{\otimes n}$, and its Hopf cyclic structure is that defined in [11, §5], corresponding to the coalgebra $\mathcal{C} =: \mathcal{H} \otimes_{\mathcal{V}} \mathbb{C}$ acted upon by $\mathcal{H}$ via left multiplication.
Without any extra work one constructs a bicocyclic module similar to (3.2) for computing the cyclic cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(V)$. We denote this bicocyclic module by

$$C^{(p,q)}(C_c^\infty(V),\Gamma) := \mathrm{Hom}(C_c^\infty(V)^{\otimes p+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}\Gamma^{\otimes q+1}, \mathbb{C}), \tag{4.23}$$

where its cyclic structure are similar to that of (3.2). One then defines the following bicocyclic map similar to (3.15),
$\chi : C^{p,q}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{F},\mathbb{C}_\delta) \to C^{p,q}(C_c^\infty(V),\Gamma)$, by the formula

$$\chi\left(\mathbf{1} \otimes \tilde{}u \otimes \tilde{f}\right)(\tilde{g} \mid \tilde{U}) = \tau\left(\dot{u}^0(g_0)\dots\dot{u}^p(g_p)\tilde{\delta}(S(f^0))(U_{\phi_0})\dots\tilde{\delta}(S(f^q))(U_{\phi_q})\right). \tag{4.24}$$

The above map induces characteristic maps in the level of total and diagonal of the above bicomplexes. The counterpart of Theorem 3.7 reads as follows.

**Theorem 4.2.** *For any compact, connected Lie subgroup of $H \subset G_0$, the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^n(\mathcal{H},\mathcal{V},\mathbb{C}_\delta) \arrow[r, "\chi_{\mathrm{act}}"] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}"] & C^n(\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}^{H}) \arrow[d, "\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma H}^{-1}"] \\
C^n_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{F} \blacktriangleright\!\!\lhd \mathcal{Q},\mathbb{C}_\delta) \arrow[r, "\chi_{\mathrm{act}}"] \arrow[d, "\Psi_{\blacktriangleright\!\lhd}^{-1}"'] & C^n(\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}^{H\;\mathrm{o}}) \arrow[d, "\Psi_{\Gamma H}^{-1}"] \\
C^{n,n}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{F},\mathbb{C}_\delta) \arrow[r, "\chi_{\mathrm{diag}}"] \arrow[d, "\overline{SH}"] & C^{n,n}(C_c^\infty(V),\Gamma) \arrow[d, "\overline{SH}"] \\
\bigoplus_{p+q=n} C^{p,q}_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathcal{Q},\mathcal{F},\mathbb{C}_\delta) \arrow[r, "\chi_{\mathrm{tot}}"] & \bigoplus_{p+q=n} C^{p,q}(C_c^\infty(V),\Gamma).
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.25}$$

We now drop the compactness assumption on $H$, and recall, *cf.* (3.40), the map $\Theta : (\wedge^p\mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \wedge^{q+1}\mathcal{F})^{\mathcal{F}} \to C^{p,q}_{\mathrm{Bott}}(G,\mathbf{G})$ given by the formula

$$\Theta(\sum_I \alpha \otimes f^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q)(\phi_0,\dots,\phi_q) =$$
$$= \sum_\sigma (-1)^\sigma \not\gamma(S(f^{\sigma(0)}))(\phi_0^{-1})\dots\not\gamma(S(f^{\sigma(q)}))(\phi_q^{-1})\tilde{\alpha}\,.$$

Here $\tilde{\alpha}$ is the left invariant form on $G$ associated to $\alpha \in \wedge^p \mathfrak{g}^*$. We also recall the other characteristic map $\chi^\dagger : \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathcal{F}^{\otimes q} \to C^q(\Omega_{c,\Gamma}(G))$, *cf.* (3.56) or (3.57).

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let $H \subseteq G_0 \subset G$ be a Lie subgroup of linear isotropy subgroup and let $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_0$ be its Lie algebra.*

*a) If $c \in C^{p,q}_{c-w}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*, \mathcal{F})$ then $\Theta(c) \in C^{p,q}_{\mathrm{Bott}}(V, \mathbf{G})$, hence $\Theta$ induces a map of bicomplexes*

$$\Theta^H : C^{\bullet,q}_{\mathrm{c-w}}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*, \mathcal{F}) \to C^\bullet_{\mathrm{Bott}}(\Omega_q(V). \tag{4.26}$$

*b) The map $\chi^\dagger$ induces a similar map in the level of relative cohomology*

$$\chi^H_\dagger : C^{\bullet,q}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*, \mathcal{F}) \to C^q(\Omega_{c,\Gamma}(V)). \tag{4.27}$$

*c) The following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C^{\bullet,q}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*, \mathcal{F}) \arrow[r, "\chi^H_\dagger"] & C^q(\Omega_{c,\Gamma}(V)) \\
C^{\bullet,q}_{\mathrm{c-w}}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*, \mathcal{F}) \arrow[u, "\mathcal{I}_H^{-1}\circ\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[r, "\Theta^H"] & C^\bullet_{\mathrm{Bott}}(\Omega_q(V), \Gamma). \arrow[u, "\Psi^H"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.28}$$

*Proof.* a) Let $c := \alpha \otimes f^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q$. By definition we know for any $X \in \mathfrak{h}$

$$\mathcal{L}_X(\alpha \otimes f^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q) = 0 \tag{4.29}$$

$$\iota_X(\alpha) = 0. \tag{4.30}$$

It suffices to show that, for any $\phi_i \in \mathbf{G}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_X(\Theta(c)(\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_q)) = \iota_X(\Theta(c)(\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_q)) = 0, \quad \text{for any } X \in \mathfrak{h}. \tag{4.31}$$

The second condition being just (4.30), we only need to verify the first one. From (4.29), one sees that for any $X \in \mathfrak{h}$,

$$\mathcal{L}_X(\alpha) \otimes f^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q = -\alpha \otimes \mathcal{L}_X(f^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q) = \\ -\sum_{i=0}^{q} \alpha \otimes f^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge X \triangleright f^i \wedge \cdots \wedge f^q. \tag{4.32}$$

In the following calculation we use in the stated succession (4.32), Lemma 1.1, the fact that $\blacktriangledown(X) = X \otimes 1$ for any $X \in \mathfrak{g}_0$, (3.5), and finally the fact that $\curlyvee(f)(\varphi) = \varepsilon(f)$ for any $\varphi \in G$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{L}_X(\Theta(c)(\phi_0, \dots, \phi_q)) = \\
&\sum_{\sigma}(-1)^{\sigma}\curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(0)}))(\phi_0^{-1}) \dots \curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(q)}))(\phi_q^{-1})\mathcal{L}_X\tilde{\alpha} = \\
&-\sum_{\sigma,i}(-1)^{\sigma}\curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(0)}))(\phi_0^{-1}) \dots \curlyvee(S(X \triangleright f^{\sigma(i)}))(\phi_i^{-1}) \dots \curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(q)}))(\phi_q^{-1})\tilde{\alpha} = \\
&-\sum_{\sigma,i}(-1)^{\sigma}\curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(0)}))(\phi_0^{-1}) \dots X(\curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(i)})))(\phi_i^{-1}) \dots \curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(q)}))(\phi_q^{-1})\tilde{\alpha} = \\
&-\sum_{\sigma,i}(-1)^{\sigma}\curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(0)}))(\phi_0^{-1}) \dots \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} \curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(i)}))(\phi_i^{-1}exp(tX)) \dots \curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(q)}))(\phi_q^{-1})\tilde{\alpha} = \\
&-\sum_{\sigma,i}(-1)^{\sigma}\curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(0)}))(\phi_0^{-1}) \dots \left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} \curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(i)}))(\phi_i^{-1}) \dots \curlyvee(S(f^{\sigma(q)}))(\phi_q^{-1})\tilde{\alpha} = 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Theta$ is a map of bicomplexes, so is $\Theta^H$.

b) The proof is entirely similar to that of a).

c) The arguments of the proof of Proposition 3.14 apply here too.

□

**Remark 4.4.** One has the following relative analogue of Remark 3.15 for the transfer transfer of characteristic classes from Gelfand-Fuks cohomology to the cyclic cohomology of action groupoids:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & C^{\bullet}(\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(V)) \\
 & C^{\bullet,\bullet}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*, \mathcal{F}) \arrow[r, "\chi_{\dagger}^{H}"] & C^{\bullet}(\Omega_{c,\Gamma}(V)) \arrow[u, "\daleth^{H}"] \\
C_{\mathrm{top}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{h}) \arrow[r, "\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{LH}}"] & C_{\mathrm{c-w}}^{\bullet,\bullet}((\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})^*, \mathcal{F}) \arrow[u, "\mathcal{I}^{-1}\circ\tilde{\alpha}_{\mathcal{F}}"] \arrow[r, "\Theta^{H}"] & C_{\mathrm{Bott}}^{\bullet}(\Omega_{\bullet}(V), \Gamma). \arrow[u, "\Psi^{H}"] \arrow[uu, bend right=60, "\Phi^{H}"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.33}$$

The relative version of $\Phi$ has the expression

$$\Phi^H(\gamma)(x^0,\ldots,x^\ell) \;=\; \lambda_{p,q}\sum_{j=0}^{l}(-1)^{j(\ell-j)}\tilde{\gamma}(dx^{j+1}\cdots dx^\ell\; x^0\; dx^1\cdots dx^j),$$
$$\text{where}\qquad \ell=n-p+q \quad\text{and}\quad \lambda_{p,q}=\frac{p!}{(\ell+1)!}. \tag{4.34}$$

## 4.3 Hopf cyclic and equivariant Chern classes

In this final subsection we illustrate the versatility of the cohomological apparatus developed above by indicating how to transfer the universal Hopf cyclic Chern classes from relative Hopf cyclic cohomology to the Bott bicomplex and to the cyclic cohomology of convolution algebras of action groupoids.

**4.3.1.** Let $\mathcal{H}_n$ be the Hopf algebra of the general pseudogroup $\mathbf{G}_n$, *cf.* [8]. Recall that, according to [30], $\mathcal{H}_n^{\rm cop}=\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{G}_n)\blacktriangleright\!\!\triangleleft\,\mathcal{U}(\mathbf{G}_n)$. As an algebra, in the notation of [30], $\mathcal{H}_n^{\rm cop}$ is generated by

$$\{1\blacktriangleright\!\!\triangleleft X_k, 1\blacktriangleright\!\!\triangleleft Y_j^i, \eta^i_{j,k|l_1,\ldots,l_m}\blacktriangleright\!\!\triangleleft 1 \mid m\in\mathbb{Z}^+,\, 1\le i,j,k,l_1,\ldots,l_m\le n\};$$

$\{X_1,\ldots,X_n\}$ is the standard basis of vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^n$, $\{Y_j^i \mid 1\le i,j\le n\}$ is the standard basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n=\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R})$, and the quotient of the affine group $G$ by the linear group $H=\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ is identified with $V=\mathbb{R}^n$. We caution that the notation of the generators $\eta^i_{j,k|l_1,\ldots,l_m}\in\mathcal{F}$ is slightly different from that employed earlier in the paper, reflecting the use of double indices for the basis elements of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$.

In [30, §3.4.1] we have shown that the relative Hopf cyclic cohomology $HP^\bullet(\mathcal{H}_n^{\rm cop},\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n);\mathbb{C}_\delta)$ is isomorphic to the relative Hochschild cohomology $HH^\bullet(\mathcal{H}_n^{\rm cop},\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n);\mathbb{C}_\delta)$. Moreover, we exhibited a basis for the latter, constructed as follows.

For each partition $\lambda=(\lambda_1\ge\ldots\ge\lambda_k)$ of the set $\{1,\ldots,p\}$, where $1\le p\le n$, let $\lambda\in S_p$ also denote a permutation whose cycles have lengths $\lambda_1\ge\ldots\ge\lambda_k$, *i.e.,* representing the corresponding conjugacy class $[\lambda]\in[S_p]$. Define

$$C_{p,\lambda}:=\sum(-1)^\mu\mathbf{1}\otimes X_{\mu(p+1)}\wedge\cdots\wedge X_{\mu(n)}\otimes\eta^{j_1}_{\mu(1),j_{\lambda(1)}}\wedge\cdots\wedge\eta^{j_p}_{\mu(p),j_{\lambda(p)}},$$

where the summation is over all $\mu\in S_n$ and all $1\le j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_p\le n$. Then $\{C_{p,\lambda}\,;\,1\le p\le n,\quad[\lambda]\in[S_p]\}$ are Hochschild cocycles and their classes form a basis of the group $HH^\bullet(\mathcal{H}_n^{\rm cop}, \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n); \mathbb{C}_\delta)$. [1] Except for extreme cases ($p = 0, n$ or $\lambda = \mathrm{Id}$) these cannot be viewed as representing cyclic classes. Indeed, while $b_{\mathcal{F}}(C_{p,\lambda}) = 0$, in general $C_{p,\lambda}$ is not closed under the Lie algebra coboundary operator $\partial_V$. What is actually proved in [30] is that the horizontal cohomology of (4.6) computes $HH^\bullet(\mathcal{H}_n^{\rm cop}, \mathcal{U}(gl_n), \mathbb{C}_\delta)$, which is generated by the above classes $C_{p,\lambda}$, and that the vertical coboundary $\partial_V$ vanishes at the level of Hochschild cohomology. We shall now indicate how the Hochschild cocycles $C_{p,\lambda}$ can be completed to $(b_{\mathcal{F}}, \partial_V)$-cocycles.

Denote by $\mathfrak{d}$ the commutative Lie algebra generated by $\{\eta^i_{j,k} \mid 1 \leq i, j, k \leq n\}$, and let $F = \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{d})$ be the coresponding symmetric Hopf algebra. It is known (see *e.g.* [23, XVIII.5]) that the Hochschild cohomology of the coalgebra $F$ can be identified with the cohomology of the graded complex $\wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{d}$ endowed with the 0 differential. More precisely, with $\alpha : \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{d} \to F^{\otimes \bullet}$ denoting the antisymmetrization map and

$$\mu : F^{\otimes \bullet} \xrightarrow{p_1^{\otimes \bullet}} \mathfrak{d}^{\otimes \bullet} \longrightarrow \wedge^\bullet \mathfrak{d} \ ,$$

the natural projection map, there is a homotopy operator $h : F^{\otimes \bullet} \to F^{\otimes \bullet - 1}$ such that the following relations hold:

$$\mu \circ \alpha = \mathrm{Id}, \qquad \alpha \circ \mu = \mathrm{Id} + h b_{\mathcal{F}} + b_{\mathcal{F}} h. \tag{4.35}$$

By a slight abuse of notation, we keep the same symbols for the extension of these operators tensored by the identity to the complex obtained by tensoring with $\wedge^\bullet V$. We start by observing that

$$\partial_V(C_{p,\lambda}) \in (\wedge^{p-1} V \otimes F^{\otimes n-p})^{\mathfrak{gl}_n};$$

the proof uses the facts that the action of the $X_i$'s on $\mathcal{F}$ is characterized by $X_\ell \triangleright \eta^i_{j,k} = \eta^i_{j,k\ell}$, that $C_{p,\lambda}$ is antisymmetric, and finally the "Bianchi" identity [30, (1.28)]. Moreover, one actually has

$$\partial_V(C_{p,\lambda}) \in \ker \mu \cap \ker b_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

As $\partial_V$ and $b_{\mathcal{F}}$ commute, it follows from (4.35) that

$$b_{\mathcal{F}}\big((h\tilde{\partial}_V)(C_{p,\lambda})\big) \ = \ -\tilde{\partial}_V(C_{p,\lambda}),$$

---

[1] The statement of [30, Theorem 3.25] erroneously identified the basis elements as cyclic classes; they merely parametrize all the cyclic classes.

where $\tilde{\partial}_V$ stands for the signed version of the Lie algebra coboundary. By induction, one checks that the cochains

$$C^{(i)}_{p,\lambda} := (h\, \tilde{\partial}_V)^i (C_{p,\lambda}) \in (\wedge^{p-i} V \otimes F^{\otimes n-p-i})^{\mathfrak{gl}_n},$$

where $1 \leq i \leq m_p := \min\{p, n-p\}$, satisfy

$$b_{\mathcal{F}}(C^{(i+1)}_{p,\Lambda}) \;=\; -\tilde{\partial}_V (C^{(i)}_{p,\lambda}).$$

Assembling them into a total cochain

$$TC_{p,\lambda} := \sum_{i=0}^{m_p} C^{(i)}_{p,\lambda}, \tag{4.36}$$

one has by the very construction

$$(b \,+\, \tilde{\partial}_V)(TC_{p,\lambda}) = 0. \tag{4.37}$$

The total cocycles $TC_{p,\lambda}$, which live in the bicomplex (4.6), represent a basis of the cohomology group $HP^{\bullet}(\mathcal{H}_n^{\mathrm{cop}}, \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n); \mathbb{C}_\delta)$. Via characteristic maps these total cocycles can be transported to define cyclic cocycles in the various models of cyclic cohomology for étale groupoids. For the clarity of the exposition, in what follows we shall only deal with the top component of these Chern classes $C_{p,\lambda} \,=\, I(TC_{p,\lambda})$.

To this end we proceed to transfer the above Hochschild cocycles, as well as their cyclic completions, to the bicomplexes (4.12) and (4.16). First, we pass to (4.12) by means of the inverse of Poincaré isomorphism $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}$ in relative case (4.10). Denoting by $\{\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n\}$ dual basis $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$, one obtains

$$C^{(4.12)}_{p,\lambda} := \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{q}}^{-1}(C_{p,\lambda}) := \sum_{\mu \in S_n} \theta^{\mu(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{\mu(p)} \otimes \eta^{j_1}_{\mu(1), j_{\lambda(1)}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta^{j_p}_{\mu(p), j_{\lambda(p)}}.$$

We next move $C^{(4.12)}_{p,\lambda}$ via the relative version $\mathcal{I}^H$ of the map $\mathcal{I}$ defined in (1.47), and denote the classes $\mathcal{I}^H(C^{(4.12)}_{p,\lambda})$ by $C^{(4.16)}_{p,\lambda}$. In the bicomplex (4.16), the Hochschild cocycle $C^{(4.16)}_{p,\lambda}$ has the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} C^{(4.16)}_{p,\lambda} &= \sum_{\mu \in S_n} \theta^{\mu(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{\mu(p)} \otimes \eta^{j_1}_{\mu(1), j_{\lambda(1)}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta^{j_p}_{\mu(p), j_{\lambda(p)}} \wedge 1 \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_s, j_t \leq n} \theta^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^{i_p} \otimes \eta^{j_1}_{i_1, j_{\lambda(1)}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \eta^{j_p}_{i_p, j_{\lambda(p)}} \wedge 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

Indeed, the map $\mathcal{I}^H : C^{p,q}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{h}^*, \mathcal{F}) \to C^{p,q}_{\text{coinv}}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{h}^*, \mathcal{F})$ is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{I}^H(\alpha \otimes f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q) = \\ &\qquad \alpha_{<0>} \otimes f^1{}_{(1)} \otimes S(f^1{}_{(2)})f^2{}_{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes S(f^{q-1}{}_{(2)})f^q{}_{(1)} \otimes S(f^q{}_{(2)}\alpha_{<1>}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\blacktriangledown_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(\theta^i) = \theta^i \otimes 1$ and $\eta^i_{jk}$ are primitive, we may assume that $\alpha_{<0>} \otimes \alpha_{<1>} = \alpha \otimes 1$ and $\Delta(f^i) = f^1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes f^i$. One easily sees that

$$\mathcal{I}^H(\alpha \otimes f^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f^q) = \alpha \otimes \prod_{i=1}^{q} (1^{\otimes i-1} \otimes f^i \otimes 1^{\otimes q-i+1} - 1^{\otimes i} \otimes f^i \otimes 1^{\otimes q-i}).$$

Here the multiplication takes place in the algebra $\mathcal{F}^{\otimes q+1}$. After cancelations due to the antisymmetric form of $C^{(4.12)}_{p,\lambda}$, the desired formula shows up.
To simplify the notation, we henceforth redenote $C^{(4.16)}_{p,\lambda}$ by $\mathcal{C}_{p,\lambda}$. The cocycles $\mathcal{C}_{p,\lambda}$ are coinvariant, since they belong to the image of $\mathcal{I}^H$. They are also totally antisymmetric, and therefore belong to $C^{p,p}_{c-w}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{h}^*, \mathcal{F})$. Therefore, they can be moved by means of the map of complexes $\Theta^H : C^{p,p}_{c-w}(\mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{h}^*, \mathcal{F}) \to C^{p,p}_{\text{Bott}}(\Omega(V), \Gamma)$ (defined for absolute case in (3.40)) given by

$$\begin{aligned}&\Theta^H(\sum_I \alpha_I \otimes {}^I\!f^0 \wedge \cdots \wedge {}^I\!f^q)(\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_q) = \\ &\qquad = \sum_{I,\sigma} (-1)^\sigma \Upsilon(S({}^I\!f^0))(\phi^{-1}_{\sigma(0)}) \ldots \Upsilon(S({}^I\!f^q))(\phi^{-1}_{\sigma(q)})\tilde{\alpha}_I \,.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the expressions

$$\mathcal{C}^{\text{Bott}}_{p,\lambda}(\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_p) := \Theta^H(\mathcal{C}_{p,\lambda})(\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_p) \tag{4.39}$$

represent the equivariant Chern classes in the Bott bicomplex $C^{\bullet,\bullet}_{\text{Bott}}(\Omega(V), \Gamma)$.

We now define the *connection displacement form* $Q = (Q^i_j) : \Gamma \to \Omega^1(G) \otimes \mathfrak{gl}_n$ by

$$Q^i_j(\phi) := \sum_k \Upsilon(\eta^i_{j,k})(\phi^{-1})\,\tilde{\theta}^k \in \Omega^1(G), \qquad \phi \in \Gamma. \tag{4.40}$$

Taking into account the switch of notation to fit the conventions in [30], and using the equation (1.15) in *loc. cit.*, one has

$$\Upsilon(\eta^i_{j,k})(\phi^{-1})(x, \mathbf{y}) \;=\; \gamma^i_{jk}(\phi)(x, \mathbf{y}) \;=\; \sum_\mu \left(\mathbf{y}^{-1} \cdot \phi'(x)^{-1} \cdot \partial_\mu \phi'(x) \cdot \mathbf{y}\right)^i_j \, \mathbf{y}^\mu_k \,.$$

On the other hand, $\tilde{\theta}^k = \sum_\nu \left(\mathbf{y}^{-1}\right)^k_\nu dx^\nu$. Substituting these expressions in (4.40) one obtains the following simplified formula for the connection form

$$Q(\phi)(x, \mathbf{y}) \;=\; \mathbf{y}^{-1} \cdot \vartheta(\phi)(x) \cdot \mathbf{y} \in \Omega^1(G) \otimes \mathfrak{gl}_n,$$

where $\vartheta = (\vartheta^i_j)$ is the induced connection displacement form on $V = \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\vartheta(\phi) = \phi'^{-1} \cdot d\phi' \in \Omega^1(V) \otimes \mathfrak{gl}_n. \tag{4.41}$$

The latter satisfies the structure equation

$$d\vartheta(\phi) + \vartheta(\phi) \wedge \vartheta(\phi) \;=0, \tag{4.42}$$

which is just another form for the first "Bianchi" identity [30, (1.28)]. It also fulfills the cocycle equation

$$\vartheta(\phi_1 \circ \phi_2) \;=\; \phi_2'^{-1}\, \vartheta(\phi_1)\, \phi_2' \;+\; \vartheta(\phi_2). \tag{4.43}$$

**Proposition 4.5.** *The equivariant Chern class* $\mathrm{Ch}^{\mathrm{Bott}}_{p,\lambda} := \Theta^H(TC_{p,\lambda})$ *is represented by a total cocycles whose bottom component* $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{Bott}}_{p,\lambda} := I(\mathrm{Ch}^{\mathrm{Bott}}_{p,\lambda})$ *has the expression*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{Bott}}_{p,\lambda}(\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_p) = \\ &\qquad \sum_\sigma (-1)^\sigma \operatorname{Tr}(\vartheta(\phi_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots \vartheta(\phi_{\sigma(\lambda_1)})) \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{Tr}(\vartheta(\phi_{\sigma(\lambda_k)}) \cdots \vartheta(\phi_{\sigma(p)})),\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

*where* $\sigma$ *runs over the group of permutations of the set* $\{0, 1, \ldots, p\}$.

*Proof.* From (4.38) and the fact that the permutation $\lambda$ is equal to the product of cycles $(1, \ldots, \lambda_1) \cdots (\lambda_k, \ldots, p)$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Theta^H(\mathcal{C}_{p,\lambda})(\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_p) := \\ &\sum_\sigma (-1)^\sigma \sum_{1 \le i_s, j_t \le n} \gamma(\eta^{j_1}_{i_1, j_{\lambda(1)}})(\phi^{-1}_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots \gamma(\eta^{j_p}_{i_p, j_{\lambda(p)}})(\phi^{-1}_{\sigma(p)}) \tilde{\theta}^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \tilde{\theta}^{i_p} \\ &\qquad = \sum_\sigma (-1)^\sigma \sum_{1 \le j_t \le n} Q^{j_1}_{j_{\lambda(1)}}(\phi_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots Q^{j_p}_{j_{\lambda(p)}}(\phi_{\sigma(p)}) \\ &\quad = \sum_\sigma (-1)^\sigma \operatorname{Tr}(Q(\phi_{\sigma(1)}) \cdots Q(\phi_{\sigma(\lambda_1)})) \wedge \cdots \wedge \operatorname{Tr}(Q(\phi_{\sigma(\lambda_k)}) \cdots Q(\phi_{\sigma(p)})).\end{aligned}$$

Applying the formula (4.41) yields the desired expression. ☐

Note that, although $\sigma(0)$ does not appear in the right hand side of formula (4.44), the expression does actually involve all the variables $\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_p$.

**4.3.2.** To clarify the relationship between the above expressions for equivariant Chern classes and the classical ones, we recall Bott's formula for the Diff-equivariant Chern character of the frame bundle to $\mathbb{R}^n$, following Getzler's account in [15, Introduction].

Given diffeomorphisms $\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_p$, we associate to any point $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_p) \in \Delta^p$ , $0 \le t_1 \le \ldots \le t_p \le 1$ the connection

$$\vartheta(\mathbf{t}) = d + t_1\big(\vartheta(\phi_0) - \vartheta(\phi_1)\big) + \cdots + t_p\big(\vartheta(\phi_{k-1}) - \vartheta(\phi_p)\big) + \vartheta(\phi_p). \qquad (4.45)$$

With

$$\int_{\Delta^p} : \Omega^\bullet(\Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^n) \to \Omega^{\bullet - p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

denoting the normalized integral over the fibre of the projection $\Delta^p \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$, one defines the Chern-Simons form associated to the diffeomorphisms $(\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_p)$ by

$$\mathrm{cs}^{(p)}(\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_p) \; = \; \int_{\Delta^p} \mathrm{Tr}\, e^{-\Omega(\mathbf{t})}, \qquad (4.46)$$

where $\Omega(\mathbf{t}) = \vartheta(\mathbf{t})^2$ is the curvature form. From Stokes' formula, which in this context assumes the form

$$\int_{\Delta^p} \circ\, d \, - \, (-1)^p d \circ \int_{\Delta^p} + \int_{\partial\Delta^p} = 0,$$

it follows that the above forms satisfy the cocycle identity

$$d \; \mathrm{cs}^{(p)}(\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_p) + \sum_{i=0}^{p} (-1)^i \, \mathrm{cs}^{(p-1)}(\phi_0, \cdots, \hat{\phi}_i, \cdots, \phi_p) \; = \; 0. \qquad (4.47)$$

Thus, $\mathrm{cs} = \big(\, \mathrm{cs}^{(p)} \,\big)_{0 \le p \le n}$ defines a total cocycle in the Bott bicomplex.

Taking into account the structure equation (4.42), the curvature form has the expression

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega(\mathbf{t}) = &\sum_{i=1}^{p} dt_i \wedge \big(\vartheta(\phi_{i-1}) - \vartheta(\phi_i)\big) - \sum_{i=1}^{p} t_i \big(\vartheta(\phi_{i-1}) \wedge \vartheta(\phi_{i-1}) - \vartheta(\phi_i) \wedge \vartheta(\phi_i)\big) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{p} t_i \left(\big(\vartheta(\phi_{i-1}) - \vartheta(\phi_i)\big) \wedge \vartheta(\phi_p) + \vartheta(\phi_p) \wedge \big(\vartheta(\phi_{i-1}) - \vartheta(\phi_i)\big)\right) \\ &+ \sum_{i,j=1}^{p} t_i t_j \big(\vartheta(\phi_{i-1}) - \vartheta(\phi_i)\big) \wedge \big(\vartheta(\phi_{j-1}) - \vartheta(\phi_j)\big). \end{aligned} \tag{4.48}$$

Writing

$$\mathrm{cs}^{(p)}(\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_p) \;=\; \frac{(-1)^p}{p!} \int_{\Delta^p} \mathrm{Tr}\,(\Omega(\mathbf{t})^p) + \sum_{p+1 \le k \le n} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int_{\Delta^p} \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Omega(\mathbf{t})^k\right),$$

one sees that the first term involves only the product of the $p$ copies of

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} dt_i \wedge \big(\vartheta(\phi_{i-1}) - \vartheta(\phi_i)\big) \;=\; \sum_{i=0}^{p} ds_i \wedge \vartheta(\phi_i),$$
$$\text{where} \quad s_0 = t_1, \ldots, s_{i-1} = t_i - t_{i-1}, \ldots, s_p = 1 - t_p.$$

Up to a normalizing factor $\nu_p$, this term coincides with $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{Bott}}_{p,\lambda(p)}(\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_p)$, where $\lambda(p)$ stands for the full cycle $(1, \ldots, p)$. Thus,

$$\nu_p \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{Bott}}_{p,\lambda(p)}(\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_p) + \sum_{p+1 \le k \le n} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int_{\Delta^p} \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Omega(\mathbf{t})^k\right) \;=\; \mathrm{cs}^{(p)}(\phi_0, \ldots, \phi_p).$$

This offers an exact recipe for how to complete the Hopf Hochschild cocycle $\sum_{0 \le p \le n} \nu_p C_{p,\lambda(p)}$ to a total Hopf cyclic cocycle $\sum_{0 \le p \le n} \nu_p TC_{p,\lambda(p)}$.

**4.3.3.** Transported via the characteristic maps, the above classes can be concretely realized in the cyclic bicomplex of étale groupoids. Thus, the expression

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{C}^{\Psi,\Gamma}_{p,\lambda}(\omega_0 U^*_{\phi_0}, \;\ldots,\; \omega_p U^*_{\phi_p}) \;= \\ &\quad \int_V \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{Bott}}_{p,\lambda}(1,\; \phi_0, \ldots,\; \phi_{p-1} \cdots \phi_0) \wedge \omega_0 \wedge \phi_0^* \omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge (\phi_{p-1} \cdots \phi_0)^* \omega_p, \\ &\qquad\qquad \text{if} \quad \phi_p \cdots \phi_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \text{ otherwise,} \end{aligned} \tag{4.49}$$

represents the bottom component $\mathcal{C}^{\Psi,\Gamma}_{p,\lambda} = \Psi^H(\mathcal{C}^{\rm Bott}_{p,\lambda})$ of the Chern class $\Psi^H(\mathrm{Ch}^{\rm Bott}_{p,\lambda})$ in the cyclic cohomology bicomplex of the differential graded crossed product algebra $C^q(\Omega_{c,\Gamma}(V))$.

Similarly,

$$\begin{gathered} \mathcal{C}^{\Upsilon,\Gamma}_{p,\lambda}(f^0,\ldots,f^{n-p} \mid \phi_0,\ldots,\phi_p) = \\ \int_V f^0 df^1\wedge\cdots\wedge df^{n-p}\wedge \mathcal{C}^{\rm Bott}_{p,\lambda}(\phi_0^{-1},\phi_1^{-1}\phi_0^{-1},\ldots,\phi_{p-1}^{-1}\cdots\phi_0^{-1},1), \\ \text{if} \quad \phi_p\cdots\phi_0 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \text{ otherwise}, \end{gathered} \tag{4.50}$$

represents the bottom component $\mathcal{C}^{\Upsilon,\Gamma}_{p,\lambda} = \Upsilon^H(\mathcal{C}^{\rm Bott}_{p,\lambda})$ of the corresponding Chern class in the cyclic cohomology bicomplex $C^{\bullet,\bullet}(C^\infty_c(V),\ \Gamma)$.

Finally, representatives for the Chern classes in the cyclic cohomology bicomplex $C^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_{c,\Gamma}(V))$ can be obtained by transport via Connes' map $\Phi^H$, *cf.* (4.34).

# References

[1] Bernšteĭn, I. N. and Rozenfel'd, B. I., Characteristic classes of foliations, *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.*, **6** (1972), 68-69.

[2] Bott, R., On some formulas for the characteristic classes of group-actions. In *Differential topology, foliations and Gelfand-Fuks cohomology*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. **652** (1978), 25–61.

[3] Bott, R. and Haefliger, A., On characteristic classes of Γ-foliations, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **78** (1972), 1039–1044.

[4] Bott, R. and Tu, L., Differential forms in algebraic topology, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. **82**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.

[5] Cartan, E., Les groupes de transformations continus, infinis, simples, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., 3<sup>e</sup> série*, **26** (1909), 93–161.

[6] Connes, A., Cohomologie cyclique et foncteur *Ext<sup>n</sup>*, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, Ser. I Math., **296** (1983), 953-958.

[7] Connes, A., **Noncommutative geometry**, Academic Press, 1994.

[8] Connes, A. and Moscovici, H., Hopf algebras, cyclic cohomology and the transverse index theorem, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **198** (1998), 199-246.

[9] Connes, A. and Moscovici, H., Cyclic cohomology and Hopf algebras. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **48** (1999), no. 1, 97–108.

[10] Connes, A. and Moscovici, H., Differentiable cyclic cohomology and Hopf algebraic structures in transverse geometry, In **Essays on Geometry and Related Topics**, pp. 217-256, *Monographie No. 38 de L'Enseignement Mathématique*, Genève, 2001.

[11] Connes, A. and Moscovici, H., Background independent geometry and Hopf cyclic cohomology, arXiv:math.QA/0505475.

[12] Dupont, J. L., Simplicial de Rham cohomology and characteristic classes of flat bundles. *Topology* **15** (1976), 233–245.

[13] van Est, W. T., Une application d'une méthode de Cartan-Leray. *Indag. Math.* **17** (1955), 542–544.

[14] Gelfand, I. M. and Fuks, D. B., Cohomology of the Lie algebra of formal vector fields, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **34** (1970), 322-337.

[15] Getzler, E., The equivariant Chern character for non-compact Lie groups *Topology*, **24** (1985), 187–215.

[16] Getzler, E. and Jones, J. D. S., The cyclic homology of crossed product algebras *J. reine angew. Math.*, **445** (1993), 163–174.

[17] Gorokhovsky, A., Secondary characteristic classes and cyclic cohomology of Hopf algebras, *Topology* **41** (2002), 993-1016.

[18] Guillemin, V., Infinite dimensional primitive Lie algebras, *J. Differential Geometry* **4** (1970), 257–282.

[19] Hajac, P. M., Khalkhali, M., Rangipour, B. and Sommerhäuser Y., Stable anti-Yetter-Drinfeld modules. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **338** (2004), no. 8, 587–590.

[20] Hajac, P. M., Khalkhali, M., Rangipour, B. and Sommerhäuser Y., Hopf-cyclic homology and cohomology with coefficients. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **338** (2004), no. 9, 667–672.

[21] Hochschild, G. and Mostow, G. D., Cohomology of Lie groups *Illinois J. Math.*, **6** (1962), 367–401.

[22] Kac, G. I., Extensions of groups to ring groups, *Math. USSR Sbornik*, **5** (1968), 451–474.

[23] Kassel, C., **Quantum groups**, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 155, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[24] Khalkhali, M., Rangipour, B., A note on cyclic duality and Hopf algebras. *Comm. Algebra* **33** (2005), no. 3, 763–773.

[25] Khalkhali, M., Rangipour, B., Invariant cyclic homology. *K-Theory*, **28** (2003), no. 2, 183–205.

[26] Khalkhali, M. and Rangipour, B., On the generalized cyclic Eilenberg-Zilber theorem, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **47** (2004), no. 1, 38–48.

[27] Khalkhali, M. and Rangipour, B., Cup products in Hopf cyclic cohomology. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **340** (2005), no. 1, 9-14.

[28] Majid, S., **Foundations of quantum group theory.** Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[29] Moscovici, H., Rangipour, B., Cyclic cohomology of Hopf algebras of transverse symmetries in codimension 1, *Adv. Math.* **210** (2007), 323–374.

[30] Moscovici, H., Rangipour, B., Hopf algebras of primitive Lie pseudogroups and Hopf cyclic cohomology. *Adv. Math.* **220** (2009), no. 3, 706–790.